

6201 11 471

Council Chambers, 4:00 P.M.
Monday, November 17, 1958

Council met in regular session. Present on roll call 7: Easterday, Porter, Goering, Humiston, Perdue, Price and Mayor Hanson. Absent 2, Anderson and Bratrud.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to approve the minutes as submitted. Seconded by Mr. Easterday. Motion carried on roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Bratrud.

Presentation of Fleet Owner Award for Maintenance Efficiency for 1958.

Mayor Hanson asked Mr. Dean Barrett and Chief Harold Fisk of the Fire Department to step forward and receive the award.

City Manager, David Rowlands commented that several weeks ago they had information and also a release on the fact that the Fire Department's maintenance group did win an outstanding National honor in that group - 4 - which represents the Governmental agencies maintaining fifty vehicles or under and the Tacoma Fire Department was the number one winner under this division.

Mayor Hanson presented Dean Barrett, Fire Equipment Mechanic, with a plaque and flag, over and above the recognition that he had received.

Resolutions:

Resolution No. 15538

BY REQUEST OF HANSON:

Confirming appointment by the Mayor of five members to the Elevator Safety Board.

It was moved by Mr. Easterday to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Porter.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958
Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Bratrud

Resolution No. 15541

L I D 1983

BY PORTER:

Fixing December 8, 1958 at 4:00 P.M. as the date for hearing on LID No. 1983 for sanitary sewers etc., in the area from North 46th Street to North 48th Street from Frace Street to Bristol Street.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Perdue.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958
Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Bratrud

NOV 17 1958

Resolution No. 15542

L I D 1986

BY PRICE:

Fixing December 9, 1958 at 4:00 P.M. as the date for hearing on LID No. 1986 for sanitary sewers etc., in North Cascade Street, the alley between No. Cascade and Fir, North Fir Street, and North 13th Street from No. 13th to approximately No. 17th Street.

It was moved by Mr. Perdue to adopt the Resolution; seconded by Dr. Humiston.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958
Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Bratrud

Resolution No. 15543

BY REQUEST OF HUMISTON:

Requesting the Planning Commission to proceed with hearings on the proposed amendment to Section 13.06.275 in regard to "C-P" Districts of the Zoning Ordinance.

Dr. Humiston explained that at last week's Council meeting it was discussed that an Ordinance be brought in changing the Zoning Ordinance, but after checking the method that is required for this procedure, the attorney advised a Resolution. The Resolution sets up the procedure. The planning Commission then would have to hold a public hearing; then whatever their recommendation is they will send it back to the Council.

Dr. Humiston pointed out that on the second page under the heading "Intent" that the word "desirability" was inserted in the eleventh line. The existing Ordinance requires that the evidence must establish the need of a change, in the zoning plan. He felt the Planning Commission and the City Council should evaluate whether or not the proposed center is desirable rather than necessary.

Under Section 8 under "General Regulations" in the first paragraph he had asked that the market analysis be stricken from the Ordinance would now recommend that the market analysis be required for filing with the Planning Commission to be of use to them in determining the desirability.

Dr. Humiston said Section 8a is a complete new section and reads as follows: "Prior to the submission of the proposed Ordinance rezoning an area to a "C-P" District, the developer shall submit all evidence deemed necessary by the Council and/or the Planning Commission of its financial ability to carry out to completion the proposed project." He said the thought there was that several things would be accomplished. The Planning Commission and Council would be aware of the fact that the proponents of the shopping center were financially able to carry out the pro

In paragraph eleven on the sixth line of the existing Ordinance which states "a plan for staged development which will require more time than the limits contained herein may be approved by the Planning Commission at the time the "C-P" District zoning is recommended." He asked that the rest of the sentence be deleted, as he felt the rest of the sentence in the existing Ordinance does not assure the

City Council or the Planning Commission that any of these projects will be completed. The present Ordinance permits the setting up of the stage development during the course of the construction of the shopping center. He said what this Resolution does is authorize the Planning Commission to proceed with the hearings on the proposed amendments.

Mr. Perdue said, ~~if~~ this Resolution authorizes the Planning Commission to study and make a report, but was not a recommendation of any kind from the Council to the Planning Commission.

Mayor Hanson said it was his understanding that they hold Public hearings; then report their recommendations to Council.

Mr. Perdue asked if the Planning Commission in their recommendation could ask that it be approved or disapproved.

Mayor Hanson said they could recommend that they retain the present provisions but the Council would still have the opportunity to proceed further and have their own public hearing and determine finally if any changes should be made. In passing this Resolution at this time it in no way endorses the provisions, as there may be a different out-look upon the matter after the hearing.

Mr. Porter said the thought at the last meeting was to eliminate the necessity of the market analysis and he wondered if leaving in the market analysis and changing the word "need" to desirability was accomplishing anything.

Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, said inasmuch as Mr. Anderson was not present at the meeting, he had asked him to convey to the members of the Council that he was of the opinion that that particular section should be eliminated on the market analysis.

Mr. Tollefson said his interpretation on the Resolution is that it is not the position of the Council that this becomes a mandate to the Planning Commission, it is merely a referral for the Planning Commission to study.

Marshall McCormick read a section from the Code which states "upon receipt of a request or application for an amendment or change in this chapter, the City Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing upon such request not later than sixty-five days from the date of such request, and it shall give public notice of place of such hearing in a paper of general circulation. In the City, and in the case of district reclassification and shall mail a notice to all legal owners of property within the area of the proposed amendment within a distance of four hundred feet from the boundaries, which should be mailed out at least ten days before the hearing. As a result of this hearing that body may or may not approve such proposed amendment or change. The result of this hearing shall be made in writing to the applicant and also to the City Council within ten days of the hearing."

Dr. Humiston said he thought this clarified Mr. Tollefson's question.

Mr. Marsico, attorney for Allied Owners, Inc., said it was their opinion that after the last meeting, the Council was of the opinion that it was not the prerogative nor the duty of the City Council to determine the economic advisability of a shopping center and that the present City Ordinance made it mandatory upon the Planning Commission and the Council to take into effect a market analysis which was to be presented by the petitioner. He said, in glancing over the

NOV 17 1958

Resolution the only change that was made was that the "market analysis is intended to establish a "desirability" whereby before it was a "need"; however the requirements for the market analysis are kept in and he asked what is meant by the word "desirability". He felt this change was "just a play on words" and feared the effect of the amendment may be to make the Ordinance more stringent rather than more liberal as they thought; it was the Council's intention of making this proposal. He felt that they haven't gained much by changing the word "need to "desirability" as long as they still have the market analysis. The primary question is, "Is the Council and the Planning Commission going to determine this upon the economic feasibility or necessity on the planning of the proposed center or are they not. " If they are not, then do away with the "market analysis."

Dr. Humiston said he had changed his mind on the elimination of the requirement for a market analysis but said under the proposed language the analysis would be filed simply for the City's information and would not be a prime factor in the determination.

Mr. Newlands, attorney for Downtown Owners, said the Council should not consider the amendment as special legislation to make it easier for Town Center to be approved.

Mayor Hanson said he thought this in no way should be considered to be special legislation. He said the fact remains that after the action from the Planning Commission, it will again appear before the Council.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Easterday.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958
Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Bratrud

Resolution No. 15544

By Perdue:

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to execute an agreement of sale between the City of Tacoma and the College of Puget Sound for purchase of certain existing electrical transformers and appurtenances.

Mr. Barline, Public Utilities Director, explained this Resolution has been requested by the College of Puget Sound in connection with their program by which they are making considerable improvements on the campus. He said they have in their present schedule a E-2 rate which is available to those customers who have their own distribution system. The College of Puget Sound has requested that the Utilities change their set-up that rather than bill the College individually and that a meter be on each building, they take over all of the distribution system on the campus, thereby only having one master meter. In order to accomplish this it would mean the facilities that are now on the campus would be sold to the College and certain changes in the system would be made. This agreement would authorize the Public Utilities to such work as the College requests.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Perdue.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958
Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Bratrud

Resolution No. 15545

484

By Humiston:

Authorizing the payment of the sum of \$6,094.64 annually to Pierce County pursuant to the authority of R C W 35.21.430 for the benefit of all taxing districts within which the properties of the Puget Sound Power and Light Co., heretofore acquired by the City were located.

Dr. Humiston said during the Freeholder's meetings there was some feeling among the freeholders when setting up the charter that the item of "gross earnings tax" was not set up correctly, that other taxing districts were involved in such a way that if this were a private utility they would benefit. Dr. Humiston said that before they pass on this he thought they should have more background on the discussion by the freeholders. He thought he was correct in saying that there are no taxing areas in Pierce County in which there is an in lieu of taxes payment made.

Mr. Barline said they are paying to the Alder School District in lieu of taxes.

Dr. Humiston asked if this were being deducted from the 8 percent.

Mr. Barline said this has been in effect for ten or fifteen years prior to the effect of this eight percent. It is a payment that you may or may not call in lieu of taxes, but it is for the purpose of re-embursing the school district for the cost of educating children who live on tax exempt property.

Dr. Humiston asked if that wasn't a special situation such as the condition over at the Cushman Dam where a good number of the population is employed in the City. Mr. Barline said it was.

Dr. Humiston said he was concerned about the City deducting it from the eight percent. He felt they had to give some consideration to moving into a taxing district and affecting their tax revenue when purchasing a distribution system from Puget Sound Power and Light. At the moment he felt this should be a charge against the Light Department's gross earnings tax which is paid to the City of Tacoma. He said he would like Mr. Tollefson, who was a freeholder at the time, to make some comments on the gross earnings tax.

Mr. Tollefson said the tax to be paid the City was up to a certain amount and not to exceed it. The tax that the Utility Department would have to pay to any tax authority was a separate burden, determined by the fact that they could make by this particular taxing authority. He said he had pointed out to Dr. Humiston that this tax arrangement should take no different pattern than any other tax paid by the Utilities Department. All the other tax, he was sure, were absorbed by the Light Department in addition to the eight percent.

Mr. Barline said it was the feeling of the Utility Board that when this was brought in it was drawn up in this manner at the request of one of the Utility Board members on the basis that the City Charter provides a gross earnings tax which should not be disproportioned in the amount of taxes the Utilities Department would pay if it were a private ownership. He said the eight percent is determined to be the upper limit of the amount of tax. Consequently if the Utilities Department

is required to pay another tax the other tax should be a deduction or an offset against the eight percent. He said the Tacoma City Light pays the highest percentage rate of taxes on the local level as any county or state or any Utility, Public or private in the State of Washington. The general fund still would receive some \$10,000 from the Puget Sound Power and Light acquisition but some \$6,000 would be paid to the County to partially make up the amount Puget Sound Power and Light had paid the taxing districts.

Mrs. Goering said she feared the payments to the county would set a precedent and it would not be long until they would find that in order to be logical they would have to follow it up with a number of the others. She said perhaps this member of the Utility Board would feel, after this precedent has been set, that in order not to be contradicting ourselves they could take immediate steps to correct other areas where they are not deducting taxes and also in the future it would be a policy to deduct such taxes.

Dr. Humiston said these two problems of whether the taxing district should make this payment was a problem which should be settled on its own merits. He asked if it were necessary for the Council to authorize the Utility Board to make such a payment.

Mr. McCormick said that the State Statute, under which the City operates provides that the legislative body shall enter into the agreement.

Dr. Humiston asked that a Resolution be brought in authorizing this payment that has all the features of No. 15545 with the exception of this deduction from the gross earning tax.

Dr. Humiston moved then that the present Resolution be set over for one week, November 24, 1958, at which time both Resolutions will be on the agenda and then they can be referred back to the Utility Board for an expression of opinion. Seconded by Easterday. Roll call: Ayes 7, Nays 0; Absent 2, Anderson and Bratrud.

It was requested that the rules be suspended and the matter of Traffic Enforcement, under New Business, be taken up at this time because of a previous commitment of Captain Bob Marshall.

Dr. Humiston made a motion to suspend the rules to take up this matter, seconded by Mr. Porter. Passed by unanimous vote.

Mayor Hanson explained that Mr. Martin Otteson had made an inquiry as to the policy the City was following in the collection of traffic fines; whether it is a matter of enforcement of revenue.

Mr. Otteson said he noted that during the budget hearing for the coming year, the amount of money to be raised by fines and forfeitures was to be 13.6%. It follows, he said that any increase of this type of monetary basis will bring a stepped up enforcement. Now enforcement for the purpose of getting fines is most objectionable from the view point of many people. The law is to protect persons and property and any motivation by any law enforcement agencies as to raising of revenues through giving out tickets is a wrong motivation. It tends to lead toward irresponsibility as far as issuing tickets. There is also the possibility of injustice in some cases.

NOV 17 1954 77

He said he would also like to bring up the subject of parking meters. The City Manager made the statement at the budget hearings that 41% of money was to be raised by parking meters. A new type of parking meter had to be substituted. He said that for a long time there has been a question as to the purpose of the parking meters, and he respectfully asked that the Council define as to whether the parking meters are to facilitate better use of the City streets or whether they constitute a revenue. He felt that a definite program for obtaining revenue from the public streets via parking meters is inconsistent with efficient and good government.

Dr. Humiston asked if Mr. Otteson had taken this up with the City Manager so that he could discuss it with Captain Marshall and not take the time of the Council for a prolonged hearing.

Mr. Rowlands said that Mr. Otteson had not yet come into the office but that if he did so, he would be glad to meet with him and Captain Marshall to review the program.

Mayor Hanson said he felt this was a matter of general concern and that now was an opportunity for the staff to present their side of the question to the public.

Mr. Rowlands said they had requested Captain Marshall to come in as the Traffic Division was involved in enforcement.

Captain Marshall said he had no knowledge of how much money was collected through enforcements. During the twenty-nine years he has been on the Police Force his duty, as he sees it, has been to save lives and to protect property. He said he too would be against issuing citations for gain for the City.

law

He pointed out that under the City's firm/enforcement policy traffic deaths and accidents have been reduced almost every year since 1952. He said that in regard to the so called quota system mention so often, that in the selective enforcement they now have, no one man gives the same amount of tickets. Their responsibility is, if the records show there are more accidents downtown than in outlying districts, to try to change this. He told Mr. Otteson he would be happy to go into more detail in his office if Mr. Otteson so desired. He added that the parking meters were no longer under his jurisdiction but that the meters are there to give protection to the citizen and businessman alike.

Mayor Hanson asked Captain Marshall if his experience has been that the accident rate is correlated with the number of policemen you have in an area and how active they are in stopping violaters.

Captain Marshall replied that when accidents are up enforcement is down; when enforcement is up accidents should be down. He said, we feel that our enforcement index is good because accidents, property damage and loss of lives are down. We are not only handing out tickets, he added, we have our jaywalking school to which some 1500 people come each year. When they receive a ticket, they are invited to this school in place of paying the fine. Also right now they have a Juvenile school every week for juveniles between the ages of sixteen and eighteen. These juveniles are invited and we usually have around fifty-two in the classes. We are trying to educate these people as well as giving out tickets, he added.

Mayor Hanson asked if the presence of law enforcement officers in an

area had as much effect on the accident rate as his presence plus action.

Captain Marshall said that in November of this year the City purchased a Radar set, and put the men on line patrol. They were instructed to ride at least 125 miles a day and be on sight all the time; but the information that was received evidently was wrong because it didn't work. The enforcement index went down to 18.2 whereas it should be around 35. Immediately accidents and personal injuries went up. This went on for two months until we put our people back to work and then our accident toll started to reduce.

Mr. Morton Gregory, president of the Tacoma-Pierce County Safety Council, said the council feels the local enforcement policy to be "one of the best in the entire country." He remarked that they have had the whole-hearted support of the enforcement officer.

Mayor Hanson asked Captain Marshall if a program to impress upon the officers the need of courtesy, is in their training.

Captain Marshall said they have an extended operational procedure in their division; the men are instructed and are sent to school several times each year.

Captain Marshall remarked that since 1952 the Tacoma Police Department has received citations from the Nation Safety Council and the last three years they have received awards from the International Association of Chiefs of Police. Last year there were six awards given out and Tacoma received one; the year before that two awards were given and Tacoma received one. Citations were won in Pedestrian Safety from the American Auto-Association for the last three or four years.

Dr. Humiston said that as long as he had been on the Council no consideration of the relative income from fines and forfeitures has ever been mentioned as a consideration in studying the Police Budget nor has there ever been any discussion by the Council to try to have any effect on the size of fines and forfeitures. It's something that is necessary for enforcement and since the money is there, it is placed in the budget and spent.

Mr. Otteson asked Captain Marshall if he thought it would make a difference in facilities if parking meters were eliminated.

Captain Marshall replied that he felt it would. In his opinion if you do away with parking meters you do away with business downtown.

Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, explained to Mr. Otteson the article that appeared in the Tacoma News Tribune was around budget time, and said when the budget went to Council, sources of revenue were pointed out. This 41% was mentioned in the discussion of the parking meter program, and in conjunction with the one-way streets,. It was suggested to Council that it might be desirable, going with the one-way street system, to install dual meters on one post, probably utilizing a different type of meter which would be more convenient for the public in parking. In doing this it was also suggested to Council that consideration might be given as it has been done in many cities, to change the type of the meter, such as 1¢, 5¢ and 10¢ slots instead of having to place a nickel for one-half hour or an hour, where 1¢ would suffice. By doing this there would be more money going

into the meters for shorter periods of time. This was merely a suggestion to Council, he added.

Mayor Hanson said all these factors were given consideration in making an estimate of a possible increase that may never be realized but in determining the budget, if that money is going to be used it must be put in the budget as a possibility. It did not mean any action ; all it did was to recognize the possibility.

Resolution No. 15546

By Porter:

Rejecting bids received from Frank Schibig and Mrs. Roy Chappell, Jr., relating to the sale of a portion of the City Flume Line Right of Way (Parcel No. 1 as set forth in Resolution No. 15512) 415

Mr. Barline said that about a month ago Utilities advertised for the sale of property. The recommendation at that time was that one be sold, one be rejected and one be postponed. The reason for recommending that this bid be rejected was that a portion of the property on the flume line is being used by the County for a road along the edge of McChord Field. So in order to see this and have the person purchase the amount of property there will have to be some changes made in the description.

It was moved by Mr. Easterday to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mrs. Price.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958
Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud, and Goering

Resolution No. 15547

By Perdue:

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to issue a local improvement assessment deed to James P. and Mary Crawford for property located at 1102 No. Proctor Street upon payment of the sum of \$466.21.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Perdue.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958
Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Goering

Resolution No. 15548

By Anderson:

Consenting to the establishment of limited access to Primary State Highway No. 1, Tacoma Freeway, South City Limits to Pacific Avenue, for that portion generally located from the vicinity of South "M" Street between Wright Avenue and South Tacoma Way, to the vicinity of Delin Street between Wright Avenue and So. 31st Street.

NOV 17 1958

Mr. Schuster explained that this Resolution is for a limited access right-of-way along the freeway, and the approval of the City Council is necessary before the Highway Department can proceed with the project. He said Mr. Hagestad of the Public Works Department has checked the plans etc, with the State Highway Department and also with the Planning Commission and everything is in order.

Mrs. Price asked if any arrangements had been made for sewer and water mains in the gulch.

Mr. Rowlands said the Planning Commission had discussed that some time ago and probably something would be presented to Council within the next three weeks to determine who will pay for the utilities.

It was moved by Mr. Easterday to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Perdue.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958

Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Goering

Resolution No. 15549

By Hanson:

Approving the proposed grades and elevations for the reconstruction of the west approach to the Puyallup River Bridge on East 11th Street, which plans are entitled "Secondary State Highway No. 1-V, Puyallup River Bridge No. 1-V/104, West Approach."

Mr. Schuster said the west approach of the bridge is in very bad condition and the Highway Department will make the improvements. The City has no money involved but has to approve the action.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Easterday.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958

Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Goering

Resolution No. 15550

By Bratrud:

Prohibiting future encroachments to the west approach of the 11th St. Bridge as set forth on plans designated "Secondary State Highway No. 1-V/104, West approach."

Mr. Schuster said before the Federal Government will put any money into the project the Council must approve the Resolution.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Perdue.

Adopted on roll call November 17, 1958

Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Goering

FIRST READING OF ORDINANCES:Ordinance No. 16218:

Vacation portions of the alley lying between St. Helens Avenue and Broadway from So. 2nd Street to So. 4th Street. Read by title. 454

Mr. Buehler explained that this Ordinance was actually a clarification of titles in this area as the vacation procedure took place in 1890. The ordinance was then placed in order of final reading.

FINAL READING OF ORDINANCES:Ordinance No. 16206:L. I. D. 4650

Providing for the improvement of L I D 4650 - Permanent pavement on . 22nd, North 25th, North 26th, Adams, Washington and Warner Streets. 453

Mayor Hanson said it appears that the Public does not understand the letters sent to them by the Public Works Department on these L I D's.

Dr. Humiston said they have been trying for several years to simplify this procedure so that the public could understand it. He felt the information sent out was as clear as could be.

Mayor Hanson said he did think that the initial card should be revised so that the public understood the card itself did not qualify as a remonstrance.

Mayor Hanson then read a letter from Mr. Joe Tencich, President of the 26th and Proctor Business Mens Association, in favor of the L I D.

It was reported by Mr. Schuster that 62% remonstrance against this L I D had been filed.

Dr. Humiston then moved that the Council recognize these remonstrances and vote the L I D down, seconded by Mr. Porter.

Roll call: Ayes 0; Nays 6; Easterday, Humiston, Perdue, Porter, Price and Hanson; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Goering. Ordinance lost on roll call.

Ordinance No. 16213:

Amedding the Official Code of the City of Tacoma relating to the licensing and regulating of solicitors engaged in the business of photography. 448

Mr. Rowlands said that at last week's meeting several changes had been mentioned in respect to this Ordinance; the principle change being that the solicitor fee be \$10 and that a \$1000 bond be posted. This has been complied with in the Ordinance. Another change made was that the solicitor rather than wear a badge for identification must carry a card in his wallet.

Mr. Prue Stuckey, President of the Pierce County Photographers Association, said that their association would like the word "album" inserted in Section 6.74.010, line 5 after "to sell coupons" so as to read "to sell coupons,

albums and ---".

Mr. Easterday moved to amend the Ordinance as such. Seconded by Mrs. Price. Roll call: Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Goering, Bratrud.

Roll call on Ordinance as amended: Ayes 6, Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Goering, Bratrud.

Ordinance No. 16216:

Vacating that portion of the easterly 60' of East D Street between East 15th Street and East 18th Street.

Roll call: Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Bratrud and Goering.

Ordinance No. 16217:

Vacating a portion of 6th Avenue lying on the south side of 6th Avenue some 1063.24' west of the intersection of Pearl Street and 6th Avenue.

Roll call: Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Anderson, Goering and Bratrud.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

This is the date fixed by Council for hearing on the petition of Westgate Inc. for the vacation of No. 15th and No. 16th Streets from Pearl to Shirley and alley between No. Pearl and Woodlawn Streets from No. 14th to No. 18th and westerly 10' of Woodlawn Street between No. 14th and No. 18th.

The notices were posted as required by law and the fee of \$100.00 was paid by the petitioner June 24, 1958.

Mr. Buehler said the purpose was to give a longer block, more development and a better use of the land in that area. It was necessary to obtain some easements; and also the letter of intent from the Westgate Corporation that in the vacation of this alley between Pearl and Woodlawn Street from No. 18th to 14th would be rededicated by moving it over making deeper lots on Pearl Street.

Dr. Humiston moved that an Ordinance be brought in until such time when the easements and other necessary information is obtained, seconded by Mrs. Price. Motion carried unanimously.

NEW BUSINESS:

Annual Report Upon Running Audit - 1958, submitted by Lybrand, Ross Bros. and Montgomery, Certified Public Accountants. Set over for further study.

ITEMS RECEIVED FOR FILING IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK:

Report from the Fire Department for the month of October, 1958

Report from the Personnel Department for October, 1958.

Record of Cases in Tacoma Municipal Court during the month of October, 1958.

NOV 17 1958

483
817

Report from the Light Division - Financial Report dated September 30, 1958

Report from the Finance Department for October, 1958

Mr. Rowlands said that the tour of the new building was now scheduled for Thursday, November 20 at 9:00 P.M.

There being no further business or comments from the audience, the meeting adjourned at 6:45 P.M.

Ben Hansen
President of the City Council

Attest:

Josephine Melton
City Clerk