

CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

City Council Chambers, 7:00 P. M.
Tuesday, March 27, 1962

Council met in regular session. Present on roll call 7: Bott, Cvitanich, Murtland, Olson, Porter, Price and Steele. Deputy Mayor James Porter presiding. Mayor Hanson coming in at 7:05 P. M. and resumed the chair. Absent 1, Easterday.

Mrs. Price moved that the minutes of March 14, 1962 be approved as submitted. Seconded by Mr. Steele. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Mr. Cvitanich moved that Mr. Easterday be excused until April 3, 1962. Seconded by Mrs. Price. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

HEARINGS & APPEALS:

a. This is the date fixed for hearing on the petition submitted by John S. Baker Co. & Lester Magoon for the annexation of the area in University Place; So. 19th to 27th Street known as Bakerwood Addition, etal. 55-94-101

Mayor Hanson explained that this is the date fixed for hearing on the annexation of the area on So. 19th St. east of Day Island as set forth in the Session Laws of 1961. The petition has been approved by the Review Board. Mayor Hanson remarked that the Council will first hear from petitioners for the annexation.

Mr. L. R. Bonneville, Jr., Attorney representing Baker Investment Co., stated the results of the Review Board have been presented to the Council and unless the Council has any questions on certain items, he did not think it would serve any purpose to review in detail the testimony that was taken at that hearing. Mr. Bonneville asked Mr. Donald Seifert, Enginner with Worthen, Wing & Seifert, to explain some of the exhibits that were introduced at the Review Board hearing, such as: the area to be annexed, location of the area in relation to the City of Tacoma and the reasons the petitioner feels that it is to the advantage of the City, as well as their property, to bring this area into the City of Tacoma.

Mr. Seifert explained that the proposed annexation is located adjacent to City property at 19th Street and generally extends to 27th St., bounded on the west generally at Day Island Waterway, and to the east bounded generally by the vacant property west of Grandview Avenue. The firm of Worthen, Wing & Seifert was retained for this project in 1955. The first thing that was done was to install 24" and 18" culverts to drain the area. After draining the area tests were taken and it was felt that it would be best to wait awhile for the final results. He said, as one of the Engineers on that project, knowing the City Sewerage condition, they felt this would be the best time to consider this annexation. They are proposing to have about 168 lots in this area which comprise approximately 76 acres. They

plan to construct paved roads, underground lighting, power, gas, telephone and water mains. It will be a first class residential area. The size of the lots will be about 100 by 120 feet or 12,000 sq. ft. The type of homes proposed will be in the neighborhood of the \$100,000.00 class.

In answer to various questions by Mr. Bonneville, Mr. Seifert explained that the width of the area at South 19th St. was 185 feet. The railroad track is the boundary along the western side of the area as well as South 27th Street. The area immediately North is wild vacant land; further North is the Narrowmoor area which is developed. The reason this area has not been developed is due to surface drainage which is not suitable for septic tanks. The West End Sewage Treatment Plant would serve that area lying immediately North of this proposed annexation which is still to some extent undeveloped. If this were annexed, it would make a solid contiguous developed area on through to Narrowmoor.

Mr. Bott asked how far is the nearest Fire Station to the proposed area.

Mr. Seifert replied that the Fire Station is on Grandview Ave. approximately 635 feet.

Mr. Bonneville explained that the proposed annexation is raw, undeveloped land. With proper development it can be a prime residential area and an asset to the City of Tacoma. This is one of the few areas left to be developed which will make good residential property for the City of Tacoma.

Mr. Charley Curran, a resident of University Place, commented that he was against this annexation for the reason that this is practically the center of University Place and near the Fire Station, shopping district and school. To make this area a part of Tacoma without any vote is not very democratic.

Mr. Brooks Johnson, Attorney representing the Committee for Incorporation of University Place said they have a Fire System and a school district of which they are proud and have supported. The question of the future for University Place is an issue at this time and this proposed annexation will be in the very heart of their community and is connected to the City of Tacoma only by a narrow strip on 19th Street.

Mr. Johnson further stated, at the Review Board meeting he challenged this annexation on legal grounds of the right of the City to proceed, and will renew that challenge tonight. There is a legal question as to whether or not the City has a right to proceed with the annexation. If the City proceeds in annexing and a question of legality arises, then the City should consider the consequences. If the City attempts to pass an Ordinance annexing University Place then Court proceedings will have to be filed and the interests of no one would be served. The laws of this State established by the Legislature are called basically for people of a community to decide upon issues of this nature. If there is to be an annexation or an incorporation, the people have a right to decide. He said, it is not the right of the City Council to attempt to interfere until the people of University Place have had an opportunity to express their views. We would like the City Council to delay action on this annexation until the people of University Place have an opportunity to express their decision. If they decide to incorporate, then the Baker property is a part of the community and would have to go along for better or for worse

If they decide to remain in a Suburban status, then the whole area would be open game for the so-called financiers.

Mr. Murtland said, to his knowledge, the area in question is not in the center of the community as Mr. Johnson stated, but across from one of the schools and close to the Fire Station but not necessarily in the center of the community.

Mr. Johnson said, perhaps, he should amend his statement to say that it is a part of their community, although there are no residences there at present.

Mr. Lester Magoon, petitioner for the annexation, said he had lived in the area approximately 25 years and has owned this property for 23 years, in that time no one has attempted to develop the area. He could not see where this annexation would take anything away from University Place, but only add to the value of the surrounding property. He thought it was now time to join in with the City of Tacoma.

Mr. Virgil Langdon, a resident of University Place, said he disagrees with Mr. Johnson in saying that he represented University Place as a whole. He stated, there are three groups in the University Place with different views, one, that favors annexation; others wishing to remain on a Suburban status; and lastly, to incorporate. Mr. Johnson represents the Committee for Incorporation as a paid attorney only.

Mrs. Olson inquired, in looking over the list showing Street Utility improvements which would be required in connection with the development, distributed by Mr. Rowlands, what would be the total cost of the improvements.

Mr. Rowlands explained that under the item of streets for permanent type paving, the total cost is \$190,000.00, of which \$110,000.00 would be paid by the property owners. Under sewers, "alternate A", the total cost is \$175,000.00, the City will pay \$130,000.00, and the property owners \$45,000.00. "Alternate B", total cost is \$110,000.00; the City will pay \$65,000.00 and the property owners \$45,000.00. If the Baker and the Magoon property were to be serviced, it would be the recommendation of the staff that the \$65,000.00 item be selected since that could be serviced. If in the future, additional areas in the University Place district were to be serviced, a larger trunk main would have to be installed. The water service would cost about \$110,000.00 including the cost of new mains on 19th Street running unto the area which property owners would pay \$77,000.00. An overhead electrical system would cost the City about \$20,000.00. He further explained, that the revenue from the area developed will total approximately \$32,000.00 annually. The revenue from the undeveloped area amounts to \$362.00 per year.

Mrs. Olson asked if there would be any extra cost to the City to service this area with Police and Fire protection.

Mr. Rowlands explained at the present time they are not contemplating any additional fire service other than the station at 6th Avenue, which is a little over a mile distant. If University Place did come under the jurisdiction of the City and the water mains were installed, there would immediately be a substantial reduction in fire insurance premiums paid by the residents in University Place. For example, a \$10,000.00 fire insurance policy for a period of three years under existing conditions, with Volunteer Fire Dept., some limitations in the water service, is costing residents \$66.00.

If the annexation took place with properly sized water mains and with a full-time Fire Dept. the policy premium would be reduced to \$27.00.

Mrs. Olson asked about Police protection.

Mr. Rowlands explained that the patrols could be extended to the area. With \$32,000.00 coming into the General Fund, the City would be in a position to extend some of these services.

Mr. Bott said he felt this was an inopportune time for the City of Tacoma to consider this annexation since the three groups in University Place have not had an opportunity to find a solution to their problems.

Mr. Bott then moved that the hearing be delayed for two months to give University Place the opportunity to decide what course they wish to take.

Mayor Hanson explained inasmuch as the hearing was still being conducted, he would not recognize Mr. Bott's motion at this time.

Mr. ~~George~~^{Joe} Thomas, resident of University Place, stated the annexation was the watershed for University Place. He said, at least 50% of the water running over this land drained through this area into the Sound.

Mr. Murtland asked Mr. Seifert if they had taken any tests of the soil to determine the sub-structure.

Mr. Seifert explained that there had been no indications of slides since the area had been drained.

Mr. Langdon said he was in favor of Mr. Bott's motion for the reason that 20% to 25% of the area was in favor of the annexation as it is the most heavily populated part of University Place and is adjacent to the Baker-Magoon annexation. There are approximately 8800 people in that area. He would like to have a little more time in which to circulate petitions before any decision is made.

Mr. Steele asked how long would Mr. Langdon need to complete his petition for annexation.

Mr. Langdon said it would take several weeks to obtain sufficient signatures for the reason they are working in their spare time and without legal representation.

Mr. Howard Mason said he was in favor of annexation because it would develop that one area, however, he was for Suburban status for the rest of University Place.

Mayor Hanson asked if anyone else in the audience wished to speak on the annexation. No one wishing to speak, Mayor Hanson said he would confine the discussion to the members of the Council.

Mr. Bott said he was in favor of the annexation, however, he was aware of Public Relations as far as neighboring communities are concerned, and thought this problem should be left up to the people in the University district to decide.

Mr. Murtland said, he feels since the neighbors have petitioned this annexation, whether it is 1 or 3,000, who own the 76 acres of land; if the Council felt it would be an asset to the City and can meet the expenses, the idea that the City is taking something away from them doesn't enter into it because we are not taking any thing away.

Mrs. Price asked Mr. McCormick if the City had met with all the requirements of the State Law on this annexation.

Mr. McCormick explained that in his opinion they had, but there is always a diversity in thinking, whether to incorporate or annex.

Mrs. Olson said that there are many acres of undeveloped land which are within the City limits that will require, perhaps, matching funds on the part of the City for development. It would appear that the immediate benefits which would accrue from the annexation of the 76 acres would be to the benefit of the property owners because of the amount of money that the City would invest. The City may have something to gain over a long period of time, but if all of the areas were developed that are in the City limits as they exist today, more money would have to be spent than is available for that purpose.

Mr. Steele said he could see no reason for setting this hearing over. It would not hasten the decision of the residents in University Place.

Mr. Porter said he thought everyone has been given an opportunity to present their views tonight, therefore, he would move to proceed as soon as possible to take the necessary steps to expedite annexation of this area.

Seconded by Mr. Steele.

Mayor Hanson said here is a situation where 100% of the property owners are in agreement on this particular petition for annexation. There are others who are in the surrounding area who will still retain their right to incorporate. The fact that this portion of the area might not end up within the City limits, is the same as other Cities having incorporated trying to find areas they would like to include. The process and the procedure set down by the Legislature have been followed in this case, therefore he could see no reason why they should not proceed.

Mayor Hanson said he would entertain Mr. Bott's motion at this time.

Mr. Bott then moved for a two month's postponement on the annexation. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich.

Roll call was taken on the motion. Ayes 3; Bott, Cvitanich and Olson. Nays 5; Murtland, Porter, Price Steele, Hanson. Absent 1, Easterday. Motion failed.

Mayor Hanson asked for a roll call on Mr. Porter's motion to proceed as soon as possible to take the necessary steps to expedite the annexation.

Roll call was taken on the motion. Ayes 6, Murtland, Olson, Porter, Price, Steele, Hanson. Nays 2, Bott, Cvitanich. Absent 1, Easterday. Motion carried.

A five minute recess was called at 8:30.

b. This is the date fixed for hearing on the petition submitted by the Tacoma School District No. 10 for the vacation of property on North 13th between I and the southerly r/o/w line of the alley between I St. and Yakima Avenue. (Lowell Elementary School)

55-31
54-225

Mayor Hanson explained the Planning Commission has recommended the approval of this vacation. He asked if there was anyone present who wanted to be heard concerning the vacation.

Dr. G. Gissler said that he was against the proposed vacation since it would create a traffic hazard.

Mr. Buehler, Director of Planning, stated that the matter of disembarking school children in this alley has been a problem long before the vacation was considered. A traffic problem would still exist to some degree if it were vacated. The school ground is inadequate for the number of students within the area as it has very few open spaces or playgrounds. He further added, that part of the problem has now been alleviated inasmuch as no parking is allowed in the alley. Relative to the barricades being used, it would be a legal question for the attorneys to answer, whether the street can be closed for this use. He was informed that Lowell School have plans to build a retaining wall and also a sidewalk to make the entire area a playground.

Mr. Murtland asked Mr. Buehler if there has been any other such vacations in the City where they have vacated only half a street.

Mr. Buehler said he could not recall any at this time, however, the reason for vacating only half of a street was due to the fact the property owners living on North Yakima use the alley for access to their homes, because of the steep grade in front of their properties.

Mr. Cvitanich asked, in what way would this benefit the youngsters of the community.

Mr. Buehler said this would be a safety measure for the children attending Lowell School as there are over 9,000 children that use the area.

Mr. Bott asked if this could be blocked off only during school hours.

Mr. Rowlands explained this matter was discussed very carefully and the first reaction was to put these barricades in the street during school hours. The suggestion made by Mr. Bott was explored and it was the attorney's opinion that this could not be done unless this was permanently vacated.

Mr. McCormick explained this has come up several times before in connection with other schools. His office has consistently held that the City has no right to block a public thoroughfare for the benefit of a school, an association, or anyone else. The public streets are dedicated to serve the purpose for particular traffic. Until the street is vacated, it is a public street and that is why it is necessary to vacate.

Mr. Waldo Stone, Attorney, for the Lowell P. T. A., said the school is located on two sides of the street and the children are crossing it regularly. They are interested in avoiding tragedy whereby some child might be injured. This has been before the Planning Commission and they have suggested the vacation.

Mr. Steele moved that the City Council concur in the recommendation of the Planning Commission and that the proper Ordinance be drafted for vacating the area. Seconded by Mr. Porter. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

RESOLUTIONS:

Resolution No. 16971: (postponed from the meeting of March 20, 1962)

Providing for an immediate running audit of all accounts and books

of the City of Yakima, including the Public Accountants

Mr. Cvitanich said inasmuch as Mr. Easterday was not at the meeting, he would move to postpone this Resolution until April 3, 1962. Secoded by Mr. Bott. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

The Resolution was then declared postponed by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16974:

Authorizing the dedication of So. 28th from the intersection of Center & So. M. to So. I Street for street purposes.

It was moved by Mrs. Price that the Resolution be adopted.
Secoded by Mr. Steele.

Mr. Rowlands said an explanation has been sent to the Council members on this Resolution. He added, by having this street dedicated as soon as possible, there will be the disposition of several parcels of land. It will be advantageous to have the street put through which is in line with the original plan.

Mr. Murtland asked if it is contemplated that this will be an actual street.

Mr. Rowlands said, in the planning of the entire area, it is definitely an important part of the entire plan. This street has been contemplated for some time and it was felt that it should be dedicated now, rather than to wait until other streets are included in the dedication. There are certain parcels of property that the City has not acquired as yet, but we are trying to expedite the sale of some of the property now.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16975:

Accepting an offer of the United States to amend the contract for Planning Advance Designated Contract No. Wash. R-6 (GN) (A).

It was moved by Mr. Steele that the Resolution be adopted.
Secoded by Mrs. Price.

Mr. Rowlands explained that this Resolution amends Resolution No. 16655 passed on July 11, 1961 be increasing the contract amount from \$21,500.00, and to not exceed \$32,522.00. It has been determined by Mr. Cook that the figure of \$31,750 will be sufficient to cover all needs and requirements.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16976:

Accepting certain offers to sell real property situated within an Urban Renewal Project Designated Project No. Wash. R-1.

It was moved by Mr. Steele that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mrs. Price.

Mr. Murtland asked, what type of building is located on this property and how large is the site.

Mr. Fredricks, Real Estate officer for the Urban Renewal office, explained that the site was 100 x 120 feet which has a fairly new improved office and two warehouses.

Mr. Murtland asked, what was the City's appraisal.

Mr. Rowlands explained, originally the appraisal was lower than \$23,800.00 and it was re-appraised by another appraiser and the adjusted price was made available to the Urban Renewal Agency and the Real Estate Dept. in San Francisco. There was a mutual agreement that the adjusted appraisal was in order and was commensurate with the value of the property.

Mrs. Olson asked if these buildings could be utilized or would they have to be demolished.

Mr. Fredricks said the office building is a very fine structure, however, due to some construction factors it is unlikely that it will be moved off the site.

Mr. Murtland asked if the building could not fit into the completed project.

Mr. Fredricks explained, due to the location of the property, which is below the grade level of the street, it would not fit into the sewer system.

Mr. Bott moved that this Resolution be postponed until April 10, 1962 to allow the Council members an opportunity to look over the site. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich.

Roll call was taken on Mr. Bott's motion. Ayes 5; Nays 3, Price, Steele and Mayor Hanson. Motion carried.

The Resolution was declared postponed until April 10, 1962 by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16977:

Awarding contract to George Madsen Co. for L I D 4687 on their bid of \$19,500.81 which was determined to be the lowest and best bid.

It was moved by Mr. Bott that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Steele.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16978:

Awarding contract to Morris Construction Co. for Improv. No. 3029-E-2 on their bid of \$92,787.62 including sales tax which was determined to be the lowest and best bid.

It was moved by Mr. Murtland that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Bott.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16979:

Awarding contract to Morris Construction Co. for Improv. No. 3030-E-2 Unit "B" in the amount of \$193,809.16 including sales tax which was determined to be the lowest and best bid.

It was moved by Mrs. Price that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Steele.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16980:

Awarding contract to Morris Construction Co. for Improv. No. 3034-O-2 in the amount of \$131,252.81 including sales tax which was determined to be the lowest and best bid.

It was moved by Mr. Bott that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16981:

Authorizing the proper officers to execute a local improvement assessment deed to A. E. & Ellen A. Berger for the sum of \$10.00 for unimproved property on the east side of Whitman between No. 40th and 42nd Street.

It was moved by Mr. Murtland that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Steele.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16982:

Authorizing the City Manager to call for bids for the demolition of the Annex across from the old City Hall building near So. 7th & Pacific Ave. and authorizing the sum of \$10,000 or so much as may be necessary out of the Demolition of Dangerous Buildings Fund for such costs.

It was moved by Mrs. Olson that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Mrs. Olson said a communication has been received from the Public Works Dept. for the demolition of this building at a cost of \$59,200.00 and recommending such demolition. She said she thought, perhaps, some public-spirited contractor, with Century 21 being so close, would perhaps contract to do this job at a lower cost. She stated that is why she asked that the figure of \$10,000 or so much thereof as may be necessary be included in the Resolution. If it appears this figure is not a feasible amount, the Council will not be obligated to proceed with any work or any bids beyond this amount.

She urged the Council to adopt this Resolution with the thought in mind to call for bids to see what kind of estimates they might receive.

Mr. Murtland said it would take 90 days at least to contract, this plus the loss of so much to salvage. He doubted if they would get started before the first of May.

Mr. Rowlands explained that during the preparation of the 1959 and 1960 budget there was intervention on the part of some of the Allied Arts people at that time to try to work out the matter. There were several reasons for the delay in the proceedings of the demolition, the primary one, being that part of this old building will have to be excluded from the demolition in order to provide for rest room facilities.

Mr. Rowlands further stated at the time the building was inspected, he talked with Mr. Little of Allied Arts and it was his feeling that demolishing the building this summer would not be desirable because of Century 21. The \$20,000 that was budgeted was to remove dangerous buildings. Unless the Council wants to change their thinking at budget time, during the present year if a building inspector finds some building that is in a dangerous condition and should be removed, the money should be available. Any money that is used now for a building of this type, which might not be a dangerous building structurally, would have to be taken out of the budget from some other fund.

Mrs. Price asked if this building had been declared a dangerous building.

Mr. Rowlands explained, from the standpoint of being structurally sound, it is not a hazardous building, however, it is not too attractive because of broken windows, etc.

Mrs. Olson explained that since Mr. Rowlands had talked to Mr. Little since she had and Mr. Little agreed that this is not the time to undertake action on the demolition, she would move to table the Resolution.

Seconded by Mr. Steele.

Voice vote was taken to table the Resolution. Motion carried.

The Resolution was then declared Tabled by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16983:

Amending the contract with Washington Transit Advertising Co. in connection with the "Car-Card" advertising on buses of the Tacoma Transit System.

It was moved by Mr. Cvitanich that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16984:

Commending Mayor Ben Hanson for his many years of service as a member of the City Council and as Mayor of the City of Tacoma.

It was moved by Mr. Bott that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich

The Resolution was read in full and Mr. Steele moved to amend the top line after the words, "By Request of Bott" by adding the words "and the rest of the Council." Seconded by Mr. Bott.

Voice vote was taken on the amendment. Motion carried.
Voice vote was taken on the Resolution as amended.

The Resclutinn was then declared adopted by the Chairman

FIRST READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 17026:

Amending Chapter 13.06 of the Official Code of the City relating to zoning by adding a new section 13.06.120-23 to include property on the N. W. corner of East 72nd and Portland Ave. in the "C-1" Commercial District. (Frank V. and Stan H. Novotney) Read by title.

The Ordinance was then placed in order of final reading.

FINAL READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 17009:

Renewing the Franchise of the Northern Pacific Railway Co. over the portions of Windom & Bean Streets for continued maintenance and operation of a spur tract for a period of 25 years. Read by title. and passed.

Roll call was then taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:

Ayes 8; Nays 0; Absent 1, Easterday.

The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.

Ordinance No. 17020:

Vacating So. 31st & Fawcett Ave. and the alley between So. 30th and 31st St. in the vicinity of the Holy Rosary Church. Read by title and passed.

Roll call was taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:

Ayes 8; Nays 0; Absent 1, Easterday

The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman

Ordinance No. 17021:

Setting forth qualifications for licensees for temporary lodging during the Seattle World's Fair between April 15, 1962 to October 31, 1962.
Read by title

Mr. Steele moved that section 12 be amended by adding the following words: "and that the zoning laws relating to multiple dwelling units generally shall be superseded for the duration of any license issued hereunder in any residential area where hotels and motels normally would be prohibited; provided, that the owner of said multiple dwelling unit at the time of applying for a license shall file an affidavit, duly executed under oath, that the unit or units to be rented are available as a result of a vacancy not caused or occasioned by an eviction notice served upon the tenant, nor caused or occasioned by any act or deed of said owner. No license shall be granted to any owner of a multiple dwelling unit where the officials of the City of Tacoma believe that said owner has evicted any tenant or tenants for the purpose of making his premises available as temporary lodging facilities during the period of April 15, 1962 to October 31, 1962."
Seconded by Mrs. Price. Voice vote taken. Motion carried

Mr. Murtland said as the Ordinance is now drawn in Section 9 it is more or less restrictive to a single family. He suggested that an amendment be prepared providing that owners of/or tenants in multiple family dwellings have the same right and privileges as owners of single family dwellings.

Mayor Hanson stated that this amendment would be considered at next week's Council meeting.

It was moved by Mrs. Olson that the Ordinance be set over for one week until April 3, 1962. Seconded by Mr. Steele.

Voice vote was taken on the motion. Motion carried.

The Ordinance was then declared postponed for one week, until April 3, 1962.

Ordinance No. 17022:

Providing for the issuance of temporary permits for Trailer Parks and Trailer Camps. Read by title.

Mayor Hanson remarked that the proposed amendment submitted by Mr. Murtland was sent out with the agenda. He would now entertain a

a motion to accept the amendment.

Mrs. Price moved that the Ordinance be amended to incorporate the proposed amendment submitted by Mr. Murtland, by changing Section 14 wherein the words "in close proximity to" were deleted, and there was substituted in lieu thereof "within 300 feet of". Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

E. R. Sager, President of the Tacoma Chapter of the Washington Trailer Park Association, representing Cutty Sark Trailer Court in South Tacoma Way, said there was a Trailer Court Owners' Organization formed recently covering the trailer courts in this general area. He suggested the Council appoint a committee to meet with their organization to go over some of the problems that the City would encounter if this Ordinance is passed.

Mr. Rowlands explained that this Ordinance was just a rough draft. If the people in the Trailer business can handle this situation, perhaps, this Ordinance is somewhat premature.

Mrs. Price then moved to continue the Ordinance for two weeks, until April 10, 1962. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

The Ordinance was then declared postponed until April 10, 1962.

Ordinance No. 17023:

Amending Ordinance No. 2393 passed May 24, 1905 to include 9, 4' x 10' piece of property in the alley between "G" and Tacoma Ave. at approximately 21st St. which was inadvertently omitted in the vacation Ordinance. Read by title and passed.

Roll call was then taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:

Ayes 8; Nays 0; Absent 1, Easterday.

The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.

Mayor Hanson said he wanted to recognize the presence of Mr. Gordon Currie, Caricaturist from Australia who is now at the Puget Sound National Bank for a short stay.

Ordinance No. 17024:

Providing for the construction & re-construction of sidewalks, curbs and driveways in various parts of the City. Read by title and passed. 106

Roll call was then taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:

Ayes 8, Nays 0; Absent 1, Easterday.

The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.

Ordinance No. 17025:

Amending Sec. 11.34.130 of the Official Code of the City designating Arterial Streets. Read by title and passed.

Roll call was then taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:

Ayes 8; Nays 0; Absent 1, Easterday.

The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

The Director of Public Works presents the Assessment roll for the cost of Improv. No. L I D 2324 for grading & storm drainage on No. 9th and 10th St. ; Shirley to Villard; and from Bennett & Dahl Drive .

It was moved by Mr. Murtland that Monday, April 23 , 1962 at 4:00 P. M. be fixed as the date for hearing on the assessment roll for L I D 2324. Seconded by Mrs. Olson. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

ITEMS FOR FILING IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK:

- a. Report from the Police Dept. for the month of February 1962.
- b. Report from the Traffic Division of the Police Dept. for the month of February, 1962.

COMMENTS:

Mr. Rowlands said Mr. Al Graham, an advertising executive of the News Tribune Publishing Co. , was present to explain to the City Council that the County had agreed to spend funds for advertising in the Century 21 Edition which will include a historical resume of the history of the County and City Governments. The cost of the space will be divided equally between the City and the County. The cost will be just for the space itself, the Tribune will take care of the ad. The City's share for one-half page will be \$409.18. They expect to make a distribution of approximately 100,000 copies and an extra 10,000 will be published for outside distribution mostly for the East and the South.

Mr. Steele moved that the City spend sufficient funds to cover their share of the cost of the advertising. Seconded by Mr. Porter.
Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Mr. Rowlands said he would like for the Council members to meet for a short time after the Council meeting as there were a few negotiations to consider.

Mr. Murtland thanked Mayor Hanson for his untiring efforts as Mayor of the City of Tacoma and extended good wishes to him for a bright and successful future.

Mayor Hanson said, since this is his last meeting as Mayor, there was the matter of appointing a member to the Executive Board of the Association of Washington Cities. He stated he thought it would be proper to continue with the policy of having the Mayor as their representative, and thought, perhaps, it would be more appropriate to take action on this next Tuesday.

Mayor Hanson said he wanted to thank the Council for the many satisfying experiences he had during the last four years. He also extended thanks to all of the members of the Boards and Commissions and other public bodies and private agencies who have made it a very satisfying and productive period for the City of Tacoma. He said he is looking back with a great deal of satisfaction, and looking forward with a great deal of optimism and confidence in the future of our City. He was particularly please to end his term of Mayor on an affirmative note where the Council is considering petitions for annexation, also, the retirement benefits for the City employees have been approved.

Mayor Hanson recognized the new Council members - Mr. Haley, Mr. Finnigan, Dr. Herrmann, and extended them a lot of luck.

There being no further business to come before the Council, upon motion duly seconded and passed, the meeting adjourned at 9:30 P. M.

Attest: Josephine Melton

BEN HANSON

Mayor of the City Council