

Council Chambers, 4:00 P.M.
Monday, November 3, 1958

Council met in regular session. Present on roll call 6; Bratrud, Easterday, Perdue, Porter, Price and Mayor Hanson. Absent 3, Goering, Humiston and Anderson. Mrs. Goering coming in at 4:10 P.M.

It was moved by Mr. Perdue that the minutes of the meeting held October 27, 1958 be approved as submitted. Seconded by Mr. Easterday.

Mr. Porter stated that inasmuch as the meeting was very long, and that it was impossible to put everything in the minutes, he requested that the three reels be retained until after the petition of Allied Owners, Inc. be settled.

433
391
465

The minutes of the meeting were then approved. Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Goering, Humiston.

COMMUNICATION:

Mr. Rowlands explained that for the past year or two Mr. Nordlund has expressed an interest in this property to the City Treasurer and also to the property Clerks. There are two building sites involved, he explained. The property is 84 feet wide facing So. 17th Street and 84 feet on So. 18th Street and extending back 215 feet. This property is in the beach area not far from the old Day Island Bridge. These are two building sites in a new district and in among new homes. It is the opinion of the City's appraisers, who are acquainted with real estate values in the area, that \$1000 be placed on the building sites or \$2,000 for the property.

485

Mr. Nordlund was apprised of that fact and he indicated that he thought the \$2,000 figure would be acceptable and he asked that this property be put up for sale on that basis, and he orally agreed on the \$2,000 minimum price. Mr. Rowlands said they felt that the property was worth that much. In accordance with Mr. Nordlunds letter, he has requested that the City return the amount he has already paid in, \$390 and \$10.00 deposit, which makes \$400 altogether. He claims he was misinformed about the actual value of the Real Estate in this particular block. Mr. Rowlands said he thinks the value of the property speaks for itself. The property clerks for the City made investigations on similar sales in the adjoining area and felt the price on this property is commensurate with the going rate per lots of similar size in this area.

Mr. Bratrud said inasmuch as Mr. Nordlund is not present at the meeting, it be requested that Mr. Nordlund take this up with the City Manager.

PETITIONS:

Mr. John Bader, requesting the rezoning of property in the vicinity of Wakefield Drive and South M. Street. Referred to the City Planning Commission.

543

RESOLUTIONS:

Resolution No. 15531

Fixing November 25, 1958 at 4:00 P.M. as the date for hearing on L I D 4636 for grading and permanent pavement on both sides of the existing pavement to a total width of 44 feet on Stevens Street from 6th Ave. to No. 11th St.

Adopted on roll call November 3, 1958
Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

Communication from Mr. Nordlund requesting the deposit paid on property located in the Titlow Beach area between So. 17th and So. 18th, which was auctioned off by the City of Tacoma, be returned to him.

Resolution No. 15532

Amending Resolution No. 15233 to extend the time for student transit fares from 4:00 P.M. to 5:30 P.M. beginning with the passage of this resolution and ending December 31, 1958.

Adopted on roll call November 3, 1958
Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

Resolution No. 15533

Authorizing the proper officers of the City of Tacoma to sell the surplus fire hose presently owned by the Fire Dept. to interested rural fire districts

Adopted on roll call November 3, 1958
Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston

FIRST READING OF ORDINANCES:Ordinance No. 16211

441
379
Amending the Official Code relating to zoning by deleting property from Sec. 13.06 and by adding a new section to be known as Sec. 13.06.120 (6). Located adjacent to the S.E. corner of No. 27th and Stevens St. to be rezoned from an "R-2" District to an "C-1" Commercial District. Read by title and placed in order of final reading. (Robert Goldberg Petition)

Ordinance No. 16212

44
213
Amending the Official Code relating to zoning by deleting property from Sec. 13.06.050 and by adding a new section to be known as Sec. 13.06.120 (7) Located on the west side of So. Tacoma Ave. from So. 38th St. approx. 360' south to be rezoned from an "R-3" District to an "C-1" Commercial District. Read by title and placed in order of final reading. (Walter Hogan Petition)

Ordinance No. 16213

Amending the Official Code of the City of Tacoma relating to the licensing and regulating of solicitors engaged in the business of photography.

Mr. Walter West, Manager of the Better Business Bureau spoke in favor of the proposed Ordinance. He said today there are photographers going from door to door who have criminal records and it was both physically and financially dangerous for a housewife to admit such a person in her home. This ordinance was not drawn to prohibit the soliciting of business, but for the licensing, bonding and fingerprinting of the solicitor. It provides for a \$100 license fee for the solicitor and that he at all times wear a picture of himself on his lapel cased and sealed in plastic. He felt this was not the least bit out of line as something has to be done to protect the housewives who will not protect themselves.

He said he has over 200 written complaints for non-delivery of pictures against the Jack and Jill studio, from various people. This ordinance is proposed for the protection of the residents against such as this, he added. He thought this was the only fair way to protect the reliable people who are in the photographic business against this type of thing.

Mr. Fulgham, detective from the Police Department, said they were very much in favor of the passage of this Ordinance as their department is plagued every spring and summer with calls from people complaining about these itinerate photographers, but by the time they get the complaints, there isn't anything they can do because the solicitors have already moved on to another state.

Mr. Rowlands said they did not want to go to the extreme in passing an ordinance such as the Green River, Wyoming ordinance passed in 1932. He said their office has had a good number of complaints on this matter.

Mr. West said that he did not think that this ordinance as proposed would hurt any business that is now established.

Several proprietors of well established firms were present favoring the proposed ordinance.

Mrs. Moser, who classed herself as a small business person, said this license fee of \$100 per solicitor was quite high for a small business firm. She suggested that the company be licensed, and that each solicitor be bonded.

Several other representatives from other studios complained that the \$100 fee was too high.

Mrs. Moser asked if the company was licensed as a whole and a performance bond be taken out on the solicitors, if this would not serve the same purpose.

Mayor Hanson said he was sure that the legal problem of working this out could be accomplished if this were advisable.

Mr. Bratrud asked Mr. West if the license were reduced, and if this bond requirement were added, and then not issue a license to a solicitor right away, but have a waiting period of a week or ten days, if this would meet with his approval.

Mr. West said that would be agreeable with him, and perhaps the Council would like to set this over for one more week to see if they can draw up a different form of Ordinance, along the lines of their thinking.

Mr. Bratrud then moved that the Ordinance be postponed for two weeks, to allow Mr. West to get together with the City Attorney to solve some of the problems that were discussed. Seconded by Mr. Perdue and carried unanimously.

Ordinance No. 16214

An ordinance creating a special fund in the treasury of the City of Tacoma to be known and designated as the "Urban Renewal Fund." Read by title and placed in order of final reading.

FINAL READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 16198

Providing for the improvement of L I D 4639 for permanent paving and necessary storm drainage in the south end area bounded by South 35th - Pacific Ave; South 74th and Sheridan Avenue.

380
50:35

NOV 3 1958

Mr. Rowlands explained that Ordinances No. 16198, 16199 and 16200 should be voted down at this time as the Attorney and Director of Finance have prepared a new program issuing warrants instead of bonds for these LID projects. The change was incorporated in the substitute Ordinances, No. 16203, 16204, and 16207. Role was then called on the Ordinance, resulting as follows:

Roll call: Ayes 0; Nays 7, Bratrud, Easterday, Goering, Perdue, Porter, Price and Mayor Hanson; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston. Ordinance lost on roll call.

Ordinance No. 16199

An ordinance providing for the improvement of L I D 4649 for permanent asphalt paving concrete curbs and gutters and storm drainage on No. 8th from Mason Ave. to Stevens, No. 11th from Stevens to Mullen, No. 11th from Ferdinand to Orchard and No. 9th from Mullen to Villard Street.

Roll call: Ayes 0; Nays 7, Bratrud, Easterday, Goering, Perdue, Porter, Price and Mayor Hanson; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston. Ordinance lost on roll call.

Ordinance No. 16200

Providing for the improvement of L I D 4644 for asphalt paving and storm drainage on South 10th to South 15th from Cedar to Trafton Street.

Roll call: Ayes 0; Nays 7, Bratrud, Easterday, Goering, Perdue, Porter, Price and Mayor Hanson; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston. Ordinance lost on roll call.

Ordinance No. 16201

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to purchase or condemn certain property in King County for the purpose of protecting the City of Tacoma's water supply in the Green River Watershed.

Roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

Ordinance No. 16202

Authorizing and directing the City Attorney of the City to purchase and/or institute and prosecute an action in the Superior Court of the State of Washington for the purpose of providing a right of way for the facilities necessary to conduct an electrical and power distribution utility in Pierce County, Washington.

Roll Call: Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

Ordinance No. 16203

Providing for the improvement of L I D 4644 for asphalt paving and storm drainage in the area South 10th to South 15 from Cedar to Trafton.

Mr. Rowlands explained that the Director of Finance and the City Attorney, in conjunction with the Auditor, should be commended on the new L I D program they have worked out. This will amount to a substantial savings to both the City and the property owners, which would be approximately \$4500 to \$6000

annually, depending on the number of L I D's that are processed. This would involve the issuance of one warrant instead of a number of bonds for each L I D improvement.

Mr. Gaisford, Director of Finance advised that there are approximately 20,668 bonds on file in the Police Department vaults which represents approximately 10 Local Improvement Districts. If they had been able to follow this program previously, they would have had 310 bonds instead of 20,668 which are now on file.

During the year of 1957 there has been issued 5,069 bonds and under this new program approximately 40 would have been issued. This new system does away with the issuance of a L I D bond and the twelve or seven interest coupons that are attached. It does away with the necessity of part of the staff monthly clipping coupons and processing them through the Finance and Treasurer's office. The bond registers alone, which are enormous books, will be done away with. A register for each district can be set up with a maximum of twelve entries.

Mr. Gaisford also explained that an estimate of the overall program, once it has been put in operation through the assessment program, the L I D bond procedure and all the accounting that goes with it, that the city will realize a saving amounting to approximately \$40,000 to \$50,000 a year.

In the past year the processing of a particular L I D may take as long as one solid year and under this method they can process an L I D in not more than two months time which would show a saving upon the adoption of this method. A copy of a letter prepared by the City Attorney's office explaining the change in procedure of the issuance of L I D bonds was distributed to the Council members for their information.

Mayor Hanson said it would be proper to show Council's appreciation to Mr. Gaisford and Mr. McCormick by motion commending them on their efforts in preparing this program which will be a great saving to the City.

Mr. Bratrud then moved that Mr. Gaisford and Mr. McCormick be given a vote of confidence and approval for their efforts in working out this program. Seconded by Mr. Easterday. Motion carried; Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Mumiston.

Mrs. Price explained that on this particular L I D that the L I D Committee met on October 15, 1958, and at that time there was 59% remonstrances filed against the area from So. 10th to So. 12th Street on Trafton, and at that time there was some discussion that this area should be deleted. As this area was disconnected from the district, she understood that it could be deleted.

Mr. McCormick said, if he remembers correctly at the Council meeting last week several Councilmen had expressed their opinion to delete this area in view of the 59% remonstrances, but the attorney's office was instructed to bring in an ordinance including it, and then the Council could decide whether it should be eliminated at this meeting.

Mrs. Price moved that the area on Trafton from So. 10th to So. 12th Street be deleted. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Mr. Perdue pointed out that this has not been a policy of Council in the past, when a low remonstrance is filed against the entire district, that if a

50% remonstrance is filed against a portion of the district, to delete that portion. Sometimes it is an advantage to the progress of the City to have this go through even though the remonstrance is over 50%. In many cases we have had nearly 60% remonstrance against the entire district and have put them through, he added.

Mr. Bratrud said he agreed with Mr. Perdue to the extent that if the improvement were for watermains, they should be put through even if it were close to a 60% remonstrance, but did not agree that this should hold true for street improvements where a certain portion could be deleted without affecting the entire district.

Mr. Perdue said that is what he would like to know, in omitting this tax, would it have any effect on the balance of the district.

Mr. Schuster, Director of Public Works, said this would have no effect on the District.

Mrs. Price said she would like to see all the streets paved, but felt in an area where there was 59% remonstrance and where the people feel they do not want to put out the money for a particular improvement, which might be a hardship on the people, she hesitates to force the improvement on them

Vote was then taken on the motion to delete So. Trafton from So. 10th to So. 12th Street.

Roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

Roll call on ordinance: Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

Ordinance No. 16204

Providing for the improvement of L I D 4639 for paving, concrete curbs and gutters, and the necessary storm drainage in the area of So. K from So. 39th to So. 43rd; So. K from So. 45th to So. 50th; So. Tacoma Ave. from So. 72nd to So. 74th; So. 50th from Fawcett to D. Street.

Roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

Ordinance No. 16205

Providing for the improvement of L I D 4654 for grading, curbs and gutters on So. 37th St. from Hosmer to Ainsworth and on Wilkeson from 37th to 38th St.

Mr. Rowlands explained that when the request was made up for the ordinance it was inadvertently omitted that the improvement should be charged to the L I D 1959 Participation Fund instead of to the City Street Fund. He said that this was also true in reference to Ordinance No. 16206.

It was then moved by Mr. Perdue to amend Ordinance 16205 to provide that the moneys be appropriated from the 1959 Budget instead of from the City Street Fund. Seconded by Mr. Bratrud. Carried on roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

Roll was then called on the passage of the Ordinance.

Roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

53412

Ordinance No. 16206

Providing for the improvement of L I D 4650 for permanent pavement on North 22nd, North 25th, North 26th; from Adams, Washington, and Warner Streets. 380
481

It was moved by Mr. Perdue to amend the Ordinance to provide that the moneys be appropriated from the 1959 budget instead of from the City Street Fund. Motion seconded by Mr. Bratrud. Carried unanimously on roll call. Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston.

Mr. Morley Fox said he understood that a petition had been filed late this afternoon with the City Clerk with remonstrances amounting to more than 60%. He asked that the Ordinance be postponed for two weeks to find out if the majority of the property owners are in favor of this improvement.

Mr. Easterday moved to postpone this ordinance for two weeks, seconded by Mrs. Price.

Mr. Bratrud said he was on the L I D Committee when this was discussed and explained that at the hearing there was a total of 22% remonstrances filed against the district. It was reported that including the petition filed this afternoon there were 51.4% remonstrances.

Mr. Fox said he heard that this petition circulated against the improvement was not a complete survey of the entire area and that they have excellent reasons to believe that the property owners in this district do not want this improvement.

Mrs. Goering asked if this ordinance was passed today, how long would the property owners have to protest.

It was pointed out that they have thirty days after the ordinance is passed.

Mr. Bratrud explained that at the hearing it was brought out that there was other opposition on the improvement besides the protests that had been filed. He said it has been the regular procedure that an L I D is not approved with so high a percentage of remonstrances, but if this ordinance is passed creating this district the property owners still would have an opportunity to bring in more protests thirty days after the passage of the Ordinance, and if the remonstrances amount to 60% or more it is automatically killed, and the Council had no control over it whatsoever, he added.

Mayor Hanson said he did not think it would do any harm to set this over for two weeks as construction would not start until the first of the year.

Mr. Fox explained that he has heard that improper means were used in putting through some of the L I D's and still would like to have the additional time to check on the matter. Mr. Bratrud said he felt the Council was also interested in knowing if that were true.

Vote was then taken on the motion to postpone for two weeks until November 17, 1958. Roll call: Ayes 4; Nays 3, Bratrud, Goering and Perdue. Absent 2, Anderson and Humiston. Motion Carried.

Ordinance 16207

Mr. Bratrud left the meeting at this time.

374

Providing for the improvement of L I D 4649 for permanent paving curbs and gutters and storm drainage on No. 8th from Mason to Stevens; No. 11th from Stevens to Mullen and Ferdinand to Orchard; No. 9th from Mullen to Orchard.

It was moved by Mr. Easterday inasmuch as the area located on No. 9th Street from Mullen to Villard had 61.24% remonstrances that it be deleted from the district. Seconded by Mr. Porter. Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Humiston.

Roll call on ordinance: Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud, Humiston.

Ordinance No. 16208

Approving and confirming the assessment roll for L I D 2284 for sidewalks on the west side of Wapato Street from So. 62nd to So. 64th Streets.

Roll call: Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Humiston.

Ordinance No. 16209

Approving and confirming the Assessment roll for L I D 2261 for: grading and oil mat surface on Alaska St. from So. 74th to So. 72nd; on Asotin from So. 76th to So. 74th; on So. 74th from Alaska to Ainsworth Ave. also on the alley between Asotin and Ainsworth Ave. from So. 74th to approximately 300 feet north.

Roll call: Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Humiston.

Ordinance No. 16210

Approving and confirming the Assessment roll for L I D 2248 for grading, storm sewers and an oil mat surface on Whitman St. from View Ridge to No. 42nd St; Frace St. from View Ridge to approx. No. 38th; No. 40th and No. 42nd; from Frace to Whitman; also View Ridge from 195 feet west of Frace to Whitman St.

Roll call: Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Humiston.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

This is the date fixed for hearing on the petition for the vacation of a portion of the alley between St. Helens Ave. and Broadway from So. 2nd to so. 4th Street. Notices were mailed to all property owners by the Clerk on October 10, 1958.

Mr. Rowlands advised that this street was vacated in 1889 and was never completed by Ordinance, so actually this is merely a clarification of the title of the property and will not change the alley as it is presently situated.

Mr. Buehler explained that the Light Division has requested that an easement be reserved over the east five feet of Block 207 for poles with equipment

from So. 2nd to So. 4th St. He said that a field check indicated that the west half of all of the poles in the alley will be on the portion of the alley for which the vacation is requested. It was requested that the City Attorney prepare an Ordinance providing for the vacation.

Progress report on the Yakima Avenue Bridge.

Mr. Rowlands explained that during the past two weeks a good deal of time has been spent preparing material that would be acceptable to Council for the Yakima Avenue Bridge. He said Mr. Whitacre has worked very closely with the City staff in connection with the bridge and the approaches. He asked Mr. Kosai, Traffic Engineer, to relate the recommendation as to what has been worked out and how it will affect the flow of traffic. 420
506

Mr. Kosai explained it would be well to advise the Council of the savings that they thought would be affected by this change. He said that two weeks ago after the submission of the preliminary report from Mr. Whitacre, they had asked for additional time to review the report for the following reasons: (1) the cost of the bridge. (2) the area required for the proposal.

In order to determine what the proposed Yakima Ave. bridge will carry traffic-wise, it was necessary to make many traffic studies in order to determine whether the bridge can handle traffic as well as the other streets in the area. The contemplated design should be adequate to handle the traffic for a considerable number of years, and will require less area for construction.

The new plans call for the elimination of the two proposed loop ramps and construction of a shorter loop ramp for use of southbound traffic, on the west side of the bridge. Other southbound traffic coming from Center Street will approach the bridge by the way of So. J Street and So. 28th Street. Northbound traffic from Center Street will approach the bridge by the use of a ramp, which will join Yakima Ave. at So. 28th Street. Northbound or City traffic can leave Yakima Ave. at So. 27th Street and will proceed across Tacoma Ave. and down to Jefferson Avenue. He said that loop ramps were eliminated because of the close proximity to other traffic lights and the difficulty to provide adequate timing for their proper operation.

Mr. Rowlands explained by making this change, from the original plan the staff has figured the City will save approximately \$150,000 on the overall plans.

Mr. Whitacre said that they had worked with the Public Works Dept. all along but have had to depend on the Public Works traffic counts, and recommendations for the approach roads. After considerable study they have worked out the present plan as a final solution and he believes it will work satisfactorily.

Mr. Rowlands explained that they were ready to approve and proceed with the plans for the north approach to the bridge, but they still desire to leave the question of the area adjacent to the reservoir unresolved until more information is obtained.

Mayor Hanson asked Mr. Rowlands if it was his recommendation then to postpone action on the reservoir and that of the main span until later.

Mr. Easterday said there were several contractors that he has known over the years that would like to submit alternate designs for the main span of the

bridge. Mr. Easterday then moved that Mr. Whitacre be directed to proceed along the lines recommended and reserve the right at the time the bids are made, that any contractor be allowed to submit alternate designs using the same grade, width and capacity as the design submitted by Mr. Whitacre. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Mr. Porter asked if the contractors submitting the alternate designs would be submitting them at their own expense. Mr. Easterday said that they would have to submit them at the contractors own expense.

Mr. Perdue asked how would they hold the Engineer responsible for the entire structure if they let somebody submit alternate plans for the main structure.

Mayor Hanson asked Mr. Whitacre if he could foresee any difficulties in this type of an arrangement.

Mr. Whitacre said, that he had not had any experience in a situation such as this, but it had been the practice many years ago, where an architect or Engineer would be retained to do a building and where they thought they might be able to change the design to save money, it was done, with the owner's permission. Mr. Whitacre said if this were done he thought this would be showing a lack of confidence in the firm that was hired to do the work.

Mayor Hanson said he saw merit in Mr. Easterday's proposal, however, they are confronted with the possibility of saving the City some money.

Mr. Rowlands asked whether the Council wanted the Architect and Engineer who have been retained by the City to be responsible for the job or would Council desire the City's own engineering department to handle this.

Mr. Easterday said he thought the City's Engineer/Department could handle this very easily, and if they wished they could submit it to someone else for checking only, which he thought could be handled for a very small fee.

Mr. Schuster, Public Works Director, said that there are no bridge Engineers in the Public Works Department and felt that the structural Engineers could not do a confident job themselves.

Mr. Perdue explained that in his opinion the engineer who is in charge of the entire job should be the man that would have to check and approve it and if he approves it, he would have to be responsible for it.

Mr. Myron Calkins, City Engineer, said it would not be ethical for the City to hire or retain another engineering firm while they have employed Mr. Whitacre.

Mr. Whitacre said that they are familiar with the laws regarding Engineering and that according to the American Society of Civil Engineers it would be unethical for any engineering firm to replace or try to replace another Engineering firm that has been retained to do a certain job.

Mr. Easterday explained in fairness to Mr. Whitacre he would withdraw his previous motion, with the consent of the second, and then moved that Mr. Whitacre be authorized to proceed with the plans as recommended by the Engineer and to exclude

The area adjacent to the reservoir. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Mr. Perdue moved that the vote on this motion be held over until next week so that the Council members that are not in attendance have an opportunity to be present to vote on an important matter as this, as three members are absent. Lost for want of a second.

After some discussion vote was taken on Mr. Easterday's motion that Mr. Whitacre be authorized to proceed with the plans as recommended by the Engineer excluding the matter of covering the reservoir.

Roll call: Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Humiston, Bratrud.

ITEMS FOR FILING IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK:

Traffic Division report for July - August - September

Mayor Hanson advised that the American Municipal Association has asked that Tacoma submit the name of their delegate and the alternates to the convention, which is to be held the end of November of this year. He said that John Anderson, Dr. Humiston and himself have been authorized to attend and asked that the decision be made at next week's meeting inasmuch as Mr. Anderson and Dr. Humiston were not in attendance at tonight's meeting.

Mayor Hanson advised that he would like to set up a trip to Portland to look over the Lloyd Center Project and suggested Friday, November 7, or any other day that would be convenient for Council.

Mr. Rowlands advised that Mr. Steiner the head of the Urban Renewal Agency will be in Tacoma, Friday, November 14, 1958 and that a luncheon meeting has been scheduled. He asked that as many Council members attend as possible.

There being no further business, or comments from the audience, the meeting adjourned at 4:45 P.M.

Chris Hanson
President of the City Council

Attest: *Josephine Nelson*
City Clerk