

## CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

City Council Chambers, 7:00 P. M.  
Wednesday, July 5, 1961

Council met in regular session. Present on roll call 6: Cvitanich, Easterday, Murtland, Olson, Porter and Price. Absent: Bott, Steele and Mayor Hanson. Mr. Bott coming in at 7:10 P. M. Mr. Hanson coming in at 7:15 P. M. Mr. Steele coming in at 7:30 P. M. In the absence of Mayor Hanson, Mr. Porter, Deputy Mayor, presided.

Mr. Easterday moved that the minutes of the meeting of June 20, 1961 be approved as submitted. Seconded by Mrs. Price. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

RESOLUTIONS:

Resolution No. 16624: (postponed from the meeting of June 20, 1961)

Amending Rule 1 of the City Council Rules by changing the City Council meetings from Tuesday at 7:00 P. M. to Monday at 7:00 P. M. and rescinding Resolution No. 16538.

Mrs. Price moved that this be placed at the end of the Resolutions to give the Council members who are not present at the moment an opportunity to act upon it. Seconded by Mr. Easterday. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Mr. Bott coming in at this time.

Resolution No. 16630:

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to expend the sum of \$12,000 or so much as may be necessary from moneys available in the Storm Drain Construction Fund of the 1958 Bond Program for the purpose of acquiring property in the Snake Lake area for the purpose of constructing a holding basin.

It was moved by Mrs. Price that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Murtland.

Mr. Schuster, Public Works Director, explained that the area involved in this Resolution is known as the Snake Lake area and is now serving as a holding basin. There will be no change in the area whatsoever. It is simply a protective measure to obtain the property so that it can continue to function as a holding basin.

Mr. Cvitanich asked if this holding basin was recommended in the Brown and Caldwell report of 1958?

**City Council Minutes - Page 2 - July 5, 1961**

Mr. Schuster said it was indicated it should be retained as such. At that time it was serving as a holding basin and there will be no change.

Mr. Cvitanich asked if this was put in the budget as one of the City's projects.

Mr. Schuster said it was not in the budget as a project. It is strictly obtaining property. There is no construction planned.

Mayor Hanson coming in at this time and assuming the Chair.

Mr. Bott said the last time the Council authorized a holding basin, it turned out to be Leach Creek. He asked if there was any possibility of this problem being repeated.

Mr. Schuster replied that the Department has no intention of changing anything in the area. It is functioning adequately at the present time and has the capacity to function adequately in the foreseeable future. They have no intention of getting into another Leach Creek problem, because this is already a holding basin.

Mrs. Olson asked how much property this money would purchase and also who were the owners of the property?

Mr. Schuster explained that he did not have that information. He knew there was private property concerned as well as Metropolitan Park property.

Mrs. Olson said she would like the City Council to have an opportunity to look at this property before the Resolution is passed.

Mr. Easterday moved that the Resolution be postponed until July 18, 1961. Seconded by Mr. Porter. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

**Resolution No. 16637:**

Fixing Monday, July 24, 1961 at 4:00 P. M. as the date for hearing on L I D 3515 for sanitary sewers on South 9th Street to 14th Street, Orchard to Shirley Street.

It was moved by Mr. Bott that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

**Resolution No. 16638:**

Fixing Monday, July 24, 1961 at 4:00 P. M. as the date for hearing on L I D 3529 for sanitary sewers on North Frace, Whitman, Vassault from 37th Street to 46th Street.

It was moved by Mr. Easterday that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

City Council Minutes - Page 3 - July 5, 1961

Resolution No. 16639:

Awarding the contract for the sale and removal of the house at 2824 So. J Street to Kurtis Mayer on his bid of \$1,850.00 plus tax, and the house at 2834 South I Street to D. J. Dickson on his bid of \$200.00 plus tax.

It was moved by Mr. Bott that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Murtland.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16640:

Awarding the contract to Tucci and Sons, Inc. for L I D 4669 in the amount of \$52,194.80 plus the supplemental bid of \$1,457.00 which was determined to be the lowest and best bid.

It was moved by Mrs. Price that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Easterday.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16641:

Authorizing the sale of miscellaneous salvage items to General Metals of Tacoma, Northwest Pipe & Salvage Co., Simon Junk Company, Pacific Iron & Metal Company and American Surplus Sales, in the total sum of \$5,083.96.

It was moved by Mrs. Price that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Easterday.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16642:

Authorizing the extension of the Ohio Ferro-Alloys power contract for 60 days to September 21, 1961, and amending Resolution No. 16565.

It was moved by Mrs. Price that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

City Council Minutes - Page 4 - July 5, 1961

**Resolution No. 16643:**

Approving the contract between the Western Clinic of Tacoma and the Police Pension Board for employees of the Police Department for the year effective July 1, 1961.

It was moved by Mr. Easterday that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mrs. Price.

Mr. Cvitanich asked if this was put out on bids.

Mayor Hanson said inquiries were made of various insurance agencies to present proposals and none of them have ever come close to the Western Clinic bid.

Mr. Gaisford said the same situation is true with the Firemen. It seems as though the Contract for the Police personnel has been given to Western Clinic for many years, and the Fire Department contract to Pierce County Medical Bureau.

Mayor Hanson said this charge is much in line with the prevailing rates of comparative insurance programs.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

**Resolution No. 16644:**

Authorizing the proper officers to execute a local improvement assessment deed on behalf of the City to John T. and Muriel M. Hood for unimproved property located on the north side of North 28th Street between North Pine and North Oakes Street, for the sum of \$10.00.

It was moved by Mrs. Price that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Easterday.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

**Resolution No. 16645:**

Granting an increase in compensation to the employees of the City of Tacoma as provided in the contingency budget, effective July 3, 1961. 28

It was moved by Mrs. Price that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

## City Council Minutes - Page 5 - July 5, 1961

Resolution No. 16646:

The Council of the City of Tacoma expressing its intention to participate in the Century "21" Exposition, and to include in the 1962 Budget the sum of \$20,000 for this purpose. 38  
41167

It was moved by Mr. Cvitanich that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mrs. Price.

Mayor Hanson said Mr. Frank Stewart of Century "21" is present this evening to answer any questions the Council may have on this matter.

Mr. Stewart explained that he thought most everyone was familiar with the facts about Century "21". All of the major buildings are now under construction; the project is on schedule. The monorail and the space needle are under construction. Over 80% of the space has been sold and a world wide publicity and promotion campaign has begun. This is a brief summary of the status of Century "21", he added.

He said it has been some time since he has talked with Mayor Hanson and other representatives of Tacoma about Tacoma's participation in this event. The Commission and the officers of the Corporation want Tacoma as an exhibitor. It is felt this is more than a Seattle show, it includes Tacoma and all of the State of Washington.

Mayor Hanson said there is much work to be accomplished between now and the opening date with regard to Tacoma's actual exhibit. A meeting at 11:00 A. M. will be held in his office on Thursday morning and he asked that any interested Council member as well as those on the Century "21" Committee be present for this meeting.

Mr. Murtland asked for what purpose is this \$20,000 to be used.

Mayor Hanson explained that estimated budgets show the total participation of this community to be in the neighborhood of \$100,000. In order to get definite commitments from other groups it was felt that the City should take the first step in terms of committing itself to a definite amount. Prior to the actual expenditure of the funds, the amounts and purposes will be presented to the Council. Of course the initial expense will be the cost of the rental of the site on the fair, proper.

Mr. Cvitanich asked Mr. McCormick if there will be any legal problems to face in this proposition.

Mr. McCormick said he was given the proposed space agreement by Mr. Bond, Public Information Officer, to look over this morning and he has not had ample opportunity to completely analyse the agreement, however, if this is the agreement the City is to enter into, it calls for an expenditure of \$32,140.00 this year.

Mayor Hanson said this is the expenditure that should have been met prior to this. However, it is hoped that with the commitment of \$20,000 on the part of the City, they will be able to ask other agencies, better able to provide the immediate cash, to meet this immediate requirement. These funds will then be on the basis of a commitment so that the City could receive other participation.

Mr. McCormick said the \$20,000 will not then be sufficient.

Mayor Hanson stated that was correct. He added, it should be understood

## City Council Minutes - Page 6 - July 5, 1961

that this is the City's intention of participating; it is not actually appropriating the cash. The plan and program will have to be worked out to the fullest extent possible before the funds are actually expended.

Mr. Steele said he thought Tacoma should advise the City of Seattle that they wholeheartedly support their program. He said he thought \$20,000 was a mere pittance of what Tacoma will reap from this, and earnestly suggested the adoption of this Resolution and the inclusion of \$20,000 in the budget for 1962.

Mayor Hanson said he thought this was a rare opportunity for the people throughout the Country and the world to acquaint themselves with the City of Tacoma. Seattle being the largest City on the Sound, this area is identified by Seattle. Many people are surprised to hear that there is another very aggressive community in this area.

Voice vote was taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Resolution No. 16647:

Commending Harold Johnson for his five years of service to the City of Tacoma as a member of the Public Utilities Board. 45

It was moved by Mr. Steele that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Mayor Hanson said the five years that Harold Johnson has served on the Utility Board has represented a great sacrifice to Mr. Johnson but he has had his reward in terms of his own education in better preparing himself to serve the City in that capacity and the satisfaction of seeing progress being made in the great program that our Utilities have been and are presently engaged in developing. Although he has not been bombastic or publicly outspoken in most instances, he has shown a very high degree of stability and common sense and has brought as much as anyone who has ever served this City, the contribution to Tacoma of working through his well thought-out decisions, for the best interests of the Utilities. We are faced with a great number of very intricate decisions, decisions, that will be involved not only in policy of this City but they will also be involving National policy. I refer to the policies surrounding the California intertie, the position of the City with reference to the power picture generally as it is constantly changing as a result of the possible Columbia River Development in upper reaches. We will be looked to for positions with reference to the generation of electricity from the presently wasted heat that is generated at the Hanford Atomic Plant, knowledge with reference to conditions and provisions within the Federal Power Administration Act that place us in jeopardy of losing our beneficial position to California. There are few who realize that the present Bonneville Power Act does not give us a priority on the power generated at the Bonneville Power Project. Should an intertie develop by private means they would be duty bound to serve power no matter where that power is connected and it would mean that it would go to California if such a tie were privately financed and we would have

**City Council Minutes - Page 7 - July 5, 1961**

no protection whatsoever of bringing the power back here when needed. There are a great number of complicated matters that face us; matters that perhaps may not have an immediate effect upon our every day living, but which could well result in the decision of whether or not we receive a preeminence in the field of low cost power. For that reason he wholeheartedly approved of this Resolution. He said he knew that Mr. Johnson has received his satisfaction from the knowledge that he has truly dedicated himself to the task of working for the benefit of Tacoma and Tacoma City Light, and he would ask the City Council to unanimously adopt this Resolution.

Mr. Steele said he could but echo Mayor Hanson's observations with reference to the services rendered to the City by Harold Johnson. He said Mr. Johnson has given fully of his time and experience for the past 5 years, and urged the Council to unanimously endorse this Resolution.

Voice vote was then taken on the Resolution.

The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

**Resolution No. 16648:**

Reappointing Harold Johnson as a member of the Public Utility Board of the City of Tacoma for a five year term, expiring on the 30th day of June, 1966. <sup>44</sup> 46

Mrs. Price moved that this Resolution be tabled. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Roll call was taken on the motion resulting as follows:

Ayes 6; Nays 3; Bott, Murtland, Mayor Hanson. Absent 0. Motion carried.

Mr. Bott said although he has the highest regard for Mr. Johnson who has done an outstanding job on the Utilities Board, as have all the Council members as shown by the unanimous passage of Resolution No. 16647 commending him on his service, and inasmuch as he would like to see him continue on the Board for the next five years, out of consideration of his fellow Council members who have seen fit to introduce the name of Dr. A. Adams without prior notification to him, he felt it would only be fair to consider Dr. Adams' nomination plus any other names the Council might want to suggest. He said he has not had the opportunity to personally speak with Dr. Adams and he thought it would only be proper that before he could conscientiously vote on his appointment that he should have the opportunity of interviewing him. Therefore in light of the Assistant City Attorney's letter received by the Council wherein it states that Mr. Johnson's term does not expire until his successor has been appointed there will be ample time to contact Dr. Adams without causing any interruptions of our very important Public Utilities Department. He, therefore, asked that the Council delay action on the following Resolution No. 16649 for two weeks. He thought it was only fair that the Council members have an opportunity to interview this gentlemen.

City Council Minutes - Page 8 - July 5, 1961

Resolution No. 16649:

Appointing A. Allen Adams as a member of the Public Utility Board of the City of Tacoma for a five year term, expiring on the 30th day of June, 1966. <sup>45</sup>

It was moved by Mr. Steele that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Mr. Bott said again he asked that out of fairness to the rest of the Council that this Resolution be postponed. He said he hasn't had an opportunity to meet Dr. Adams and would like to speak with him before voting on this Resolution.

Mr. Bott then moved that the Resolution be delayed for two weeks.  
Seconded by Mr. Murtland.

Mrs. Price said she would like to make a statement before voting on this motion. She has always felt that when a councilman has for some reason or other requested a Resolution be held over for further study that this should be granted.

Roll call was then taken on the motion resulting as follows:

Ayes 4; Nays 5, Cvitanich, Easterday, Olson, Porter and Steele; Absent 0.  
Motion lost.

Mr. Murtland: (Verbatim)

I did not realize that I would not get a chance to talk the last time on that other matter. I am sure that the Council members are aware, of course, not to call it an excuse, but I knew nothing of this until about 6:05 P. M. tonight, having been out of the City for the last three weeks. I guess Mr. Bott did not know about it until last Friday. It seemed to me that this is nothing so urgent that it could not be delayed in some manner. I do not know A. Allen Adams. I do know Harold Johnson. I have known him for several years. I think that there should be some courtesy shown by the members of the Council to in some way delay this Resolution. There might be something that will be brought to my attention that I could bring to their attention that might persuade them that, perhaps, Mr. Adams was not the man for the position. I am not looking for such a thing, but it might be. It might be that Mr. Adams is a better man than Mr. Johnson and I would certainly be willing to vote for him if such should be the case. But I do not see how I can in any way vote for a Resolution of this nature when the man, Adams, is an unknown. I know quite a number of Doctors, Chiropractors, Osteopaths in town, but I do not happen to know this Dr. Adams. Therefore, I ask for the indulgence of my fellow Council members that voted against continuance of this, if not for two weeks, at least for a week. Mr. Bott had asked for two weeks and I do not think that that is at all out of line. If this did not come to the attention of the other members of the Council except these five, Steele, Porter, Olson, Easterday and Cvitanich, until last Friday, then I don't quite see that there is such a thing as due courtesy among the Council. We should expect that of ourselves, I think. Now as far as, I take and commend Mrs. Price on her manner in which she voted. I do not know what her vote would be as far as the nomination of Mr. Adams is concerned, but it doesn't seem that my absence



City Council Minutes - Page 9 - July 5, 1961

from the City is what caused my failure to know about this Mr. Adams. It seems to be for some other reason. So I would certainly ask the Council members to extend the courtesy that I have tried to extend in the past as far as matters of this type are concerned.

Mrs. Olson said she did not choose to belabor this point, but Mr. Bott would have had the opportunity to speak with Mr. Adams since Friday. Mr. Murtland has been out of the City but it has been by his own choice. If the Council consistently and constantly delay matters because all members of the Council are not present at all meetings, the business of the City would move in a very slow and cumbersome fashion. For the benefit of those who do not happen to know Dr. Adams, she would only say that he is very well respected in his own profession, that he has been for 17 years the State President of the Washington State Association of Chiropractors and he has been for 12 years the Vice President of the International Association of Chiropractors. He is also a businessman, he has resided in the City of Tacoma for 12 years, he is a native of the State of Washington. She was certain he was a capable man and could serve very well and adequately in this position.

Mr. Bott said, in asking for the delay there is no inference that Dr. Adams is not an individual of the very highest caliber. However, he cannot see the reason for this rush and did not think it was fair either to Dr. Adams or Mr. Johnson. Every Council member has had ample opportunity for making nominations since the Mayor notified the Council in writing two weeks ago that he had this nomination to make. He cannot see for the life of him why this should be pushed through. The only thing he asks for is a delay to give him and others who so desire to meet with Dr. Adams. He did not think that was too much to ask in a situation such as this because it is a very very important position.

Mr. Porter said he was surprised that Mr. Murtland has not heard the name of Dr. Adams before because it was his understanding and opinion that the Mayor intended originally to nominate Dr. Adams for this position, and Mr. Porter was aware of that, and he thought that perhaps other members of the Council would be, however, evidently they were not. He also said as far as the nomination is concerned, it is as prescribed by the Charter; the Mayor may nominate in writing, which the Mayor did just a little over a week ago, or any three or more members of the Council may nominate in writing which five members did. Therefore, the Council would be just as right in voting on this nomination as the preceding one, in his opinion.

Mr. Steele said, as he recalls last Tuesday afternoon he inquired of Mr. Bott in the Mayor's office as to what had happened with Dr. Adams being nominated for this position. The fact is, he was curious as to how suddenly there has been a switch from Dr. Adams. So at least as of last Tuesday, Mr. Bott was apprised of the fact that he was disturbed as to what had happened to Dr. Adams so far as his being on this Board.

Mr. Steele said he has always held Dr. Adams in high esteem and has thought that when it came to his attention that Dr. Adams was a possible on the Utility Board, that he was most acceptable. He accepted Mr. Porter's suggestion that he join on a nominating petition for Dr. Adams. He thought Dr. Adams would ably discharge the responsibilities of a Utility Board member

## City Council Minutes - Page 10 - July 5, 1961

and could see no reason for continuing the matter. The sooner a decision is reached, the sooner peace may be expected.

Mr. Bott explained lest there be any misunderstanding, Mr. Steele did not inform him about Dr. Adams. As Mr. Steele will recall, he came into the Mayor's office and said, "What is this about Adams" and Mr. Bott answered, "What about Adams?" Nothing definitely was said and he did not consider this as official notice that Mr. Steele was endorsing Dr. Adams or nominating him.

*(Following portion amended see insert)*  
Mayor Hanson said he thought it was ironic that the Council should be discussing how much the other person knows about Dr. Adams. There has been occasions time after time where the Council has gone into issues thoroughly from one hearing after another discussing the matter for weeks, and then bringing it up finally for action and someone present says they do not know anything about it, let's have a continuance. Usually the group has shown the courtesy to grant a continuance if it hasn't basically affected the position of the City. These helpful reminders of how well Mr. Bott knows Dr. Adams is rather a curious situation when we witness these other occasions where the same people suddenly come forward and say, after having hearings after hearings, that they do not know anything about it and can't act on it until they have further information. Reference is made as to a discussion of Dr. Adams. As the Council discusses many things he indicated that he was giving serious consideration to the appointment of Dr. Adams. There were several others that he was considering also. But he never got to the point that there was any decision on his part. He knew there were those who felt that Dr. Adams would be a good man and he can't differ with them. But here the Council has the opportunity of a man who has shown his extreme value to the City's Public Utilities for 5 years, and here is a man with five years of experience to show even greater value to our Utilities in the coming years. It is not a matter of whether or not Dr. Adams is qualified or would be a good man. It is a matter of casting aside a man who has proven his worth, who is interested enough in the City of Tacoma and the development of our utilities to continue in this challenging job. It is a matter of just throwing aside that great reservoir of experience and knowledge gained over a five year period, coupled with this testing period of showing his ability to analyze clearly and to calmly make decisions that are for the best interest of the Utilities, and the Council says, "Well, you sure did a wonderful job, now let's put somebody else in because he is a fine man." No reflection on Dr. Adams that the man who has proven himself in experience and a five year educational period is taken in his place, rather than just assuming that because the Council discussed at some point along the way the possibility of appointing Dr. Adams; that was certainly no commitment. The Mayor said he gave his notice of his intention some time ago, in advance of the last Council meeting. There was not a word of question with reference to it. Those who have come in with this new nomination-why was this not brought up at the last Council meeting, and why all of a sudden the rules change, the attitudes switch, suddenly there is the utmost necessity to push this through without further consideration. This is, perhaps, the most important appointment the Council will make. He could see no reason for this urgency. *(See insert for amendment)*

VERBATIM PORTION OF MINUTES OF JULY 5, 1961 REQUESTED BY MRS. OLSON  
to be inserted on page 10 during discussion on Resolution No. 16C49.

July 25, 1961

Mayor Hanson: I think this is rather ironic that we should be discussing how much the other person knows about Mr. Adams. I know there have been occasions time after time where we've gone into issues thoroughly after one hearing, one hearing after another, discussed for weeks and then we bring it up finally for action and somebody who was there says, "Well, I don't know anything about it. Let's have a continuance." Usually the group has shown the courtesy to grant a continuance if it hasn't basically affected the position of the City. These helpful reminders of how well Mr. Bott knows Dr. Adams is a rather curious situation when we witness these other occasions where the same people suddenly come forward and say, after we have had hearing after hearing and discussion, "Well, I don't know anything about it. This thing is brought into me at the last minute. I don't think I can act on it until I have further information."

Now, reference is made to a discussion of Dr. Adams. As we discuss many things, I indicated that I was giving serious consideration to the appointment of Dr. Adams. There were several others I was considering. It never got to the point where there was any decision on my part. I know that there were those who felt that he would be a good man and I can't differ with them; but here we have an opportunity of a man who has shown his extreme value to our Public Utilities for 5 years, and here is a man with five years of experience to show even greater value to our utilities in the coming years. It is not a matter of whether or not Dr. Adams is qualified or would be a good man. It is a matter of casting aside a man who has proven his worth, who is interested enough in the City of Tacoma and the development of our utilities to continue in this challenging, although sometimes frustrating job, on the Utility Board; it is a matter of just throwing aside that great reservoir of experience and knowledge that is gained over a 5 year period, coupled with this testing period of showing his ability to analyze clearly and calmly make decisions that are for the best interests of our utilities. And we say, "Well he sure did a wonderful job. Now let's put somebody else in cause he is a fine man." Well there is no reflection on Dr. Adams that the man who has proven himself in both experience and a five year educational period, in taking him rather than assuming that just because we have discussed at some point along the way the possibility of appointing Dr. Adams. It was certainly no commitment.

I gave my notice of my intention some time ago. At least it was in advance of the last Council meeting. There was not one word of question with reference to it. Those who have come in with this new nomination, I believe the day before I received the public notice in the paper, Mr. Steele called on, I think that was Friday morning (Mr. Steele in background: Thursday night) and told me what he intended to do; but, why was this not brought up at the last Council session, and again I say, why all of a sudden the rules change, the attitude switches, suddenly there is the utmost necessity to push this through without further consideration and this is perhaps the most important appointment that we will have to face in all

Requested amendment - page 2

the time we sit here on the Council. I see no reason for this urgency and to quote a phrase that has been used by others who now propose that we proceed with the utmost dispatch and say "Why are we being pushed into this."

Mr. Cvitanich: Mr. Chairman ...

Mayor Hanson: I might also say there is a question, I would like to know the extent, if any, of Dr. Adams' investments in the U. S. Oil and Refining Co., because I know that there are some matters that will be coming before the Utilities that will involve that corporation.

Mr. Cvitanich: Mr. Chairman ...

Mrs. Olson: Mr. Mayor, Mr. Mayor, point of order please; point of order please.

Mayor Hanson: Mrs. Olson.

Mrs. Olann: Mr. Mayor. We are discussing Resolution No. 16649. We have during the course of that discussion talked about the last motion which was to table, which was out of order. We have talked about Resolution No. 16647 or 48 which has already been tabled. Resolution No. 16649 is before us for action and I will at this moment move the previous question, but I wish to say this much...

Mr. Steele: You can't say anything, you have moved the previous question.

Mrs. Olson: I would like to say this ...

Mr. Steele: You can't say it. I rise to a point of order.

Mr. Cvitanich: Mr. Mayor....

Mrs. Olson: It has not been seconded.

Mayor Hanson: Is there a second?

Mr. Easterday: I second it.

Mayor Hanson: The previous question has been moved and seconded. Those in favor say "aye." Opposed "no" Carried.

Mrs. Olson: Mr. Mayor. Point of personal privilege.

Mr. Steele: No argument, no debate.

Mayor Hanson: Mrs. Olson, after the Resolution is through you can make whatever statements you want. We are not .... The previous question has been passed by more than one more than the majority and we have before us the question.

Mr. Bott: What is the previous question.

Requested amendment - Page 3

Mayor Hanson: The Resolution before us. Proceed with the roll call on the Resolution.

Mr. Cvitanich: Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Hanson: Mr. Cvitanich.

Mr. Cvitanich: Mr. Chairman, I was going to move for a postponement of a week's time out of courtesy to Mr. Bott and Mr. Murtland before we started involving personalities in the discussion. We speak of trying to get along with one another and then we start making personal barbs and personal issues, but out of courtesy to Mr. Bott and Mr. Murtland, I will move for a week's postponement.

Mrs. Olson: It is too late ...

Mr. Steele: Point of order.

Mayor Hanson: Let me see, what is the priority here. A previous question - is that of higher order?

Mr. McCormick: That is higher in rank than a postponement.

Mayor Hanson: The motion to postpone then is out of order.

Mr. McCormick: The previous question has priority.

Mayor Hanson: So, to vote in favor of the Resolution; well, we have before us the previous question. Proceed with the roll call.

Easterday: Aye

Murtland: I would like to make one statement. I said when I came on this Council I would not pass on any Resolution, that I would do the best I could to determine whether I should vote "aye" or "nay". In this one I cannot make such a determination because I am not given that opportunity. Therefore I will pass.

Olson: Aye

Porter: Aye

Price: I would like to make a statement too. I think it is very unfortunate that Dr. Adams' name has been bantered around so much. I do not know Dr. Adams. I have heard nothing but good things about him and I think it is very unfortunate that anybody is appointed to a Board without the unanimous approval of the Council. I know that Dr. Adams is going to be appointed to this Board and I would like to wish him well and I will vote for Dr. Adams at this time. So I will vote aye.

Steele: Aye.

Bott: I am forced to pass.

Cvitanich: Aye.

Mayor Hanson: No.

Mayor Hanson: Now with reference to Dr. Adams, I felt that nothing here has cast any reflection on him. I am acquainted with him and hold him in the utmost regard. However, I do feel that this is an appointment - it has got to this point, all of us should have the opportunity to question him in terms of his position. I know that he is a man of high integrity. I know he will serve the Board well. However, it is quite obvious, the reason I voted "no" is I felt we had a more qualified man in terms of experience. However, and I do not - I'll put it this way, I am confident, although I would like to have talked to him more fully on the subject prior to the appointment, that he will put the best interests of the utilities first. He will serve well.

Mr. Porter: As long as we are having post mortems, several days ago the subject of various nominees to this position on the Board being pledged to certain candidates for Director of Utilities came up, and I went to Dr. Adams and asked him if he was pledged to any certain candidate for Director of Utilities and he said "no," that absolutely he was not, that he had no prejudices for or against anyone who has been suggested. I was naturally well aware of his qualifications for the position of Director, but his answer in this case, showing that he was still opened minded on the subject of choosing the director is the final thing that caused me to feel sure that he is a good proper man for the position on the Utility Board.

Mrs. Olson: Mr. Chairman.

Mayor Hanson: Mrs. Olson.

Mrs. Olson: Since the subject of the U. S. Oil & Refining Co. has been raised, I would only like to state that it is a wholly owned subsidiary of Tine Oil Co. and there are no stockholders, other than one.

Mr. Bott: I would like to request in the interest of harmony and the interest of the City of Tacoma in general that if a thing like this comes up again that we give each other the courtesy of discussing it in our entirety before we just bring it up with one group; because naturally it gives credence to this thought that there are cliques which I have always sworn there wasn't and I hope that I can continue to say that; but it is wrong and I wish that we could get together and put this stuff all out on the table so that we can tell in advance and then there won't be this because it must be embarrassing and it will be for Dr. Adams, and I hate to be one that just by withholding my vote will be voting against it, because it may be unfair to the gentleman. But I certainly do think that we should all have the courtesy of the confidence of each other in advance so that we can do this. It was just an oversight, no doubt, on somebody's part. I hope to think so.

Mrs. Price: I think that this is the sort of thing that keeps many good citizens from serving on committees and boards that they are asked to serve on because they do hesitate to have their name mentioned and have it hashed over as Dr. Adams' name was tonight, and Mr. Johnson's. I think it is rather unfortunate because we do miss an opportunity of having some very fine people serve on various boards and committees by doing just this sort of thing.

Mayor Hanson: I might say that I sent notice to the Council of my intentions. I assumed that they could have come in individually or as a group. We could have discussed it. There was no offer to discuss the second nominee. This could well have been accomplished had such a request been made.

Requested amendment - Page 5

Mrs. Olson: Mr. McCormick, was the Charter complied with in this particular instance?

Mr. McCormick: Yes. Under the new amendment any three members of the Council has the right to nominate.

Mrs. Olson: So this was a perfectly legal and proper thing.

Mr. McCormick: As far as the legality, it was properly done.

Mayor Hanson: Had it been otherwise ....

Mr. Murtland: the results would have been different.

Mr. Bott: Mr. Mayor, I was not stating that I didn't think it was legal as far as legality goes, but I just think out of courtesy to the rest of the members that we should confer one with the other.

Mr. Easterday: We will do so from now on, I assure you.

Mr. Murtland: Until the next time you mean.

Mr. Porter: I am willing to confer with anyone who wishes to confer with me at any time.

Mr. Bott: We are not mind readers. We don't know what you are thinking.

Mayor Hanson then referred back on the Agenda to Resolution No. 16624 which had been set over to the end of the Resolutions.

City Council Minutes - Page 11 - July 5, 1961

*See insert for Amendment.*  
Mrs. Olson then moved the previous question. Seconded by Mr. Easterday  
Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Mr. Cvitanich said he was going to move for a postponement of this Resolution out of courtesy to Mr. Bott and Mr. Murtland.

Mayor Hanson said the previous question is of higher rank than that of postponement; therefore the motion for postponement is out of order.

Mr. Murtland remarked that as he stated before, that he would not pass on any Resolution, that he would do the best he could to determine whether he should vote aye or nay. However, he could not make that determination in this case as he was not given that opportunity; therefore he will pass.

Mr. Bott said he will also be forced to pass on this Resolution.

Mrs. Price said she thought it was very unfortunate that Dr. Adams' name has been bantered around this evening. She did not know Dr. Adams but had heard nothing but good things about him. She thought it very unfortunate that anyone be appointed to a Board without a unanimous approval of the Council. Therefore, she would like to wish him all and would vote for him.

Mayor Hanson said he felt that nothing said tonight has cast any reflection on Dr. Adams. He is acquainted with the Dr. and holds him in the utmost regard. Dr. Adams is a man of high integrity and will serve the Board well; however, it is quite obvious the reason he will vote "No" on the Resolution is that he feels there is a more qualified man in terms of experience. However, he is confident that Dr. Adams will put the best interests of the Utilities first and will serve well.

Mr. Porter said several days ago the subject of the various nominees to the position on the Board being pledged to certain candidates for Director of Utilities arose, and he asked Dr. Adams if he was pledged to any certain candidate for Director of Utilities and he said that he was not, and had no prejudices for or against anyone suggested. Mr. Porter said he was naturally well aware of Dr. Adams' qualifications for this position, but his answer in this case showing that he was so open minded on the subject of choosing the Director was the final thing that caused Mr. Porter to feel that Dr. Adams was the proper man for the Utility Board Member position.

Roll call was then taken on the Resolution resulting as follows:

Ayes 6; Nays 3, Bott (passing), Murtland (passing) and Mayor Hanson. Absent 0. The Resolution was then declared adopted by the Chairman.

Mr. Bott said he would like to request for the sake of harmony and the interest of the City of Tacoma in general that should a similar situation arise in the future that the Council members give each other the courtesy of discussing it in its entirety before bringing it up with one group. Naturally it gives credence to the thought that there are cliques and he wished the Council could get together and discuss the matter thoroughly so there will not be this type of controversy arising again. This must be embarrassing for Dr. Adams; he said he disliked being one that by withholding his vote would be voting against him because it might be unfair to the gentlemen. He certainly did think that the Council members should all have the courtesy and confidence of each other in advance so that this will be avoided.



## City Council Minutes - Page 12 - July 5, 1961

Mrs. Price said she thought this sort of thing was what kept many good citizens from serving on committees and boards on which they are asked to serve, because they do hesitate to have their name mentioned and hashed over as Dr. Adams' and Mr. Johnson's were this evening. She thought this was unfortunate because the opportunity of having many fine people serve on boards is missed by doing just this sort of thing.

Mayor Hanson said he sent notices to the Council of his intentions and assumed that they could have come in individually or as a group to discuss this. There was no offer or discussion on the second nominee and this could well have been accomplished, should such a request been made.

Mrs. Olson asked Mr. McCormick if the Charter was complied with in this particular instance.

Mr. McCormick said that it was.

Mrs. Olson said, so this was a perfectly legal and proper thing.

Mr. McCormick said as far as the legality, it was properly done.

Mr. Bot said he has not questioned the legality. He simply mentioned that out of courtesy to the rest of the members, that one should confer with the other. *Amendment ends here see insert.*

Mayor Hanson referred back on the Agenda to Resolution No. 16624 which had been set over to the end of the Resolutions.

Resolution No. 16624:

Amending Rule 1 of the City Council by changing the City Council meetings from Tuesday at 7:00 P. M. to Monday at 7:00 P. M. and rescinding Resolution No. 15538.

39

It was moved by Mr. Porter that the Resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mrs. Price.

Mr. Easterday said a year ago when the Council's meeting time was changed from Monday to Tuesday evenings, he made this motion so as to make it convenient for Mr. Murtland to carry on a class at the University of Puget Sound. Since the classes are not in session until next Fall, he knew it would be much easier for them to change their class hours than it would be for two different organizations to change their meeting nights that he has not been able to attend in the last year. He was certain that Mr. Murtland could arrange to have his class on Tuesday night as well as on Monday with this much notice. Therefore, he requested that this Resolution be prepared and also postponed until Mr. Murtland was present so he could have an opportunity to speak on this also.

Mr. Murtland said he appreciates Mr. Easterday's thought in postponing this Resolution until his return. He checked with the University as far as his class was concerned and the schedule is already set and cannot be changed. The classes are set up in certain sequences so that a Monday, Wednesday class, will correspond with certain other classes. It would not be a matter of changing one class. The schedule is already set for the entire year. Of course he would appreciate the Council continuing on the Tuesday night meeting because of the fact that at the time he was elected to a Council position the

## City Council Minutes - Page 13 - July 5, 1961

meeting time was Monday afternoon which did not interfere with this teaching duties. It was changed to Tuesday night, and so he would again like the indulgence of the Council to recognize that the University is the place he has taught for the past 15 years and intends to do so again next year.

Mr. Bott said he personally likes the Tuesday night meetings better than Monday night as it gives the members an extra day to go over the agenda and find out more information if necessary. There has also been mention of a day time meeting which would be convenient for many people, but he did feel that the Tuesday night meeting made it possible for more people to attend the meetings; therefore, he urged that the meeting time be continued as, at the present time.

Mr. Steele moved that the Resolution be amended to read 10:00 A. M. Monday. Seconded by Mrs. Olson.

Mr. Steele said by changing the meeting time to 10:00 A. M. Monday, the Council would be reverting to a meeting time long held by the City Council to transact the business of the City. He thought undue importance has been attached the past year or two to evening meetings, to accommodate people who might desire to attend the Council meetings. The Council meets for the purpose of transacting the business of the City. Those having business before the Council he believed would want more to have the opportunity to meet during the regular business hours when normal business is conducted. He thought too much undue importance has been attached to accommodate some people who desire to attend the meeting at their leisure simply to advance particular causes or interests without due regard for the business of the City of Tacoma which is what the Council is charged with the responsibility of performing. He said this is not a new position for him. He has asserted this since he has been on the Council and is well cognizant of all the arguments for evening meetings, but he sincerely feels that at some time the Council should return to a business like approach of conducting the business of the City of Tacoma. Several other agencies of the City hold day meetings - the Planning Commission and the L I D Committee and he thought that the Council is a business proposition and not an accommodation proposition and that they should transact these sessions during the business hours of the day.

Mr. Porter said that 10:00 A. M. would be very inconvenient for him as he would have to be in two places at once. Unfortunately he did have to make a living. Therefore he would move to amend Mr. Steele's amendment so as to change the meeting time to 8:00 A. M. Monday morning. Seconded by Mr. Easterday.

Mr. Cvitanich said a year ago the Council contacted the Central Labor Council on this matter and discovered that they preferred the evening meetings so that the rank and file of the union could attend the Council meeting if the need be, rather than lose a half a days pay to come to a meeting.

Mrs. Price said she will take no position in this matter and will agree to whatever time is most convenient for the majority of the Council.

Mayor Hanson said this is the first opportunity for the entire Council to discuss the matter since it was recently brought up. One thing has been established, and that is that there is no ideal time.

Mr. Bott moved that the matter be postponed for two weeks. Seconded by Mr. Murtland.

City Council Minutes - Page 14 - July 5, 1961

Roll call was taken on the motion resulting as follows:

Ayes 4 ; Nays 5, Cvitanich, Easterday, Olson, Porter and Steele. Absent 0.  
Motion lost.

Roll call was then taken on Mr. Porter's motion to amend Mr. Steele's amendment from 10:00 A. M. Monday morning to 8:00 A. M. Monday morning, resulting as follows:

Ayes 4; Nays 5; Bott, Cvitanich, Easterday, Murtland, Price (passing). Absent 0. Motion lost.

Mrs. Olson then moved to amend Mr. Steele's motion from 10:00 A. M. to 3:00 P. M. Monday afternoon. Seconded by Mr. Murtland.

Roll call taken, resulting as follows:

Ayes 3; Nays 6, Bott, Cvitanich, Easterday, Porter, Price (passing) and Mayor Hanson. Absent 0. Motion lost.

Mr. Porter then moved to amend the motion to 7:30 P. M. Monday.  
Lost for want of a second.

Roll call was then taken on Mr. Steele's motion to amend the Resolution to 10:00 A. M. Monday morning, resulting as follows:

Ayes 3; Nays 6, Bott, Cvitanich, Easterday, Porter, Price (passing) and Mayor Hanson; Absent 0. Motion lost.

Mrs. Price moved that the Resolution be amended to 8:00 P. M. Monday evening. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Roll call was taken resulting as follows:

Ayes-4, Nays5, Civtanich, Easterday, Murtland, Olson and Steele; Absent 0.  
Motion lost.

Mr. Bott then moved to amend the Resolution to 8:00 P. M. Tuesday evening. Lost for want of a second.

Roll call was then taken on the Resolution resulting as follows:

Ayes 4; Nays 5, Bott, Murtland, Price (passing) Steele and Mayor Hanson. Absent 0.

The Resolution was declared lost by the Chairman.

City Council Minutes - Page 15 - July 5, 1961

**FIRST READING OF ORDINANCES:**

**Ordinance No. 16847:**

Amending Ordinance No. 16377 which authorized the Director of Utilities to enter into a contract for the furnishing of water to the Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma, by extending the agreement for one year to July 1, 1962. Read by title and placed in order of final reading.

66

**Ordinance No. 16848:**

Vacating Rainier Street at the S. E. Corner of South 7th & Commerce Street. Read by title and placed in order of final reading.

22  
67

**Ordinance No. 16849:**

Vacating Air Rights over a portion of the alley lying between St. Helens Avenue and Tacoma Avenue from So. 3rd to South 4th Streets. (Petition of E. J. Zarelli). Read by title and placed in order of final reading.

22  
67

**Ordinance No. 16850:**

Vacating South 23rd Street east of South "J" and alleys to the south within the McCrver School area. (Petition of Tacoma School District #10) Read by title and placed in order of final reading.

52:412  
67

**Ordinance No. 16851:**

Vacating East "E" Street from East 26th Street to the alley between East 25th and E at 26th Streets. (Petition of Lyle Smith et al) Read by title and placed in order of final reading.

52:1  
67

**Ordinance No. 16852:**

Amending Section 13.06.160 (2) of the Official Code of the City to correct an error in the description of rezoning of property, north side of Wakefield Drive between South L and South K Streets. Read by title and placed in order of final reading.

49:558  
68

**Ordinance No. 16853:**

Amending the Official Code of the City of Tacoma with reference to Admission Taxes being extended. Read by title.

68

Mayor Hanson said perhaps it would be well to have an explanation of the law involved with reference to the effect on the tax payers.

Mr. Hamilton, Assistant City Attorney, explained the Legislature of the State of Washington adopted a new retail sales tax package and part of that provided for the taxing at the rate of 4% certain retail sales and expanded the definitions of retail sales to include among other things amusements and recreational activities. It did provide in the amendment however, that there would be excluded from that definition of retail sales, those activities which were subject to admission charges by cities or counties as authorized under existing statutes of the State of Washington. So the net effect of the adoption of that law would be that in the event the Council should adopt an admission tax at a lesser rate than 4%, it would result in a savings to the local taxpayers. The Tax Commission of Washington has indicated the following activities that in their opinion will be taxed at the rate of 4% under the amendment: Golf, driving ranges, miniature golf, shuffleboard, swimming facilities, trampolines, charter boat rentals, tennis facilities, dancing, badminton, etc. So that the intent of the admission tax here is to exempt some activities which have previously been exempt and which is our opinion, if exempted by the City might be subject to State sales tax as well as to pick up some other activities that might not have had previous coverage.

Mayor Hanson said then the tax payer would be taxed but in the absence of this Ordinance the tax would go to the State instead of to the municipality; and also the fact that this is 3 1/3% as against the 4% that would be charged by the State.

Mrs. Olson asked when this law becomes effective.

Mr. Hamilton answered that it was effective June 8th.

Mrs. Olson asked if the State begins to collect these taxes, does that prohibit the City of Tacoma from stepping in and taxing these same items at some future date.

Mr. Hamilton said this was discussed at the recent Association of City Attorneys' meeting, and the consensus of opinion was that although the law did not expressly say so, should the City or County adopt such an admission tax, the State would no longer collect, even after the State had begun collecting such taxes.

The Ordinance was then placed in order of final reading.

#### Ordinance No. 16854:

Appropriating the sum of \$300.00 or so much thereof as may be necessary, from the General Fund for the purpose of paying the Tacoma Transit Department for the cost of transporting blind persons. Read by title.

37  
68

Mr. Cvitanich said Mr. Bond, Public Information Officer has agreed to help obtain some additional information from various cities throughout the Country who are using this method of assisting the blind people. This will be sent out to the Council before the meeting next week.

The Ordinance was then placed in order of final reading.

City Council Minutes - Page 17 - July 5, 1961

**FINAL READING OF ORDINANCES:**

**Ordinance No. 16828:** (postponed from the meeting of June 27, 1961)

Amending Section 4, 6 and 7 of Ordinance No. 16660 in reference to Bench Advertising. Read by title.

Mr. Porter said immediately following Ordinance No. 16628 is a proposed amendment that he introduced last week. He moved that Ordinance No. 16628 be amended to confirm to that two page amendment. Seconded by Mrs. Olson. Mr. Porter asked if any member of the Council would like to have the amendment read. Mr. Murtland said he had had no opportunity to read it and would like to have it read. Mr. Bott said he thought it would be well to have it read also for the benefit of the people in the audience. The proposed amendment was then read in full by the Clerk.

Mr. Porter said speaking on the amendment, it has become quite apparent that it will be rather difficult for all parties interested in this subject to come to a compromise that is agreeable. He believed in this proposed amendment which was drawn up primarily by Mr. Copeland, attorney for the State Wide Advertising Firm, has gathered together what seemed to him a fair compromise and a way of solving some of the problems which have arisen in administering this franchise.

Mr. Murtland said, the amendment comes as a complete surprise to him although some two months ago Mr. Copeland promised him that he would attempt to work something out that might be a compromise, but which was not brought forth until he had departed the City. Again although he dislikes to continually ask for continuances, he would like to ask for a postponement of this Ordinance as he did not think he could vote in favor of it regardless of its merits because the reading of it does not tell him everything he would like to know. For that reason he would move to postpone the Ordinance for one week. Seconded by Mr. Bott.

Roll call was taken on the motion, resulting as follows:  
Ayes 5; Nays 4, Cvitanich, Easterday, Olson and Porter. Motion carried.

**Ordinance No. 16840:**

Authorizing the issuance and sale of Sewer Revenue Bonds in the amount of \$4,000,000 for the construction and installation of certain additions to the sewer system. Read by title.

Mr. Cvitanich asked Mr. Schuster, Public Works Director if the four page M C received by the Council was condensed from a more lengthy M C report on the sewer project.

Mr. Schuster said he did not recall the particular M C to which he referred, but in making any analysis of a program, the staff prepares detailed data and it is then condensed as much as possible to give the Council all the necessary information.

34  
58  
64

33

City Council Minutes - Page 18 - July 5, 1961

Mr. Cvitanich said the reason he asked is, that originally there was a 17 page M C which was eventually condensed to 4 pages. When he attempted to locate the larger one, he was unable to locate it.

Mr. Schuster said he did not recall any such M C.

Mr. Cvitanich requested that an M C be prepared on the projects completed to date on the 1958 Sewer Bond Program.

Roll call was then taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:

Ayes 8; Nays 1, Cvitanich; Absent 0.

The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.

Ordinance No. 16841:

Amending the Official Code of the City relating to zoning by adding a new section known as Sec. 13.06.120 - 23 to include property situated on the northwest corner of 38th & J Street in a "C-1" Commercial District. (Harold A. Dabroe Petition) Read by title and passed.

33

Roll call was taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:

Ayes 8; Nays 1, Murtland (passing); Absent 0.

The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.

Ordinance No. 16843:

Approving and confirming the Assessment Roll for L I D 2316 for grading and oil mat surface on North 40th Street, Shirley to Bennett Street. Read by title and passed.

Roll call was taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:

Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.

Ordinance No. 16844:

Approving and confirming the Assessment Roll for L I D 6762 for modern street lights on existing wooden poles in the area of South 15th and Hosmer Street. Read by title and passed.

Roll call was taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:

Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.

**City Council Minutes - Page 19 - July 5, 1961**

**Ordinance No. 16845:**

**Providing for the improvement of L I D 3521 for sanitary sewers in Highland and Winnifred Streets from So. 12th Street to South 19th St. Read by title and passed.**

**Roll call was taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:**

**Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.**

**The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.**

**Ordinance No. 16846:**

**Providing for the improvement of L I D 3527 for sanitary sewers in "A" Street from East 84th Street to East 92nd Street. Read by title and passed.**

**Roll call was taken on the Ordinance resulting as follows:**

**Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.**

**The Ordinance was then declared passed by the Chairman.**

**REPORTS:**

**Report from the L I D Committee recommending that L I D 3523 be postponed until July 24, 1961.**

**Mr. Easterday moved that the L I D 3523 be postponed until July 24, 1961. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.**

**ITEMS FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK:**

- a. Personnel Report for the Month of May, 1961.
- b. Tacoma-Pierce County Health Department Report of Services performed.
- c. Report on Municipal Garbage and Refuse Division Dept. of Public Works.
- d. Water Division Report for the month of May, 1961
- e. Light Division Report for the Month of May, 1961.
- f. Belt Line Division Report for the month of May, 1961.

**COMMENTS**

**Mr. Steele leaving at this time temporarily.**

**Mr. Cvitanich said it has been brought to his attention that the City has been purchasing bread from Seattle bakeries rather than Tacoma bakeries and he wondered if there was any truth to this. If so, how does the City go about purchasing the bakery goods, are bids called for?** 86



Mr. Sankovich, Acting City Manager, said this matter would be investigated.

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

Mr. Copeland, Attorney for the Statewide Advertising Co., Inc. asked the City to reconsider their action in postponing Ordinance No. 16828 for one week and bring the matter back before the Council so that it can be taken care of. Last week he and his clients were in attendance on the assumption that the Ordinance would be voted upon. At that time it was postponed until the return of Mr. Murtland, and therefore they thought it would definitely be taken care of this evening. It has become quite apparent that it is impossible to have a complete meeting of the minds on this subject so the Council will have to settle it one way or the other or else write the compromise themselves. 55

Mr. Steele coming back at this time.

Mr. Murtland said he did not see how the Council could move for reconsideration since all the other interested parties left right after the postponement of the Ordinance.

Mr. Bott said he agreed that it would not be fair to those persons who have already left to reconsider the Ordinance at this time.


\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

There being no further business to come before the Council upon motion duly seconded and passed, the meeting adjourned at 9:45 P. M.

  
Mayor of the City Council

Attest:   
City Clerk