

Council Chambers, 4:00 P.M.
Monday, January 12, 1959

Council met in regular session. Present on roll call 9; Anderson, Bratrud, Easterday, Goering, Humiston, Perdue, Porter, Price, and Mayor Hanson.

It was moved by Mr. Anderson to approve the minutes of the January 5, 1959 meeting. Seconded by Dr. Humiston. Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Mayor Hanson said before proceeding with the regular order of business he would like to bring to the Council's attention the letter received from Allied Owners requesting action on their petition at this time. He asked for a suspension of the rules to take up this matter.

Mr. Anderson moved that the rules be suspended in order to take up the Allied Owner's petition. Seconded by Mr. Bratrud. Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Mr. Porter moved that the petition be granted, seconded by Mr. Easterday.

The letter from Allied Owners was read by the City Clerk which requested that the petition be acted upon under the Ordinance that now exists as Allied Owners Inc. felt that the proposed Ordinance changes offered no real solution to the problem.

Mr. Marsico, attorney for Allied Owners, said that the Board of Directors desired prompt action on this petition which has been before the Planning Commission and the City Council for so many months. He said they hoped the decision would be favorable so that they could begin work on the Shopping Center.

Mr. Fiest, Chairman of the Planning Commission, said this letter comes as a surprise to him. As the Council knows the Planning Commission gave this petition extensive study a few months ago and outlined their feeling on the matter recommending that the Council deny the petition and they have nothing further to add. He urged the Council to discuss the proposed amendments to the Rezoning Ordinance before going into the Allied question, in all fairness to everyone. He said the Planning Commission has spent a tremendous amount of work in revising the Ordinance.

Mayor Hanson said the Council recognized the good faith in which the Planning Commission proceeded with the reevaluation of the Ordinance, but it is the intention that, this be an independent matter. In giving the petitioner an opportunity to take advantage of any changes the Council has continued the hearing, but apparently Allied Owners would like to have it decided on the old Ordinance.

Mr. Porter said often the changes in the Ordinance should be an independent matter and should not be taken as a means of having any affect on this particular petition, as it is an Ordinance governing the construction of a regional shopping center. He said in the past he voted to postpone the petition but now Allied Owners are requesting action on it, and he felt they had a right to this action.

Dr. Humiston said if this petition is decided on by the existing Ordinance it should be denied as the Planning Commission recommended. Then if this Ordinance

is passed setting up new rules, a new request for rezoning under the amended Ordinance should be brought in.

Mr. John Newlands, representing the Tacoma Builders and Owners Management Association, pointed out that there never has been a time when Allied Owners did not seek action on their petition, so it is not anything new for them to send this letter today requesting prompt action. He said six or eight weeks ago it was decided that the present zoning Ordinance be referred to the Planning Commission for an amendment, as Dr. Humiston said he could not honestly vote for the appeal under the present Ordinance. Therefore he could not see how the petition and the proposed Ordinance could be considered divorced. He also felt that in view of all the circumstances the petition should be denied as the Planning Commission recommended.

Mr. Hanks, Treasurer of Allied Owners, Inc., said that one of the primary reasons for this request coming when it does is that the legal implications are such that it is not clear to Allied Owners which would be the governing Ordinance; the one in effect at the time of the instigation of the petition or the Ordinance in effect at the time of the decision, so Allied Owners feel they should have a decision on this and should it be denied it leaves them with an opportunity to appeal under the new Ordinance. He said they are in a peculiar position; were they to instigate a new petition they could not evaluate their position with the first petition being neither rejected or denied.

Mr. Tollefson, representing the Retail Trade Bureau, said in view of all the facts that have been presented by the Planning Commission and other parties this appeal should be rejected.

Mayor Hanson said he maintained that this shopping center is in the best interest of the City and an opportunity that does not often come to a City and felt that failure to take advantage of this is a great error, therefore he urges its passage.

Vote was then taken on Mr. Porter's motion to grant the petition. Roll call: Ayes 4; Nays 5; Anderson, Bratrud, Goering, Humiston, Perdue. Absent 0. (Petition for Allied Owners was denied.)

The Council then proceeded with the regular order of business.

RESOLUTIONS:

Resolution No. 15583

BY EASTERDAY:

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to enter into a tentative agreement to supply water to the Town of Fife.

It was moved by Mr. Anderson to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Porter.

Mr. Rowlands explained that all the information was not yet gathered in reference to this matter and asked that the Resolution be postponed one week until January 19, 1959.

510
543
546

55 JAN 12 1959

Mr. Anderson moved that the Resolution be postponed for one week until January 19, 1959. Seconded by Mr. Easterday. Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15601

BY BRATRUD:

Fixing February 10, 1959 at 4:00 P.M. as the date for hearing on L I D 1987 for sanitary sewers in So. 84th Street from the existing manhole 135 feet west of J Street to Sheridan Ave; in Sheridan Avenue from So. 78th to So. 84th and in So. 82nd Street from Sheridan Ave. east 291 feet.

It was moved by Mr. Bratrud to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Anderson. Adopted on roll call January 12, 1959. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15602

BY ANDERSON:

Fixing February 10, 1959 at 4:00 P.M. as the date for hearing for L I D 1993 for sanitary sewers in Winnifred Street from No. 14th to No. 15th Sts; from No. 16th to No. 18th Sts; in Shirley from No. 15th to No. 18th Streets.

It was moved by Mr. Perdue to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Dr. Humist. Adopted on roll call January 12, 1959. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15603

BY PORTER:

Fixing Monday, February 9, 1959 as the date for hearing on the vacation of Seward Street from North 35th to North 37th Street.

It was moved by Mr. Bratrud, seconded by Dr. Humist to adopt the Resolution. Adopted on roll call January 12, 1959. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15604

BY PRICE:

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to execute a written lease to Leland T. Baker for property abutting 8310 So. 16th Street.

It was moved by Mr. Anderson to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Perdue.

Mr. Rowlands said this was an exchange of the use of property. The City needs an easement for sewer purposes across property owned by Mr. Baker and there is certain City owned property elsewhere abutting property owned by Mr. Baker that the City has no immediate use for and it seems to be in the best interest of the City to lease this property to Mr. Baker.

Adopted on roll call January 12, 1959. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15605

By **ANDERSON:**

Authorizing the proper officers of the City of Tacoma to execute to Mary Smothers a deed upon payment of \$192.30 for the sale of property located on the N.E. corner of So. 17th and Tacoma Avenue.

It was moved by Mr. Perdue to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Bratrud.

Adopted on roll call January 12, 1959
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15606

BY **BRATRUD:**

Authorizing the proper officers of the City of Tacoma to execute and deliver to George Smith a quit Claim deed for property located between South 19th and South 18th Street extended near Cheyenne.

It was moved by Mr. Anderson to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Bratrud.

Adopted on roll call January 12, 1959
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15607

BY **REQUEST OF COUNCIL:**

Authorizing the proper officers of the City of Tacoma to enter into an agreement with Horace J. Whitacre to prepare an alternate design for pre-stressed concrete on the Yakima Avenue Bridge over Wakefield Drive not to exceed \$12,000.

It was moved by Mr. Perdue to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Dr. Humiston.

Adopted on roll call January 12, 1959
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15608

BY **REQUEST OF COUNCIL:**

Adopting the rules for the government of the City Council, and rescinding Resolution No. 14836, adopted December 17, 1956 and all amendments thereto.

Mr. Rowlands said according to the rules of the Council this Resolution should be held over one week before adoption. He said the Council will recall that on page 3 of the rules under "Order of Business" it was suggested by Mr. Bratrud a week or so ago that it might be desirable to permit members of Boards present ie; representing Planning Commission, etc. to have those matters heard at the beginning of the meeting rather than having them wait for an hour or more pending the subject.

536

Under point 4 on page 3 of "Order of Business" the City Attorney has made the following change: "4. Hearings and Appeals set to be heard by the Council." This will make it possible for the Council to hear at the beginning of the meeting any appeals listed under unfinished business. This Resolution was then set over for one week for final passage.

Mr. Rowlands said there were two Resolutions to be heard at this time that were not on the agenda.

Resolution No. 15609

BY GOERING:

Amending the Contract for Planning Advance No. Wash. R-1 (A) between the Government and the City of Tacoma.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Anderson.

Mr. Rowlands said there was a technicality involved here. The Planning Advance under the Urban Renewal Program agreed to advance the City \$39,270. He said there was a slight error in their proposal; a transposition of numbers from \$39,270 to \$39,720 that should be corrected by this Resolution.

Adopted on roll call January 12, 1959
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15610

BY REQUEST OF HANSON:

Authorizing the City of Tacoma to wholeheartedly endorse the efforts of the Memorial Stadium Ass'n Inc. in its attempt to solicit funds for the re-building and repairing of the Stadium Bowl.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to adopt the Resolution, seconded by Mr. Anderson.

Mayor Hanson asked the Clerk to read a letter from A. L. Schultz, President of the Memorial Stadium Association, asking for an endorsement of the repairing, rebuilding and operation of the Stadium Bowl from the Council and also an appointment of an official representative who would meet and work with the Association throughout the drive which starts on January 16, 1959.

Mayor Hanson said the accomplishment of this project would be advantageous to the community and for this reason he requested that this Resolution be brought in to further encourage this group who are interested in the rebuilding of the Stadium Bowl.

Adopted on roll call January 12, 1959
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

FIRST READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 16252:

Appropriating the sum of \$16,000.00 from the General Fund for the purchase of property for the South Tacoma Fire Station located at 56th and Warner. Read by title.

Mr. Rowlands said the Court had fixed the sum of \$15,000 as the fair market value of this property. After discussing this matter with the legal department, it was decided that this was a reasonable amount to pay for the property.

In May of 1958 a memorandum was sent to the Council explaining the situation that the old station at Number 7 needed to be rehabilitated and by joining with the Library Board and moving to South 56th and Warner for a combination library and fire station at the same general location, an economy would be affected. After the sale of the property at Number 7 and with the promise of receiving from Five to Six Thousand dollars from the library Board after the completion of their building, which would be applied to this site development, the City would be able to have a new station with a very small capital outlay from the standpoint of the City. The Ordinance was then placed in order of final reading.

Ordinance No. 16253:

Authorizing a temporary loan in the amount of \$150,000 from the Local Improvement Guaranty Fund to the Garbage and Refuse Fund for the purpose of purchasing new equipment for the Division. Read by title.

Mr. Rowlands, City Manager, explained that Mr. Schuster, Mr. Bronow and Mr. Graham from the Public Works Department have prepared a very concise recommendation pointing out that there were 18 trucks in all, which have been used nine years or longer. The cost and maintenance has been terrific and will continue to be very high. By replacing these trucks a definite savings is possible. In order to finance the cost of such a replacement program, approximately \$200,000 will be needed. A loan in the amount of \$150,000 together with a cash balance now in the Garbage and Refuse Utility Fund will be sufficient to carry out the program. It is estimated that there will be a savings of over \$58,000. More efficient service will be provided to the Public, by reducing breakdowns and also will be able to absorb additional customers without increasing the size of the fleet, he added. The Ordinance was then placed in order of final reading.

FINAL READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 16254:

Providing for the improvement of L I D 4653 for paving and storm drainage on East D from East 3rd to East 11th Street; also on East E from East 11th to East 7th and on East 7th from East D to East F Street.

Mr. Rowlands explained that this Ordinance was providing for the improvement of L I D 4653 in the area of East D from 3rd to 11th St; East E from 7th to 11th and East 7 from D to East F for paving and grading. This L I D was discussed at last week's Council meeting and it was brought out that after many hearings and much controversy on the area of East E and East 7th Street, it was decided by the LID

545.
566
559

Committee that the district be abandoned and a new Resolution be drawn eliminating this area. It was suggested that the Council look at the situation before any action was taken as the area which was remonstrated against is in such a deplorable condition.

Mr. Rowlands said MC 246 was sent out to the Council members on this matter explaining that the City will be participating to the extent of \$25,000 or approximately one-fourth of the total amount of \$100,000. The fact is that the streets are deteriorating very badly. There is no drainage on East E and 7th at the present time and during the fall, winter and spring months, extensive mud holes develop. Therefore, making it costly to maintain.

Mr. Bratrud said, he thought in fairness to the property owners who are against this improvement that this Ordinance should be postponed for one week and that they be notified that this Ordinance will be up for final reading Monday, January 19, 1959.

Mr. Bratrud then moved that the Ordinance be postponed for one week. Seconded by Mr. Anderson. Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Mayor Hanson said if there were no objections Ordinance No. 16249 would be taken up next as there were many people in the audience interested in this Ordinance.

Ordinance No. 16249, 1959 to amend MC 246 and MC 247, a resolution

Amending Section 12.08.010 of the Official Code relating to charges and rates for sewage.

Mr. Rowlands said he would like to bring the Council's attention to a letter received from Thomas and Harstad stating they had received a copy of this Ordinance which was studied very carefully by Mr. Thomas and Mr. Harstad and they felt that it will provide an adequate basis for financing the 12-1/2 million dollar sewerage construction program and said the Ordinance has their approval.

Mr. Rowlands also read a letter from the McLean and Company, Inc. stating that the sewer rate Ordinance and the application of the proposed sewer service charge as set forth in the report of the Thomas and Harstad Ass'n have been examined by them and it is their opinion that the proposed sewer program of the City is financially feasible.

Mr. Rowlands said the staff also did some research on Mrs. Price's question of the effect of the sewer rate increase on old age pensioners and it was found that any increase such as this was taken into consideration by the old age assistance office.

A representative of the Tacoma Sportsmen's Club was present endorsing this Ordinance.

Several members of the audience rose to protest the poops and ask questions. Some said they had already paid for their sewer lines by L I D's and didn't feel they should have to pay for sewers in other areas of the City.

Mayor Hanson assured the people that every problem had been considered

in this program and wished the Council did not have to make this decision to raise the sewer rates, but failure to do so would be a crime against the community.

Mr. Rowlands said the sewer charge revenues will merely pay for improvements to and maintenance of the central system and said that residents will continue to pay for lateral sewers by the L I D method.

Mr. Easterday said he understood the problem of people on fixed incomes and wished there was some other way to finance the sewer improvement plan rather than raise the rates but no one has been able to find any other method. Therefore, because of the seriousness of the sewage problem he intended to vote "yes" on the Ordinance.

Mr. Rowlands said the pollution of waters in the City by the discharge of sewage is a menace to health and is the principle reason for this program. At the present time only about two-thirds of the City area is contributory to the treatment plant. Three months of the year the plant is treating only about one-half of the City's sewage. The remainder by-passes the plant and is dumped into the Bay. Many Tacoma homes are still using septic tanks which are only a temporary method of disposal and can lead to serious contamination. The separation of sanitary and storm sewers is required to prevent the backing up of some sewers into basements, etc. Tests of the waters of Commencement Bay show an excessive amount of bacteria. The State Pollution Control Commission has threatened to close the Point Defiance bathing beach because of pollution.

He said the separation program will mean the storm waters of the City will no longer go into the treatment plant thus increasing the plants capacity. The passage of this Ordinance at this time will enable the City to coordinate the sanitary sewer development along with the storm drain and street paving programs.

Mr. Rowlands said the question has been asked, "What is this money needed for?" The increased revenue will be used for sanitary trunk lines, interceptors, separation of combined sewage and drainage trunks and pumping stations. The money will not be used for L I D's, laterals or small sewers throughout small communities.

Mr. Harstad of the City's sewer rate consulting firm, said the proposed revenue bond financing program seemed to him the best method.

Mr. Schuster, Public Works Director, said the seventy-five cent rate now charged is going for maintenance and operation of the sewer system and treatment plant. The cost of operating the treatment plant a year is approximately \$100,000, thus leaving very little money for participation in trunk lines.

Mr. Val Fawcett, representing MacLean and Company Investment Securities, said they have examined the Ordinance and the application of the proposed sewer service charges as set forth in the report of Thomas and Harstad Associates, the consulting engineer. Based upon the present interest costs and the net revenues indicated in the engineers report, it is their opinion that the proposed sewer program is financially feasible.

Mayor Hanson said according to this plan then, more money will be received than is necessary to retire the bonds but the additional amount is required before anyone will invest in the bonds.

Mr. Fawcett said that was correct. With a Revenue Bond you must have a

coverage factor that in this particular instance will be sufficient to show that the earnings are there to pay back.

Mr. Rowlands said a number of meetings were held with representatives of Industry and also with the Legislative Committee of the Central Labor Council and they both have gone on record favoring the program from the standpoint of solving the pollution and health problem. It is unfortunate that rates must be raised to accomplish this. He pointed out that twenty-seven Washington Cities have month residential sewer charges of \$2.00 or more. Although this increase will be approximately 167% higher to residential consumers, the commercial industrial average will be almost 600% higher.

Mr. Schuster said the 12½ million will finance the expansion of the central treatment plant, a bay "interceptor" line to pick up outfalls now discharging raw sewage into the Sound, the separation of sanitary and storm sewers presently combined, and new trunks in N. E. Tacoma, S. E. Tacoma and West Tacoma.

He said a third of the City's sewage discharges directly into the bay and because of the plant's low capacity, about half of the remainder which is channeled through the treatment plant is untreated. The overloading is especially intensive during rains, he added.

Mayor Hanson said this is not an easy decision to make, but it has been shown here that the problem has to be met and that we are letting our greatest resource go undeveloped by dumping raw sewage into the Bay.

Mrs. Price said as she stated at previous meetings, she was in sympathy with people on fixed incomes and felt this \$2.00 charge was too much. Therefore, she intended to vote "no" on the Ordinance.

Mr. Anderson said he also sympathized with those people but that this was a wonderful opportunity to better our communities and he would vote "yes."

Mr. Porter said he also realized this would probably be a burden on people on fixed incomes but felt it has been proven that this job had to be done so he too, would vote for the Ordinance.

Dr. Humiston asked if the Ordinance had been amended as proposed at last week's meeting.

Mr. McCormick said all the amendments have been included.

It was moved by Mr. Anderson to amend Sec. 5 which amends 12.08.110 by adding to sub section (a) the following: "Availability" means those premises directly abutting upon such sewer facilities and which can be directly connected for the discharge of sewage therein. Seconded by Dr. Humiston. Carried on roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

It was moved by Mr. Anderson to amend the first paragraph of sub section (b) - 12.08.110 to read as follows: Until and including the month of February, 1959, such charges shall be those presently in effect. Thereafter and beginning with the

calendar month of March, 1959, the rate or charge for premises situate within the city limits shall be computed and paid as follows:" Seconded by Dr. Humiston. Carried on roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to amend Sec. 7, 12.08.125 to read as follows: "Minimum Charge". Every premise to which service is furnished by the sewer system of the City of Tacoma, and every premise to which such sewer system service is available, as that term is defined in Section 12.08.110 - (a), shall be charged for such service in accordance with the rates and charges herein placed in effect; provided, however, that in no event shall any such charge be less than two dollars (\$2.00) per calendar month." Seconded by Mr. Anderson. Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Roll was then taken on the Ordinance as amended: Ayes 8; Nays 1, Price; Absent 0.

Mayor Hanson said the approval of the program was one of the greatest acts this Council has accomplished or will accomplish.

Ordinance No. 16240:

and Vacating property located in Olympic Blvd. abutting Block "D" Highlands, Narrowview Addition between Howard and Skyline Drive.

Mr. Rowlands said this Ordinance was postponed last week to allow the Legal Department to process the deeds. Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Ordinance No. 16246:

Providing for the construction, reconstruction and repair of sidewalks, gutters and curbs in various parts of the City.

Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Ordinance No. 16247:

Providing for the annexation of Scenic View Heights Plat, N.E. Tacoma, to Dash Point area in the vicinity of Scenic Drive and Beverly Avenue.

Mayor Hanson thanked Mr. Potucek for presenting this petition for annexation and said this is the first one since 1952.

Dr. Humiston said he hoped this was the first of many such petitions.

Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Ordinance No. 16248:

Amending Section 13.06.275 of the Official Code relating to zoning - "C-P" Districts - Regional Shopping Center.

Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Ordinance No. 16250:

Amending Chapter 13.06 of the Official Code by deleting certain described property from Section 13.06.050 and adding a new section to be known as Section 13.06.160 (2). Located at the N.E. corner of South Tacoma Way and L Street.

Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Ordinance No. 16251:

Amending the Official Code relating to zoning by adding a new section to be known as Section 13.06.120 (8). Located on both sides of Center Street from South Orchard Street to South Huson Street.

Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

This is the date fixed for hearing on the petition of Frank Johnson for the vacation of the northerly portion of South Tacoma Way between South 35th and Cedar Streets.

Mr. Buehler said this petition was heard before the Planning Commission and it was recommended that it be denied as there was a 42 inch concrete well pipeline of the Water Division that crosses the property. It would be impossible to vacate this unless the pipe is relocated. Mr. Frank Johnson has passed away since this petition was presented and the property is now being probated. George Johnson, his nephew, has asked that this be put over for 90 days until the final probate of the property to see if he wishes to pay the money for relocation of this line.

Mr. Anderson moved that this hearing be postponed until April 13, 1959.
Seconded by Mr. Bratrud. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

This is the date fixed for hearing on the petition of Herman Westcott for the vacation of the west 20' of North Junett Street from North 31st to the alley between North 31st and North 32nd Streets.

Mr. Buehler said the Planning Commission held a hearing on this petition and they recommended that it amended to vacate the west 26' of North Junett in place of the west 20'. The reason for this is that the face of a retaining wall is located 6' east of, and parallel with, the east line of the proposed vacation. The petitioner plans to build a wing from the existing one-family dwelling and it was ascertained by the Public Works Department that the retaining wall in its present condition would be placed in a hazardous condition by the applicant's plans. This recommendation for a 26' vacation would place the responsibility for the retaining wall upon the applicant.

Mr. Bratrud moved that the Council accept the recommendation of the Planning Commission that the vacation, as amended, be approved. Seconded by Dr. Humiston.
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

This is the date fixed for hearing on the petition of John Serfago for the vacation of a portion of the north side of South 56th Street between South Lawrence and the flume line right-of-way.

Mr. Buehler said the Planning Commission recommended denial of this petition because the cost of the utility relocations which would be necessary was more than the petitioner was willing to pay, a total of more than \$4000. No method was found that would allow the vacation without this relocation of these utilities.

Mr. Easterday moved that the Council concur with the Planning Commission's recommendation and deny the petition. Seconded by Mr. Bratrud. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

This is the date fixed for hearing on the petition of Walter L. Waggoner for the vacation of 20' on both sides of North Madison between North 31st Street and the alley between North 31st and North 32nd Streets. 492
50:18

Mr. Buehler said the Planning Commission recommended approval of the vacation subject to the condition that the petitioner pay the \$75 cost of relocating the water meter serving 3923 North 31st Street.

Dr. Humiston moved that the Council concur in the recommendation of the Planning Commission and approve the vacation subject to the condition stated. Seconded by Mrs. Price. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

This is the date to which Council continued the hearing on the petition of A. F. Gratzner for the vacation of streets and alleys in the area bounded approximately by the freeway; so. 48th Street; So. Pine and So. 43rd Streets. 540

Mr. Buehler said the Planning Commission had recommended that if the rezoning petition of Allied Owners was denied by the Council, this petition for the vacation of streets and alleys in the site proposed for rezoning to permit construction of the Town Center regional shopping center should also be denied.

Dr. Humiston moved that the vacation be denied. Seconded by Mr. Perdue. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

REPORTS:

MC- 245 - Fourth Progress Report on Capital Improvements Program. 50:56

MC- 246 - L I D No. 4653 - East D Street, East E, and 7th Streets. 553- 566

Communication to Robert MacFarlane, President of the N.P. Railway Company in reference to the decrease of employment at the South Tacoma Shops. 546
50:397

Report on the Engineering Study for a Schedule of Sewer Service Charges by Thomas and Harstad Associates. 540
554
559

The Role of the City Manager in Policy Formulation.

ITEMS FOR FILING IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK:

Record of cases in the Municipal Court during the month of December, 1958.

Mr. Rowlands said it was brought to his attention that there was a slight error in the Harstad & Thomas Report. On page 8 in the second paragraph in the next to the last sentence, the figure should be "300 parts per million" instead of "200." 559

JAN 12 1959

On page 8 in the third paragraph in the fourth line the figure "200" should also be changed to "300."

Mr. Schuster, Director of Public Works, passed out some pictures that were taken of the Day Island Bridge for the Council's information, and explained that the County is preparing to raze the bridge. He said possibly the City should request that the County not raze the railroad overpass since this might be used in the future. It was suggested that the staff prepare an MC outlining the alternate plans and costs for making revisions to the existing bridge for next week's meeting.

Mr. Anderson said Judge Potter had finished his term as Police Judge and asked that the Manager have a Resolution prepared commending Judge Potter on his six years of faithful service with the City.

There being no further business to come before the meeting, upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting was adjourned at 7:05 P.M.

Ben Harrison
President of the City Council

Attest:

Josephine Neeter
City Clerk