

CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

City Council Chambers
Tuesday, Jan. 14th, 1969

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Rasmussen at 4 P. M.

Present on roll call 9: Banfield, Bott, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zatkovich and Mayor Rasmussen.

The Flag Salute was led by Mr. Cvitanich.

Mrs. Banfield moved that the minutes of Jan. 2, 1969 be approved as submitted. Seconded by Dr. Herrmann. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

HEARINGS & APPEALS:

a. This is the date set for hearing for rezoning of the N. W. corner of East 72nd and Portland Ave. from a "C-P-N" to a "C-1" and an "R-2-T" District, submitted by Puget Sound National Bank.

Mr. Buehler, Director of Planning, explained that the three hearings on the agenda relate to the same general area. He pointed out on a map the location of the area. He explained that a tract of land approximately 204 feet by 270 feet at this location was zoned "C-1" sometime ago for the erection of a grocery store. In 1965 this tract of land was part of a "CPN" district, but the proposed development did not materialize, however, a large commercial development has now been constructed immediately to the south. The applicants have agreed to a number of land use restrictions imposed by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Buehler continued, the Planning Commission felt that the original "C-1" zoning for this corner should be restored and a "T" Transitional zoning, should be provided in order that there can be a buffer area between the commercial and residential area.

Mr. Guy Hawhee, a property owner, residing at 1405 Ea. 72nd, stated three property owners in the area, including himself felt that zoning the area back to a "C-1" would be proper. However, they could not understand why a recommendation from the Planning Commission for an "R-2-T" was proposed, as the area is land-locked and should be a "C-1" district only.

Mr. Cvitanich felt the C-P-N zoning should be retained as a "C-1" zoning would give the K-Mart exclusive use of this corner which he did not believe was proper.

Mr. Buehler explained that the original proposed development for the "C-P-N" did not materialize. He also stated that the area for the "R-2-T" District is owned by the Puget Sound National Bank.

Dr. Herrmann felt, since the development did not materialize the "C-1" zoning should be restored.

After some discussion, Mr. Finnigan moved to concur in the recommendation of the Planning Commission to rezone the above property from a "C-P-N" District to a "C-1" and an "R-2-T" District, and that an ordinance be drafted approving same. Seconded by Dr. Herrmann. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

b. This is the date set for hearing for rezoning of the north side of East 72nd from Portland Ave. to approximately 610 feet west from a "C-P-N" to an "R-2" District, submitted by the Tacoma City Planning Commission.

Mayor Rasmussen felt this should remain as a "C-P-N" District in the event any one would want to build an apartment.

Mr. Johnson felt it would be impractical to rezone this back to an "R-2" District. Perhaps, it should be changed to a "C-1" District instead.

Mr. Murtland felt if each property owner were allowed to develop a commercial zone within his own area, the traffic would increase considerably, also the City would have no control over any restrictions.

Mr. Murtland moved to concur in the recommendation of the Planning Commission to rezone the above property from a "C-P-N" District to an "R-2" District, and that an ordinance be drafted approving same. Seconded by Mr. Finnigan. Voice vote was taken. Motion Lost.

The request was declared denied.

c. This is the date set for hearing for rezoning of the S. W. corner of East 72nd and Portland Ave. from Pierce County classification "G" General to a "C-2" District. (K-Mart area)

Mr. Buehler explained that this application for a "C-2" District concerns the the property encompassing the "K-Mart" property and Mr. John Gerla's property. At the time of the annexation of this particular area, the Pierce County zoning classification for this property was "G" General. In accordance with the General Use Restrictions of the Tacoma Zoning Ordinance, which states in part, all territory which may hereafter be annexed to the City shall automatically become an "R-1" District until the City Planning Commission shall make a thorough study of the new city area and report its recommendation for the final district within ninety days after such annexation. Consequently, Mr. Buehler, added, the Planning Commission is recommending a "C-2" District for this recently annexed area.

Mr. Cvitanich asked what zoning would about this new annexation.

Mr. Buehler explained that it is still County "G" zoning since the property is in the County.

Mr. Murtland said, then this does not automatically fall under an "R-2" classification.

Mr. Buehler stated, it does not, unless previously zoned by the County.

Mr. Marshall Adams, Attorney representing "K-Mart", reiterated the history from the purchase of the property and explained they have diligently tried to meet every condition that the City had imposed upon them. Storm and sanitary sewers have been constructed in accordance with City specifications and the remainder of the development was constructed under the requirements and supervision of Pierce County.

Dr. Herrmann felt it was the Council's obligation to rezone this area to a "C-2" District from the "G" General Pierce County Zoning classification.

Mr. Murtland moved to concur in the recommendation of the Planning Commission to rezone the above property to "C-2" District and that an ordinance be drafted approving same. Seconded by Mr. Johnson. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

PETITION:

Petition submitted by J. F. Crocker requesting rezoning of the S. W. corner of No. 45th & Pearl St. from an "R-2" to an "R-2-T" District.

Referred to the Planning Commission.

RESOLUTIONS:

Resolution No. 19988

Setting forth the terms of Sale of the \$20,000,000 Light and Power Revenue Bond, 1969 Series of the City.

Mr. Cvitanich moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mrs. Banfield.

Voice vote was taken on the resolution, resulting as follows:

Ayes 8: Banfield, Bott, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Zatkovich and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 1: Murtland (temporarily).

The Resolution was declared passed by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 20001

Fixing Monday February 10th, 1969 at 4 P. M. as the date for hearing for D 5472 for water mains in Plat of Prairie Ridge No. 4.

Mr. Cvitanich moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Johnson.

Voice vote was taken on the resolution, resulting as follows:

Ayes 8: Banfield, Bott, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 1: Zatkovich (temporarily).

The Resolution was declared passed by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 20002

Fixing Monday February 10th, 1969 at 4 P. M. as the date for hearing for D 5464 for water mains in the area bounded by So. 80th, Pacific Ave., So. 94th and Park Avenue.

Mr. Cvitanich moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mrs. Banfield.

Voice vote was taken on the resolution, resulting as follows:

Ayes 8: Banfield, Bott, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 1: Zatkovich (temporarily).

The Resolution was declared passed by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 20003

Authorizing the release of an easement to Day's Tailor-D Clothing, Inc. for property located between Pacific Ave. and A Street and extending from So. 29th to So. 30th Street.

Mr. Finnigan moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich.

Voice vote was taken on the resolution, resulting as follows:

Ayes 9: Banfield, Bott, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zatkovich and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 0.

The Resolution was declared passed by the Chairman.

City Council Minutes - Page 4 - Jan. 14th, 1969

Resolution No. 20004

Authorizing the execution of an agreement with Nick L. Markovich pertaining to development of the property legally described in said agreement.

Mr. Murtland moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Johnson.

Mr. Buehler, Planning Director, explained this resolution approves a conceptual agreement with Nick L. Markovich pertaining to the development of this property under an "R-4-PRO" zoning district located on the north side of No. 30th St. between Pearl and Highland Streets.

Mr. Cvitanich moved that the agreement on Page 2, subsection b, be amended to change the word "should" to "shall". Seconded by Mr. Bott. Voice vote was taken. Motion carried.

Mr. Cvitanich asked if open space requirements are checked when there is an application for rezoning for apartment houses.

Mr. Buehler explained that the density requirements are standard in this type of rezoning.

Mr. Cvitanich felt open space should be retained for citizens who reside within these complexes.

Mr. Cvitanich felt the City had reached a point where the Council and the Planning Commission should get together to set a firm position in terms of quality in the community relative to the development of apartment complexes.

Voice vote was taken on the resolution, resulting as follows:

Ayes 9: Baufield, Bott, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zatkovich and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 0.

The Resolution was declared passed by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 20005

Authorizing the execution of an option agreement with Pacific Northwest Development Company, Inc. for property to construct a large Convention Center Complex.

Mr. Johnson moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Zatkovich.

Mayor Rasmussen explained that suggestions had been made at the Council study session to amend the resolution to incorporate them in the final draft of the Option Agreement.

Mr. Murtland moved that the agreement be amended on Page 1, third line from the bottom, to change the expiration date of the 30th day of June to July 31st. Seconded by Mr. Zatkovich. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Mr. Murtland moved that on Page 2, first paragraph, 7th line down, that the date be changed from the 31st day of Dec. to 31st day of January, 1970. Seconded by Mr. Zatkovich. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Mr. Murtland moved to amend Paragraph 2, on Page 2, on the second line, to change the date from the 1st day of January, 1970 to the 1st day of Feb. 1970; also on the 4th line after the figure (2%) insert the following words: "of the gross income" Seconded by Mr. Johnson. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Mr. Murtland moved that on Page 6, paragraph g, after the last word "encumbrances, add the words, "except interim or long term building construction loans requiring encumbrances upon the improvements only." Seconded by Mr. Zatkovich.

City Council Minutes - Page 5 - Jan. 14th, 1969

Mr. Bott asked, if it were possible for the term of a loan to be beyond the terms of a contract. He felt, perhaps, a maximum time should be inserted.

Mr. Hamilton, Acting City Attorney, felt as a practical matter, he did not see how it could happen, however, it would do no harm to place such a statement in the option on Page 6, Paragraph 5.

Mr. Bott moved to amend the above motion that on Page 6, Paragraph g, insert the words "the encumbrances shall not exceed the term of the lease." Seconded by Mrs. Banfield. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Voice vote was then taken on the amendment as amended. Motion carried.

VERBATIM REQUESTED BY MR. MURLAND

Mr. Cvitanich: First off, I would like to wish these gentlemen good luck in your venture. I personally am going to vote against your proposal but I hope I'm wrong, and I'll meet you at the dedication, OK?

But I would like to say that, number 1, when the original proposal was brought to the Council, I think it was pretty tragic that it was recommended by our City Manager that the Council act on it. Fortunately, the Dept. of Public Utilities took a position and some members of the Council did, and we rectified it. Which to me, was tragic. What would have happened to the taxpayers of this community; it would have made the Airport and a few other things look pretty sick. If we care to push the point further I would be more than pleased to continue the discussion.

Mr. Rowlands: Mr. Mayor and Council Members.

Mayor Rasmussen: Mr. Rowlands.

Mr. Rowlands: I think that remark by Councilman Cvitanich is not in order and I resent it very much. I think that the meeting that was held here at a study session several, two weeks ago, everybody represented, the Utility Dept. was represented. Some changes occurred and I feel that the interests of the City have been protected. The interests of the City were protected in all these discussions. I do not think, Mr. Cvitanich there was any give away or any abuse of any position of the City.

Mr. Cvitanich: Mr. Mayor, if I may.

Mayor Rasmussen: Mr. Cvitanich.

Mr. Cvitanich: I certainly don't want to open it up, but I certainly will. As the original proposal was brought to the members of the Tacoma City Council by our City Manager, and there were those proponents on the Council that said, let us act tonight; and I believe our City Manager recommended that we take action. I could be wrong, if so, I certainly apologize publicly. But as the contract was written, and I believe, Mr. Hamilton, correct me if I'm wrong; that in the event the City did not

City Council Minutes - Page 6 - Jan. 14th, 1969

get the funds to pay for the sale of the property, or if the Utilities did not declare that surplus, I feel we were in a position for a real law suit. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Hamilton. I would like an answer from Mr. Hamilton, when the original proposal was brought forth.

Mr. Hamilton: Mr. Mayor and members of the Council, I am pausing here for a moment because there has been three or four drafts of this thing and I can't quite recall what was in the original. I don't recall that the financing had been thoroughly discussed the first time it came here. Then I do know an initial resolution we did prepare, then the Utility Dept. had some reservations concerning it.

If I may say so, when you are talking about the Utilities and the City of Tacoma, they are not two entities, essentially two departments, both of whom are under the jurisdiction of the City Council, admittedly one department a little more than the other, but at the same time the Council has the ultimate say in this position and things, by enlarge. I have tried to do the best I can but I'm not just sure.

Mr. Cvitanich: Thank you.

Mayor Rasmussen: Thank you, Mr. Hamilton. Mr. Finnigan.

Mr. Finnigan: Mr. Mayor, I think Mr. Cvitanich's remark is absolutely uncalled for. I remember very clearly right from the outset. Mr. Hamilton stated, that when the final option agreement was drawn that every one of these items would be spelled out in detail, and we would have had ample opportunity in the meantime to see that the wording was as we wanted it. Certainly discussion is good, it does bring out added protection possibly but I don't see that there is any reason to make such comments at this time; and I very clearly remember Mr. Hamilton stating many times, that when the final option agreement was prepared for us, everything would be spelled out in detail. This is merely a sketch of the agreement. Am I right, Mr. Hamilton?

Mayor Rasmussen: Have you concluded, Mr. Finnigan.

Mr. Cvitanich: I would merely say that as the original proposal was brought forth, I made the statement early, and I stick by what I said, right or wrong.

Mayor Rasmussen: I would like to say that I think this is a step forward. I-- The gentlemen that are interested in the sport's center came to the Mayor's office and asked if we were interested, and I said, yes, we were very much interested in seeing a facility of this type come into the City. They, themselves scouted and located the property and we have at all times been at arms length. There has been no friendships involved, and this I think has been one of the problems, that the City Council has had in the past. And I want to commend the City Council, that now, at long last they are taking a good look at the type of agreements that they write up for the City.

This is a trust that is given to the Council members by the people of the City and friendships do not enter into it. Friendship with any particular promotor, friendship with any particular group of bankers, friendship with any particular person that puts up campaign funds. All City business should be conducted at arms length.

The fact that we have got, and I was reading in the clippings from the JNT, the scrap book that is in the office, some years ago the City Manager did indicate that we have a chance of getting a ball field if we build a park for them. Then just this past year he indicated we could keep the ball team if we paid them to play, and now I understand, that the City Manager has advised the Park Board by letter, which I am surprised about because the Mayor has had no communication with the Park Board, he has advised them they are duty bound to maintain the ball field for the ball team in order to get them to stay here, in the amount of some \$20,000.

We have the Airport; of course, we were signed up for a fifty year contract, thirty years, with an extension of twenty years if that wasn't paid off for a fixed based operator. We have, of course, a contract that does not give us any chance to say in the event the operator went broke, that we would have any say as to whom would occupy the property. This is the type of agreement that we shouldn't get into.

We have also, and this is very recent; but past agreements, we have agreed to give to downtown developers the rights to have stores on Pacific Ave.; the rights to have two floors of parking and the right to tell the City in future years that they cannot put any additional floors on the parking garages that are being given back to us.

So in effect, we are retaining for the City, the hole in the doughnut, and anybody that has tried to eat the hole in the doughnut, knows how it tastes. It leaves a bad taste in your mouth, if you have any taste at all.

So, I do want to commend the Council for their efforts in the setting of this particular agreement. What we are doing is saying nothing more than, to the gentlemen, but to go out and try to raise the money. They have these investors that are ready to come in the City; that we are giving them the chance and a six months option, which in the event that they produce the necessary finances, then we, the City, will come across with the purchase of the land through the sale of bonds. In the event we cannot interest people in buying the bonds, they then have the option to come in with their own investors to take over, so that, as Mr. Shack has indicated, it is rather a one-sided agreement, but I think it deserves the chance to go ahead.

So, that in the future, and future Council's can take the same careful look at agreements that are signed for the City, then they will be doing a great service to the City. If nothing else has ever occurred during my term of office, it is this,

that the Council at long last are taking a careful look and not just say, we'll look at it later, because too many times they have been sold a bill of goods and its the people's tax money that is required to pay for this bill of goods. So I am very pleased to have had the chance to participate in an effort to bring this facility to the City. Again, if this project were to be built by the City, it is a known fact by a study that was made back in 1964 that we would lose \$50,000 a year. That was in 1965, the same figures transposed now would probably be \$100,000, and this is without paying anything on bonds or interest. I think that we are far better off to have private enterprise come in and develop this project. It will have underground parking with the facilities built on top; can be used for a bomb shelter; it will also have facilities so that the handicapped will have free access to all the buildings. I think it will be a wonderful addition to the City. I am very glad that the Council is united. I am hopeful that it will be united and that we can go ahead with the project. I think back to the same newspaper clippings in 1959 where, they said, we could never build a mall and we might as well vote against it. Now we have the Mall, we have the Mall out there and we have many, many building projects going on in the City and I think it is wonderful. Thank you very much.

- Mr. Murtland: Mr. Mayor I wish to reply to your statement. You made a statement I certainly resent. Your innuendoes, insinuations and accusations, all down the line, that prior Councils never paid attention to business. That we did not examine contracts that we signed anything that was put before us.
- Mayor Rasmussen: Mr. Murtland.
- Mr. Murtland: Just a minute, I have the floor. Will you just be quiet?
- Mayor Rasmussen: I was commending you for the excellent job you are doing.
- Mr. Murtland: Now, now, you spent most of your time criticizing past Councils, if I may speak, Please, Mr. Mayor.
- Mayor Rasmussen: You have the floor, Mr. Murtland.
- Mr. Murtland: That certainly is not true. The mere fact that this time that it took a little bit longer, I don't think was due to any of your diligence. If I recall, you were quite urgent about two weeks ago to get this thing off the floor and get it going.
- Mayor Rasmussen: One week ago.
- Mr. Murtland: All right, one week ago, two weeks ago; two weeks ago I brought up a point that I felt should be examined as far as the Utility Board and what our position was with them. However, you were urgent that we get something done and get going, but we did have a few things we wanted to question. Also it is too bad that some Councilmen all ready have started their campaign for re-election, because of certain statements that are made by certain Councilmen as to what the Manager brought before us, that the contract was no good, etc. I heard it on the

City Council Minutes - Page 9 - Jan. 14th, 1969

the radio the other day and you can imagine what station it was, that this certain Councilman has commenced his campaign for re-election. This sounds like the beginning of it. I certainly resent the fact that he would take and bring it in on the basis, all right, the Manager brings it to us and so therefore, we sign it and that is it, that was not proper at the time.

It is good that we did develop other things and I'm glad we studied it. I know there has been delay as far as the men on the other side are concerned. They have been anxious, and they would have had it signed a couple of months ago, but I think we have a good contract, and I think we could have probably lived with the contract two or three weeks ago, and it would not have been detrimental to the interests of the City.

Mayor Rasmussen: Mr. Bott.

Mr. Bott: Mr. Murtland brought up the point that I want too. Your remark that 'long last the Council is doing this'. I would just like to advise you that in the eight years that I have been on here, we have done a great deal of that. And, I too resent, when a person will make a remark about things that have happened when the individual didn't take the trouble to attend these meetings himself. Now, I do not want to get into a rhubarb with fellow Councilmen, and I don't like the idea of doing it, but I do resent, we have these meetings and everyone is invited. We had two meetings with the Utility Board where we discussed this, and neither did the Councilman present and yourself attend these meetings. We were working things out, and many of the things that have been decided now, were discussed in there. I would just like to say that we would like to have the judgment of your advise at the beginning, rather than this Monday morning quarterback of some of these plays. It is not fair and it is not right.

Mr. Johnson: Mr. Mayor, a little while ago you made a comment about these transactions, being at arms length; I would like to point out that one of the principals for the developer here, was my opponent when I was running for election to this place. Mr. Mason Halligan opposed me in this, so I guess that is arms length, all right.

The next thing, I want to say is that, at the last Council meeting you were absent from the little study session that preceded the meeting and consequently you couldn't speak with authority of what went on there. I am not sure whether the Councilman to your left was at that meeting or not. I don't remember. I doubt it, because he does not come to them. But, the point is, that you seemed to be, ~~quite~~ quite urgent to pass this last week. I brought out the point that it was a little premature because we had to make a few changes. I think we were all for it, but if it had been passed last week without these changes, the City of Tacoma would have been in jeopardy to the tune of close to \$2,000,000. So I am very glad it wasn't passed. And, I would also say that

as long as I have been on this Council, I have been equally interested in these things, and it is not just a recent reform. Thank you.

Mr. Finnigan: Mr. Mayor, I would just like to publicly state that I do not share your blanket condemnation of all the previous Councils. I think it was an ill conceived remark and that certainly all can look around us and recognize the effort that has been put into trying to help build the City of Tacoma by all the people who have served the City of Tacoma in the past many, many years. I think that it would be well for you to think a little bit more, before remarks of that type are made. I have a great respect for many of the past Councilmen and Mayors and I think the only way that we're going to achieve any respect for posterity, is to try to conduct ourselves equally as well as these people have done in the past, with as much verve and endeavor.

Mr. Cvitanich: I'm probably the only one that is going to vote against it, so why hold these gentlemen up from their airplane trip. I find it a little ridiculous the bleeding heart talk about being fair and right. I wouldn't want to talk now, but under comments from the Council, we can discuss being fair and right, rather than hold these gentlemen up over a personal little argument.

Mayor Rasmussen: I think your point is well taken. I did want to say, and I probably should identify, that I do not wish to condemn anybody, and I have never condemned them, I am commending the present Council for the caution with which they are proceeding in light of some of the bad deals that we have had in the past. I might say, that it wasn't a unanimous Council and you only have to look through the scrapbooks in there and the TNT clippings, and you will find that most of these decisions were made on a 5-4 vote; and I can see that Mr. Shack is about ready to take off, so I will speak no longer and follow up with comments from the Council.

END OF VERBATIM

Voice vote was taken on the resolution as amended.

Ayes 8: Banfield, Bott, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zatkovich and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 1: Cvitanich. Absent 0.

The Resolution was declared passed by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 20006

Authorizing the City Council to provide for a running audit of all accounts and books of the City by a firm of Certified Public Accountants.

Mr. Cvitanich moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Zatkovich.

Mr. Gaisford, Director of Finance, explained that the City of Tacoma has two resident auditors; one who audits the Utility Dept. records and another auditor who audits the General Governmental records. Every transaction of the City Government is audited each year, however, this is a post audit. The transactions that

City Council Minutes - Page 11 - Jan. 14th, 1969

are being taken in the year 1969 will be audited in 1970. They are approximately a year behind in an audit.

Mr. Gaisford further explained, these audits are submitted to the State Auditor who in turn submits copies to the City Clerk and the Council. A copy is also sent to the Attorney General. If in the course of the audit, there are any discrepancies or actions to be brought to the attention of the Attorney General it is up to the City Attorney to carry on from there.

Mr. Gaisford continued, this is a direct type of audit and it is called a Legal Fiscal Audit. He noted, under the City Charter, Section 7.14, it states "that the Council shall provide for a running audit of all accounts and books of the City by a firm of certified public accountants." He noted that the question of what a running audit is, has not been defined either by the Attorneys or by the Freeholders themselves or by the Certified Public Accountant throughout the State of Washington and the City of Tacoma. However, this resolution requests a running audit and as indicated before, no one can determine what is such an audit.

Mr. Gaisford added, it will take approximately five years to audit each department. The two auditing firms have had a two phase operation. One is an individual transaction of a financial nature, otherwise, he felt this audit would be called a management audit, which allows the auditors to come to the Council with recommendations for specific changes. The audit conducted relative to funds is made by the Division of Municipal Corporations. He mentioned that the City Council has the right to determine and instruct the independent auditors as to what phase and what department they will be auditing.

Mr. Gaisford explained that a pre-audit basis would be an audit taken day by day.

Mr. Bott asked if the State Auditor has expressed his satisfaction of the method in which the finances of the City of Tacoma were conducted.

Mr. Gaisford stated, that the State Auditor has given the City of Tacoma a good rating and any recommendations they have made have been followed.

Mr. Cvitanich referred to an article that appeared on Sept. 12th, 1952 in the Tacoma News Tribune which concerned the new Charter for the City. Mr. Wimentz of the Public Administration Service who was the technical advisor to the Board of Freeholders had written a series of these articles. He had indicated that he felt a running audit would be current and timely and a post audit would not.

Mr. Johnson said he was sure the citizens of the City are primarily interested that the funds of the City be spent properly.

Mr. Cvitanich asked the City Clerk to read the article and that it be incorporated in the minutes 'verbatim'.

"CITY FINANCES" The new Charter visualizing the fiscal agency of City Government has long been simply a watch dog existing for the sole purpose of keeping City officials in line. It recognizes that the development of a meaningful budget and long range financial planning are important aspects of the City administration. Each tax dollar must be put to its best possible use, taking a positive rather than negative approach and the new Charter provides that the Director of Finance shall be appointed by the City Manager 'on the basis of his administrative abilities and experience in accounting, budgeting and financial control'. The elimination of the elected Comptroller is another step toward bringing responsibility for City Government into focus at one point. Obviously, the City Council cannot be held responsible for the City's finances for the Chief Financial officer of the City is directly elected by the people. Going hand in hand with the above is a Charter

City Council Minutes - Page 12 - Jan. 14th, 1969

provision that required the Council to employ an independent public accounting firm to make a running audit of the books of the City. In this way, and only in this way can the Council and the people be certain that the City monies are spent legally and more efficiently. The report of this accounting firm would be a public document open to inspection by any citizen in the community. It has been suggested by certain people that this kind of an audit is unnecessary on the grounds that it duplicates the audit now made by the State Auditor. This is not true. An accounting firm under contract with the Council would make special investigations at the request of the Council and would give expert advise on all financial matters. It would make a more comprehensive examination report on utility funds and expenditures than is now made. Its audit would be current and timely, whereas, that of the State Auditor occurs from one to two years after the money is spent.

The new Charter contains a section not found in the existing Charter, requiring that all revenue bonds as well as general obligation bonds of the City shall be sold only at public sale, that is, all City Bonds must be advertised for sale in advance, not only in Tacoma but in the Financial Journal published in New York City. The purpose of this provision is to give the widest publicity to city bond sales and thus obtain the lowest possible interest rates."

Mr. Johnson explained if a pre-audit is taken, it will require an auditor to check every voucher before it is signed by the City Treasurer or by Mr. Gaisford. He felt such a procedure would cost the City enormous amounts of money. Since the funds are authorized by the Council to be spent, he felt that a post audit would be less expensive. Since the City employs independent auditors they would be able to find any mis-spending of funds within a short time. He felt a running audit, cost wise, was completely impractical.

Mayor Rasmussen stated the last audit that had been made was in the Dept. of Tax and License. The accounting firm who had made this audit made numerous recommendations to the department for the improved operation. One of their suggestions had been to review the several organizations in the City that had not been paying their B & O taxes, along with numerous other recommendations. These recommendations have not been put into effect.

After further discussion, Mayor Rasmussen urged the Council to pass this resolution and that the Public Utility portion of the resolution should be deleted as that department has to be examined by an outside firm this year. He also felt that the City Manager should be excluded on any agreement as it should be left up to the Audit Committee of the Council to be the negotiator.

Mr. Cvitanich stated that the City Charter states a running audit shall be accomplished.

Mayor Rasmussen appointed Mr. Johnson to serve as a member on the Council Audit Committee.

Mr. Finnigan reminded the Council that the State Auditor since June of 1962 has never given any indication that anything has been wrong in the operation of the City of Tacoma, and he felt the procedure as it stands is satisfactory.

Dr. Herrmann felt the passage of this resolution and the incident expense attached to it would be a needless expenditure.

Mr. Finnigan suggested that a resolution be brought in to place this on the ballot at the next municipal election and let the citizens vote on it. If such a proposition passes, the Council could place enough money aside each year to conduct an annual audit of the City.

Mr. Hamilton, Acting City Attorney, explained a resolution could be submitted for a charter change to the voters. The Council could solicit an advisory ballot as to whether or not so much money should be appropriated for the purpose of auditing the City in a certain specific manner.

Mr. Bott suggested that a firm be hired to annually audit a certain portion of the City departments and within a three year period the audit should be completed. In this manner, it would keep the expense within the confines of the City's ability to pay for it.

Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, explained that during the past twelve years there has been a report on a running audit by Lybrand, Ross Bors, and Montgomery as well as by Knight, Vale and Gregory. He noted that the City welcomes the suggestions made by the auditors to improve procedures.

Mrs. Banfield stated, that four charitable organizations have not been paying B & O taxes and there will be others investigated.

Mr. Rowlands stated a report will be submitted to the Council within two weeks on this particular matter.

Mayor Rasmussen moved to postpone the resolution for two weeks, until Jan. 28th, 1969. Seconded by Mr. Johnson. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

The Resolution was postponed until Jan. 28th, 1969.

Resolution No. 20007

Authorizing the bid for the \$4,000,000 General Obligation Bonds of the City of Tacoma to Chase Manhattan Bank.

Dr. Herrmann moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich.

Mr. Gaisford, Director of Finance, explained that bids were opened for the sale of \$4,000,000 General Obligation Bonds this morning. Eleven bids were received and read. He introduced Mr. Richard Thorgrimson, Bond Counsel, present at the meeting who explained the procedures for the sale of bonds.

Mr. Thorgrimson, Bond Counsel for the City, explained that in the specifications of the call for bids, the interest spread could not exceed 1.5 percent. The best bid that was submitted, had a spread of 1.6 percent which violated that specific condition in the notice of sale. He mentioned, this condition was not required by the Statutes but was imposed by the City. He noted that the law in a matter such as this, is that the City may waive any irregularities in a bid, unless such an irregularity gives an advantage to the person who caused it over other business. However, Mr. Thorgrimson pointed out that another most important item is the integrity of the City of Tacoma if this irregularity is accepted. If this were accepted he wondered if anyone would bid any Tacoma bonds in the future. He felt the ground rules were made and should be followed.

Mr. Gaisford explained that the second bidder was the Chase Manhattan Bank Associates at the effective interest rate of 4.91. The difference between the United California Bank and the Chase Manhattan Bank is that over the 20-year life term of the bonds, would be approximately \$13,000.

Mr. Finnigan said since the apparent low bidder submitted a bid containing an interest spread of 1.6 percent, contrary to the terms of the specifications, he asked if all the bids should be rejected.

Mr. Thorgrimson explained that he felt the City should not reject the bids but accept the bid of the second lowest bidder if they so wished. He said, in this matter the integrity of the City would not be questioned in any way.

City Council Minutes - Page 14 - Jan. 14th, 1969

After some discussion, Dr. Herrmann moved that the City accept the bid of the Chase Manhattan Bank and reject the low bid of the United California Bank. Seconded by Mr. Murtland. Voice vote was taken. Motion carried.

Voice vote was taken on the resolution, resulting as follows:

Ayes 9: Banfield, Bott, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zarkovich and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 0.

The Resolution was declared passed by the Chairman.

FIRST READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 18725

Amending Section 1.12.510 of the official code to add a new classification for an Epidemiological Investigator.

Mrs. Banfield questioned the salary designated for this position.

Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, explained this position has been checked thoroughly with the Health as well as with the Personnel Dept.

Mayor Rasmussen felt this should be a person who concentrates on the V. D. problem rather than involving checking Tuberculosis.

Mr. Rowlands explained this position will be basically checking on venereal diseases but in checking, this person might find other types of communicable diseases. He added, it might be possible to obtain additional Federal or State assistance even though this would be incidental to the nature of the basic venereal disease work.

Dr. Herrmann explained he had discussed this position with Dr. McNutt, Director of the City-County Health Dept., and the reason he wished this position titled as an Epidemiological Investigator, was so that he could look for and obtain a man who had some working knowledge for such a position. There are certain rules and regulations as set up by the State Health Dept. in dealing with communicable diseases that require hospitalization, etc.

Mr. Cvitanich wondered if there was a possibility of the County contributing to the salary of this individual.

Mr. Rowlands felt this would depend on the assignments and the allocation of funds. At this point he would be hired by the City but adjustments could, perhaps, be made, he added.

Mayor Rasmussen asked Mr. Rowlands to find out if Tacoma's description of the position is comparable with Seattle and if it also includes the TB provision.

The ordinance was placed in order of final reading.

Ordinance No. 18726

Appropriating the sum of \$9500 or so much thereof as may be necessary from the General Fund for the purpose of paying the salary, wages and fringe benefits of an Epidemiological Investigator in the Health Dept.

The ordinance was placed in order of final reading.

Ordinance No. 18727

Vacating the air rights on the east side of Commerce St. and the west side of Pacific Avenue between 11th & 13th Streets. (petition of Dean Witter and Commonwealth Title Company)

The Ordinance was placed in order of final reading.

FINAL READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 18719

Amending Sec. 3 of Ordinance No. 18626 to extend the repayment date of the temporary loan from the General Fund.

Roll call was taken on the ordinance, resulting as follows:

Ayes 8: Bott, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zatkovich and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 1: Banfield. Absent 0.

The Ordinance was declared passed by the Chairman.

Ordinance No. 18720 (postponed from the meeting of Jan. 7, 1969)

Providing for the improvement of L I D 5468 for water mains and fire hydrants in S. W. 300th Place from the East line of Plat of Lakota and other nearby streets.

Mr. Benedetti, Assistant Director of Utilities, explained the delay in bringing this ordinance to the Council's attention was because the Utility Dept. was obtaining assessments in easement form from the property owners in question because they live outside the City. He noted that the improvement was petitioned by 100% of the property owners and they obligated themselves on a local improvement district basis to bring their mains up to City standards, then the City would serve them on a direct basis.

Roll call was taken on the ordinance, resulting as follows:

Ayes 8: Banfield, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zatkovich and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 1, Bott, (temporarily).

The Ordinance was declared passed by the Chairman.

Ordinance No. 18722

Vacating Ash Street between Center St. and the N. P. R. R. right of way. (Petition submitted by Atlas Foundry & Machine Co.)

Roll call was taken on the ordinance, resulting as follows:

Ayes 8: Banfield, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zatkovich and Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 1: Bott, (temporarily).

The Ordinance was declared passed by the Chairman.

City Council Minutes - Page 16 - Jan. 14th, 1969

Ordinance No. 18723

Changing the street name of that portion of Blossom Dr. extending east from Brown's Pt. Blvd. approximately 360 feet in the City of Tacoma to "41st Street N.E."

Roll call was taken on the ordinance, resulting as follows:

Ayes 8: Banfield, Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zatkovich
Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 1: Bott (temporarily).

The Ordinance was declared passed by the Chairman.

Ordinance No. 18724

Authorizing the condemnation of property to construct, reconstruct and widen McKinley Ave. from Harrison St. to East 36th under Improv. No. 4841.

Roll call was taken on the ordinance, resulting as follows:

Ayes 8: Banfield, Bott, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland, Zatkovich and
Mayor Rasmussen.

Nays 0: Absent 1: Cvitanich (temporarily).

The Ordinance was declared passed by the Chairman.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

Mr. Finnigan explained, this was the time of year that the City Council notifies the Puget Sound Governmental Conference who will represent the Council on its Board.

Mr. Finnigan then moved that Mayor Rasmussen, Mr. Johnson and City Manager, Mr. Rowlands be appointed to continue to serve on the Board of the Puget Sound Governmental Conference for the year 1969. Seconded by Mr. Murtland.

104-266

Mayor Rasmussen spoke against the motion as he has asked that the City Attorney submit a resolution to the Council indicating that the City's representation on the Puget Sound Governmental Conference be made up of three elected officials.

He added, he will appoint himself as Tacoma's representative on the conference executive board. It would be his suggestion that the motion by Mr. Finnigan be voted down and at the next Council meeting vote for the resolution which would allow three elected officials to represent the City of Tacoma. This would be the same representation as every other community in the conference.

Roll call was taken on the motion resulting as follows: Ayes 5: Bott, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson and Murtland. Nays 4: Banfield, Cvitanich, Zatkovich and Mayor Rasmussen. Motion carried.

Mayor Rasmussen stated, he has Dr. Adams' resignation from the Utility Board which he had accepted effective Jan. 1st, 1969. A resolution will be on the next agenda concerning his suggested appointment to the position, he added.

City Council Minutes - Page 17 - Jan. 14th, 1969

Mr. Zatkovich asked that Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, inquire of the Personnel Director why the Detective-Sgt. examination has not been posted. It was his understanding there has been two vacancies since the early part of last year and there has been two provisional appointments made. He felt there should be a list established and qualified persons should be appointed from that list.

Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, explained this position was established over a year ago and at that time there was an agreement with the Police Union there would be another category Detective-Sgt., one range above Detective.

He added, the Sergeants were unhappy about such a situation and have appealed to the Civil Service Board to retract the Detective-Sgt. position and place it back to a Sgt's. position. This is why the examination has not been processed.

Mr. Zatkovich felt that Management or Personnel has directly defied the City Council. In other words, a petition of sixteen men is taking precedent against the Union which is a bargaining agent for the Tacoma Police Dept.

Mr. Rowlands explained that the Civil Service Board would have jurisdiction over this matter.

Mr. Zatkovich felt the examination should have been posted. He added, he was upset by the way this one group have acted and they should have taken the matter up with the Union and felt that the City Council should have been informed.

Charles Zittel, Chief of Police, explained that the present title is Detective-Sgt. and there is a controversy that this is wrong so the examination was held up. He added, last summer the title of the position was Detective. Then under the new classification there were Detective-Sgts. and all persons on eligible lists were declared Detective-Sgts. through bargaining with the Union. Then the Detective position was placed in the classification plan, one step below. A request was made to hold up the examination which they did for many months.

Mayor Rasmussen appointed Mr. Zatkovich and Mr. Cvitanich as Co-Chairmen on a Committee to work and make recommendations regarding the Police Dept.

He added, it had been considered to hire the International Police Chief's Association but after much discussion and thought, these gentlemen can pick their own committee. He did mention that he had a list of names of retired officers to submit to them.

Mr. Finnigan felt it was not possible for two retired police officers to work objectively on such a matter. He felt outsiders should make up the committee.

Mr. Cvitanich and Mr. Zatkovich felt with other persons to be appointed to this committee, it would be rightfully balanced.

Mayor Rasmussen asked if it would be legal to sell bus passes to senior citizens who live outside the City limits that pay City light and water.

Mr. Hamilton, Acting City Attorney, stated he would research the matter and report to the Council.

A communication was read from the Tacoma Centennial Committee requesting a remittance from the City, that was in the 1968 budget, as their organization has completed its Incorporation.

Mr. Gaisford, Director of Finance, explained that the arrangement had been made that the General Government would pay the commitment for this project and the Utility Dept. would reimburse it. Therefore, he felt that just a motion was in order at this time for the Director of Finance to pay the commitment.

After further discussion, Dr. Herrmann moved that the amount budgeted for the Centennial Committee be forwarded to them subject to scrutiny by Mr. Gaisford and Mr. Hamilton. Seconded by Mrs. Hanfield.

Mr. Finnigan moved to amend Dr. Herrmann's motion to state that \$3,000 provided in the General Fund Budget upon submitting a statement for services rendered. Seconded by Mr. Murland. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Voice vote was taken on Dr. Herrmann's motion, as amended. Motion carried.

Mayor Rasmussen stated he had a letter from the Dept. of the Air Force inviting the Mayor to participate in a trip to the Strategic Air Command on a Council meeting day. He stated he could not attend and asked that Mr. Cvitanich represent him at this meeting.

Mayor Rasmussen asked that Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, review with the staff what measures can be taken to equalize the taxes paid by private garage operators so that they may compete with the non-taxable public garages.

Mr. Rowlands stated he would double check the agreement and submit a report.

Mayor Rasmussen stated, he had received a letter from the Federal Communications Commission which relates to previous discussions that the Council had concerning the activities of the Director of Human Relations. He noted, Mr. Hodges had written another letter to the Commission on the City of Tacoma letterhead. The communications from Rev. E. S. Brazill, President of the Human Relations Commission and Mr. Hodges were read criticizing Radio Station KAYE with unfair criticism of the Human Relations Commission.

Mayor Rasmussen felt, these letters by Mr. Hodges were sent out at the direction of Mr. Rowlands, City Manager. He also noted that Rev. Brazill also had used the City of Tacoma stationary.

Mayor Rasmussen felt that the City Council should instruct Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, to remove Mr. Lynn Hodges as Director of the Human Relations Commission.

Mayor Rasmussen stated that a publication entitled 'Luddite' had been circulated throughout the high school. He wondered if in any way this could be connected with the Students for Democratic Society whose headquarters are at 1608 W. Madison St. Chicago, Illinois.

He noted that the 'Luddite' is published and edited by a Mr. Annis. He wondered if this Mr. Annis was the same man who spoke before the Council as a representative from the American Civil Liberties Union. He also noted that this publication was from the address of 2906 No. 25th St. He asked Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, to check on this and report back to the City Council.

Mayor Rasmussen asked why the City Manager's salary in the budget is higher than the allocated \$30,400.

Mr. Gaisford, Director of Finance, explained the increase to \$30,541 is due to the number of jobs in a year's by-weekly payroll cycle.

Mr. Bott requested to be excused for the next Council meeting.

Dr. Herrmann moved to excuse Mr. Bott from the meeting of Tues., Jan. 21, 1969. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

REPORTS BY CITY MANAGER:

MC 571--Local Program Development with Federal & State Assistance, 1961--1968. ✓

Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, explained the MC in detail and stated, that over the years the City Council has possessed the foresight to take advantage of these grants-in-aid programs and should be commended for their efforts. The citizens of Tacoma have benefitted tremendously by these improvements.

Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, presented the Final 1969 Budget to members of the Council and stated a few copies will be submitted to the Libraries.

Mr. Rowlands commented on the Humane Society Report submitted under MC 570 which requested an additional appropriation for the Society. He explained the Humane Society and the Teamsters Union have now reached agreement on salary increases and additional fringe benefits. ✓

Mayor Rasmussen felt the Council should postpone their decision on this matter for one week.

Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, submitted MC-572 regarding three reports from Police Chief Charles B. Zittel to the City Council, relative to the physical agility tests, the change in manpower in the Police Dept. between the years 1958 and 1969, and also a report comparing per capita crime rates with Seattle, Portland and the national figures. ✓

Mr. Rowlands explained that the Chief's report, regarding physical agility tests that were dropped as part of the pre-employment examination process, were due to the broadened recruitment base whereby the City accepts applications on a nation-wide basis, which was impractical for the candidates to come to Tacoma for a physical agility test.

He added, in regard to the changes in manpower in the Police Dept. between 1958 and 1968, it is difficult to trace because positions have been moved from one division to another within the Police Dept., and others transferred to the Police Dept. from other departments, and transfers to other department from the Police Dept. and other positions phased out and new positions added. The net effect is that between 1958 and 1969 a total of 34.4 additional positions have been added to the Police Dept., increasing their manpower from 252 to 286.4 which is a 14% increase.

Mr. Rowlands further added, crime statistics indicate in most instances that Tacoma experiences fewer crimes on a per capita basis than does Seattle or Portland and has a higher arrest rate and a better crime clearance rate than does Seattle, Portland or the National figures.

Mayor Rasmussen submitted to the Council a communication from Lt. Col. Floyd Oles, U. S. Army Ret. who has been compiling statistical reports for the Mayor regarding crime in the City of Tacoma.

Charles Zittel, Chief of Police, reviewed the report submitted and pointed out on charts comparisons of crime occurrences per 100,000 population in Tacoma, Seattle, Portland and National averages, for the full year of 1967 and the first nine months of 1968. He added, that crime is a social problem and concern of the entire community. Law enforcement effort is limited to factors within its control.

Chief Zittel continued, with the exception of aggravated assault, Tacoma was below Seattle and the national average in all categories. He noted that considerable variance in reporting the crime of aggravated assault by different agencies. A change in the guidelines for reporting took effect in 1964. In 1960 Tacoma reported less than 25 aggravated assaults. In 1967 the department reported over ten times that number, obviously the number had not increased to that extent.

He also felt that Tacoma reports a greater percentage of burglaries due to the fact that the reports are more complete. He also noted that major crime clearances for the year 1967 compared with the other statistics, percentage-wise, show that the Tacoma Police Dept. is doing a good job so far as solving crimes.

Mr. Zatkovich felt that Chief Zittel should be making comparisons with Spokane crime rates rather than the larger cities.

Chief Zittel said the comparison would be meaningless because Spokane is not a sea port, lacks large military bases and is not situated just thirty miles from Seattle.

Mayor Rasmussen stated, that the rape cases are increasing at an alarming rate.

Chief Zittel stated, that the City's rape cases have increased substantially, however, the true picture is that in very few instances do women do anything to protect themselves. The Police Dept. is trying to do their best to cut down the rate. In most rape cases, the victim knows the person who committed the crime.

Chief Zittel mentioned that the record of bank robberies was excellent in 1968 as there has been only one attempted robbery, and in Seattle 24 banks have been robbed. He noted there has been no jail escape since the Department occupied this County-City Building. There has also been a decrease of traffic fatalities during 1968 and Seattle has had an increase of 24 and the Washington State Patrol an increase of 45.

Chief Zittel also stated that the Police Dept. personnel is also concerned with rising crime although the trend is taking place throughout the nation, the Department is trying to do everything in its power to contain and control crime in the future.

COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL:

Mr. Cvitanich noted the report filed from the Citizen's Information and Service Bureau for Jan. 10th, 1969 and asked if the County could help pay for the operation of this booth as they too, have a goodly percentage of the inquiries.

Mr. Rowlands, City Manger, stated, this has been discussed but the County Commissioners did not anticipate there were funds available.

Mrs. Banfield asked Mr. Rowlands if he had authorized the trip taken by Mr. Johnson and Mr. Pedersen to the Model Cities Conference for the City of Tacoma.

Mr. Rowlands remarked that he had no knowledge of this as this is taken care of by the Urban Coalition.

Mayor Rasmussen asked if Mr. Dixon is the same person that is Chairman of the Model Cities Advisory Committee and also the Executive Director of the Urban League which is involved in purchasing property in the City of Tacoma.

Mayor Rasmussen said the Model Cities program is going to be involved with the rehabilitation of property and in some instances property will be condemned. He felt this might be a conflict of interest, if this is Mr. Dixon of the Urban League.

Mr. Rowlands said he would check into the matter and report back to the Council.

Mrs. Banfield said she would like to know how Tacoma's Urban League ties into Seattle's Urban League, and consequently, ties into the Tacoma Housing Authority. She felt this would also be a conflict of interest.

Mrs. Banfield was critical of the newsletter being issued by Mr. Lynn Hodges called the "The Bridgebuilder". She wondered if the Human Relations Commission had given him authorization to write such a paper.

Mrs. Banfield also pointed out that the organizations as the Tacoma Human Relations Commission, Tacoma Area Urban Coalition, the Tacoma Urban League are all tied together. She felt that human relations in the City of Tacoma were far better before Mr. Hodges came ~~that~~ ^{there} since he has been here.

Mr. Cvitanich asked that he be provided with copies of the pages that were inserted in the Model Cities application after the Council's Wednesday meeting.

Mayor Rasmussen stated there had been a group of young college students in Tacoma from the University of Oregon to make a report on City Government, and in this report they indicated a statistical report of the Hilltop area and they did not express an optimistic view.

Mayor Rasmussen asked why something hasn't been done about the 500 homes in the Hilltop area that have been quoted to be uninhabitable.

Mr. Rowlands, stated many things have been done and he would like to check the report that Mayor Rasmussen is referring to.

ITEMS FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK:

- a. Report from Russell Buehler, Planning Director Re: Pierce County Tax Title and Surplus Land Sale.
- b. Report from the Urban Renewal Dept. Re: Status of Land committed for sale but not sold.
- c. Report from the Tacoma Housing Authority for 1966, 1967 & 1968.
- d. Minutes of the Tacoma Board of Adjustment for Dec. 1968.
- e. Report from Traffic Violations during the month of Dec. 1967 & 1968.
- f. Report from Citizen's Information & Service Bureau for Jan. 10, 1969.
- g. Report from the City Planning Dept. for the month of Dec. 1968.
- h. Minutes of the City Council Study Session for Jan. 6, 1969.
Placed on file.

Dr. Herrmann moved that the meeting be adjourned. Seconded by Mr. Johnson. Voice vote taken. Motion carried. The meeting was adjourned at 11:30 P. M.

A. L. Rasmussen

A. L. RASMUSSEN, MAYOR

ATTEST: *Jessamine Dalton*

CITY CLERK