

AUG 11 1958

317

COUNCIL MINUTES

Council Chambers, 4:00 P.M.
Monday, August 11, 1958

Council met in regular session. Present on roll call: Anderson, Bratrud, Easterday, Goering, Humiston, Perdue, Porter, Price and Mayor Hanson.

Mayor Hanson - Now for the approval of the minutes.

Mr. Porter - Mr. Mayor, on going through the minutes and also reading an article from the News Tribune on the 5th it's my opinion that the meetings are not adequately covered or legally covered, and looking forward to the fact that a lot of us, a lot of labor people, might want sometime in the future to be able to prove what was said, candidates running for office in the future might want to prove what was said, and also perhaps the News Tribune which did a good, in fact quite a good job of condensing this matter, might want to protect themselves in case anybody should think of any legal action. Therefor, I would like to move that Council postpone any action on the minutes of August 4th until August 18th, and that the Clerk be instructed to bring in new minutes, recording in full and verbatim, all action taken and discussion on the first five resolutions, Numbers 15439 to 15443, inclusive.

Mr. Easterday - Second the motion.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved and seconded to postpone the approval of the minutes until the next meeting, setting forth the arguments and taken verbatim concerning the first five resolutions.

Mr. Anderson - Just to have it cleared up, what do you have in mind?

Mr. Porter - Just that there be a true full report so that we would know--

Mr. Anderson. - You want a copy of the recordings in the minutes.

Mr. Porter - Of the minutes, with a full word by word report of the discussion and action taken on these five resolutions, so that there will be no rumor spread out of it. And I hope that after its reported in full that we can forget the entire matter and go ahead and take care of our work. I think it should be recorded in full.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, I would like to make a suggestion. That might run into an awful lot of paper and probably I would think it might be better if a draft transcript of that portion of the minutes be prepared and when you look at it and see just how much of that stuff might properly belong in there, because I think that you are talking about a half dozen close typed pages of material. I think you could tell better from a draft copy so that you could take a look at it and see.

Mr. Porter - Well I would certainly go along with an amendment to that effect.

AUG 11 1958

Mr. Anderson - Mr. Mayor, I think, Mr. Porter, that we do have a record of what you are discussing. We have the tape, that's what the tape is for.

Mr. Porter - Well, of course, if we take official action in approving these minutes, why these are the minutes.

Mr. Anderson - Well, of course they do have the tape recording, they could save those minutes.

Mr. Porter - I don't imagine they are preserved forever as the tapes cost around \$4.00.

Mayor Hanson - Well, I believe that a council member has the right to ask for any portion of the proceedings to be recorded as an entry into the minutes. It depends on which procedure you want to follow, whether a full recording of the first five resolutions, or whether the matter of after examination of the transcript, certain portions. We do not have a motion before us to include the discussion verbatim of the first five resolutions.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, I would like to comment on that. I'm going to vote against it for this reason - The principle purpose of the minutes of the council's action is to give in legal fashion the action of the Council. To me it's relatively unimportant whether there is any discussion in there. I think that our action as far as the official minutes is concerned - I myself would be satisfied if there was no discussion. I think that for us to try to see to it that the minutes are useful for some future political campaign that's going to take place from 2 to 4 years from now, I must protest this action.

Mr. Porter - Mr. Mayor, it wasn't my intention that I or anyone be able to use the minutes to hurt someone in a campaign. It was my intention that it be just the opposite so that in case that someone started rumors the person they started them about would be able to prove that they had only said so much, and no more.

Mayor Hanson - I believe that an accurate account of what had been said would be fair to whomever may be interested in what we said. The question has been called for.

Mrs. Goering - Mr. Mayor, if we do that then we should do that with all of our meetings. There have been many other occasions where, perhaps, the entire conversation should have been recorded. I see no reason why we should pick out one meeting and make an exception of it unless we are going to make it the pact for meetings to come.

Mayor Hanson - In response to that I don't see this is an exception, if a similar motion had come before the body in previous instances, I would certainly expect the Council to grant the request.

Dr. Humiston - Couldn't we solve this problem by having the minutes prepared essentially as they have been in the past and when there is a discussion for some other particular reason, then let the Council member request the Clerk to draw a verbatim draft or a copy of the information and let it go on paper. Does it have to be in the minutes?

Mayor Hanson - To be an official record of what transpired, I don't see where this motion sets a precedent where all future

meetings have to be set forth verbatim. Any more discussion?

Mr. Carlbon - I think about two weeks ago, I asked the City Clerk if those tapes were kept, or if they were wiped out. She said they were kept for a week or two then they were wiped out. Now, it's possible that tapes are not so costly that each meeting couldn't be tape recorded so that you could tell who said what in the future time. I think it would be a good idea to tape-record these meetings, then if anybody would want to refer back to what anybody said at any time then you can refer back to the tape.

Mayor Hanson - Is there any further discussion? If not, since there is a question on this we will call for a roll call vote. Ayes 6; Nays 3, Goering, Humiston, Perdue. Absent 0.

Mayor Hanson - The motion is carried.

PETITIONS:

Alfred Harrison: Requesting property at 8821 Pacific Avenue be rezoned from a C-1 District to a C-2 District. Referred to the City Planning Commission. s90 X

Tacoma Housing Authority: Submitting a petition for replat of Tract "E" of Portland Avenue. 1st Addition, and fixing September 15th, 1958 as the date for hearing thereon. It was moved by Mr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Bratrud that Sept. 15th be fixed as the date for hearing. Motion carried unanimously. 377 X

COMMUNICATIONS:

Firemens Relief and Pension Fund Board: Requesting they be given the full 2 mills for the year 1959. X

Mr. Rowlands said the pension fund this year received one and a quarter mills, the Park District three-quarters of a mill. In the previous year each received one mill. He said the pension fund is not actuarially sound but feels the fund can get along adequately on a cash basis for several more years without the full two mills.

Mr. D. H. Ketler, Secretary of the Municipal Civil Service League and member of the Fire Department, said the Firemen may go to the Legislature for relief if the City won't give the two mills to the pension fund. He said Tacoma is the only large city in the State with a Metropolitan Park District. He advised that the pension funds get the full two mills in the other cities.

Dr. Humiston asked if this could be presented to Council in the form of a report next week so they can take action.

Mr. Rowlands said that he would have a report for Council next week.

Resolution No. 15439:

By Price:

Submitting to the qualified voters of the City of Tacoma a proposition to amend certain sections of the City Charter 293

AUG 1 1958

to provide for the election of the Mayor by direct vote of the people for a four-year term, and to provide for the compensation of the Mayor.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, I would like to make a couple of comments. We now have six resolutions covering essentially three topics.

Mayor Hanson - Before we proceed with this discussion we perhaps should follow the ordinary procedure which would be to require a motion for adoption.

Dr. Humiston - Well, I have a particular Resolution which might simplify our procedure and solve this problem. The discussion has gotten us no where in the past weeks. It is my thought that the parliamentary difficulties which are connected in trying to amend resolutions that are before us are considerable and also the technical difficulties connected in transmitting that information back to the City Attorney are something to be considered too. If we could, with a series of motions, vote on, in some such fashion as this, maybe not take it up now but, let's presume that we have a motion as to whether we are or are not going to submit a proposition to the voters to have the Mayor elected at large. If there is going to be such a proposition submitted, well then, the City Attorney can be making notes from us. There are 2 or 3 controversial items in there which could be taken up as a motion without reference to trying to take apart these various resolutions which is a real job. I think that we should handle these things, and probably leave the City Attorney at the end of our meeting today with the instructions as to how to put together the propositions that are going to go on the ballot. And I think also, that when they are prepared, they should be prepared without any "Whereases" at all because the whereases have no bearing on the specific reasoning and actually are put together as a matter of a sales talk on the particular materials involved, I would suggest that, as a means of possibly solving this entire problem today.

Mr. Bratrud - Well, I think that has a lot of merit.

Mr. Porter - Mr. Mayor, I also believe we should either follow that solution or perhaps the one where we have two Resolutions dealing with the same subject, trying to discard the one that the least number of us find value, is one other method to simplify the procedure.

Mayor Hanson - Well, then the gist of the motion is to take actually the operative ideas that are the matters in the case to determine which one of those operative ideas will be presented in the Charter Amendment and drawn by our City Attorney.

Dr. Humiston - That's correct. If we were to take it up now, we would have to suspend the rules and move in the old business or new business and take it up then or we wait until that time comes in the agenda and just pass up any action on these six resolutions at the moment, if my suggestion meets with approval of Council.

Mayor Hanson - Well, rather than pass up discussion on the Resolutions at this time, I think it would be proper to ask for a motion to suspend the rules, and get this matter taken

care of immediately.

Dr. Humiston - I move we suspend the rules.

Mr. Anderson - I second the motion.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved to suspend the rules, those in favor say Aye (9) Opposed, no. (0) Motion carried.

Mayor Hanson - Now, we will consider a motion concerning the mechanics of reaching a conclusion as to Charter Amendments.

Dr. Humiston - Well, I've given some thought to this Mr. Mayor, and I think some of these motions - we first moved that the City Attorney be directed to draw up a Charter Amendment to provide for a direct election of a Mayor.

Mr. Bratrud - Did you make that in the form of a motion?

Dr. Humiston - A motion, to direct the City Attorney, and that's as far as we will go and then the details we can take up in addition to this.

Mr. Bratrud - I'll second the motion.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved and seconded that the City Attorney be directed to draw up a Charter Amendment for the direct election of a Mayor.

Question!

Mayor Hanson - Before the question, I would like to say that if we direct the City Attorney to draw up such an amendment and then the other various aspects are to be added we are going to be in the same kind of confusion, because they are interwoven in their problems of drafting and I think it would be better to vote on -- I might ask for some explanation as to how this fits into your suggestion.

Dr. Humiston - Well, my thought was that we should continue along this line, Mr. Mayor, presuming that this motion passes. Now you have the matter of the 2 or 4 year terms, that's another matter that has come up, as to whether a Councilman could run for it during his term; there's the matter of salaries; there's the matter of whether this term "full time" should be included in the proposition, and I think that each of those should be handled on it's own individual merit, through a motion such as I have suggested, and when you get through passing those, then the City Attorney has the opinion of the Council on the entire proposition down in writing.

Mayor Hanson - I see then, the framework is the direct election of the Mayor and then directing him to add the various matters that are passed.

Dr. Humiston - That is correct.

Mayor Hanson - If you are ready for the question then we will call for the roll call on the amendment for the direct election of the Mayor. Roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 2, Goering, Perdue, Absent 0.

Dr. Humiston - Well, if I may proceed with putting the show on the road, I'll move that the term of office be for a two year period.

Mayor Hanson - Is there a second to that.

Mr. Porter - I'll second it.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved and seconded that the term of office be for a two year period, is there any discussion?

AUG 11 1958

If not we will proceed with the roll call: Roll call: Ayes 9;
Nays 0; Absent 0.

Mr. Bratrud - Mr. Mayor, before Dr. Humiston gets any further, I asked Mr. Rowlands last week to prepare some figures on the Mayor's salary of various Mayors throughout the United States. I believe it might be a matter of value as far as the discussion.

Mr. Rowlands - I'll pass this letter out to Council.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor I move that the term "full-time" or its equivalent, in the description of the Mayor's duties, be left out of the proposed amendment. Seconded by Mrs. Goering.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved and seconded that we leave out any reference as to "full-time" in the amendment. Is there any discussion?

Mrs. Price - Mr. Mayor, I'd like to say that I see nothing wrong with that part that says "and shall devote so much of his full time necessary to perform the duties of his office as herein or by Ordinance prescribed".

Mr. Bratrud - What section are you reading?

Mrs. Price - Well, there is a section 2.4 and it's on the 12th line after Ordinance or this Charter. It isn't just full time period.

Mayor Hanson - Now, it is the intention of the motion to create an amendment that clearly indicates that it's not contemplated that the Mayor be required to spend full time.

Dr. Humiston - Well, Mr. Mayor, it's my thought that there be no mention made to that whatsoever, that the Charter will describe the Mayor's duties. Now, I think I would ask Mr. McCormick if this is not true, that if the Mayor doesn't perform his duties as outlined, he can be proven guilty of misfeasance and if he doesn't do a creditable job in the eyes of the public, and runs for re-election, they have a way of taking care of it.

Mr. McCormick - I think that's right, I think that probably what you are getting at is the duties for which prescribed and whether stated here or not he must use as much of his time as necessary to perform his duties. Now, full time is full time. It says that his duties are there and he will have to perform them, whatever time is necessary to perform them.

Dr. Humiston - I think that in any language that discussed the amount of time rather than duties is superfluous.

Mayor Hanson - Well, in reference to that, whether or not it is full time the real thing to be determined is whether or not we have a full time Mayor with a salary. In terms of full-filling duties there of course, we have a difference of opinion whether the is enough to do to represent the City properly, would require full time, but we don't have to go into that now. But I think I do agree that reference to full time is unnecessary.

Mr. Porter - Mr. Mayor, in order to accomplish Dr. Humiston's wishes on that subject, wouldn't it be better if someone moved that full time be included and voted down if that is the wishes of the majority, and then there wouldn't have to be a clause in the Charter saying it doesn't take full time.

Dr. Humiston - Well, these are instructions for the Attorney.

Mr. Porter - I see, well then either way will be good.

Mayor Hanson - Are you ready for the question?

Mr. Anderson - Let's have the question clear.

Mayor Hanson - The motion is that the term full time, or its equivalent, of the Mayor's duties be left out of the amendment.

Roll call: Ayes 6; Nays 3, Porter, Price, Easterday. Absent O. Motion carried.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, I move that part of this amendment, the appointment of persons to this position which are now derivative of the Mayor in the Charter, and where the statutes permit, that those appointments, such as to the Utility Board, Library Board, etc., be made by election by the City Council from nominations made by the Mayor or by three Council members, said nominations to be made in writing. Seconded by Mr. Bratrud.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved and seconded to provide that any appointed positions not otherwise provided for by the statutes be made by the nomination of the Mayor or by the nomination of any three Councilmen in writing. Is there any discussion?

Mr. Easterday - Yes, Mr. Mayor, it has been in all previous appointments that they have been confirmed by the Council. I can readily see where some person might want to get appointed on the Utility Board or something else, therefore I'm going to vote "no". I think it should either be that, to confirm the Mayor's appointment and then if we don't confirm it, then submit another name before the Council.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, when I commented on this the other day, I think this is in recognition of a situation that can very easily occur when the Mayor is elected, direct, in absence of the members of the City Council. The theory of the selection of the Mayor by the City Council is that the person who is most likely to get along with the Council as a whole is the person who is selected as the Mayor. Now, when you have the direct election of the Mayor you might end up with someone who would be quite at loggerheads with possible all eight other Councilmembers, so while I think that such an amendment is not necessarily desirable or undesirable with a Mayor elected by the Councilmen, I think that situation could easily occur where it is extremely important to have this as a part of the way of operating, if the Mayor is elected by the people.

Mayor Hanson - Well, my thinking is that actually if we were to inquire into City's as to what was the common cry at this point, as to what we would find it was a rather unusual approach. However, if the Mayor made a nomination and could not muster 5 votes for his appointment, I think this would afford another means for an appointment, whereas if he could get 5 votes any of the 3 that might want to submit a name would be to no avail, so I see no argument about that. Are we ready for the question?

Mr. Anderson - Question.

Roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 2, Price, Easterday. Absent O. Motion carried.

AUG 11 1958

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, I am not working from any notes, or from any of these other Resolutions, the only problem that occurs to me off-hand in thinking about this, is the matter of salaries. I would move that the salary be set at \$4,800.00 a year. Seconded by Mr. Perdue.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved and seconded to set the salary at \$4,800.00 a year. Is there any discussion?

Mr. Porter - Mr. Mayor, I think the salary should be high enough so that a man taking this job could devote as much time to it as he would like to do a good job, so I think it should be more than that. So I will vote against that one.

Mayor Hanson - Well, perhaps the proper way would be to go by amendment.

Mr. Rowlands passed out MC-218 - information on the comparative salaries of Mayors and Managers in cities of 75,000 to 100,000.

Mrs. Goering - Well, I guess everyone has this information, the salary of the Mayor of Tacoma is almost double compared to the salaries listed in this report of cities of a population of 100,000 - 250,000 and from 75,000 - 100,000.

Mr. Anderson - Mr. Mayor, there is one thing that our Mayor has to do that many of these other cities don't have to do, and that is our public relations with the Military, and that amounts to a tremendous job in this particular area. I know that Mr. Tollefson, myself and Mayor Hanson were expected to spend a lot of time out there promoting relations with the military, and I think that should be taken into consideration over this scale that we have here.

Mr. Perdue - Mr. Mayor, I agree with Mr. Anderson, and that's why I seconded this motion. It is double the salary that we have paid any of our previous Mayor's prior to Mr. Hanson, and I feel that salary is justified, as Mr. Anderson said, and I think it certainly should be no higher under the circumstances.

Mr. Bratrud - I move that the motion be amended to appear at \$6,000.

Mr. Anderson - In your motion, was that for \$4,800 plus the \$1,200 as Councilman?

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, in answer to Mr. Anderson, it was my thought that that would be the Mayor's salary total. I guess I didn't make that clear.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved that the \$4800 figure total be amended to \$6,000. Is there a second?

Mr. Easterday - Second.

Mr. Porter - Does that mean that the \$6,000 is the total salary?

Mr. Bratrud - \$6,000 total.

Mayor Hanson - I would like to point out here that although this is some improvement I don't feel that it represents the full time or the salary that would supply a full time Mayor as it was presented in the section of the platform before the election of the newly elected Councilmen, and I believe the public has indicated the desire to decide whether or not they

want a full time Mayor and I don't think this would give them the opportunity.

Mr. Porter - Mr. Mayor, I think that the salary, the total salary should be at least \$7,200 a year perhaps certainly not higher than someplace between \$8,000 and \$9,000. I don't want to add an amendment to the amendment but I suppose that's the only way to bring the matter up. So, I'll move to amend the amendment that the total salary be \$7,200 per year.

Mayor Hanson - Is there a second to this?

Mrs Price - I'll second it.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved and seconded to amend the amendment and substitute \$7,200 for the \$6,000 figure.
Is there any discussion on this?

Dr. Humiston - I would suggest to unconfuse the parliamentary situation, that it be submitted as a substitute amendment.

Mayor Hanson - Well, I don't think it would be confusing, if it passes the \$7,200 would be in place of the \$6,000. Since it was offered as an amendment we will vote on it as such.

Mr. Anderson - Mr. Mayor, the Council has the right to set the salary of the Mayor and can prior to the time anyone is voted into office. I was just wondering if it wouldn't be justifiable to the Mayor if the Council set the salary ahead of time. I just don't see where it is the right thing to set the salary at the present time.

Mr. Price - Mr. Mayor, I would like to say that if the Mayor is directly elected by the people, I feel that the people are going to elect him to vote and whether we spell it out as full time or not I feel that they will expect him to devote his full time to his duties and to the office and for that reason I don't believe we should ask any man in a responsible position such as the Mayor of the City of Tacoma, to work for \$4800 a year and I'm reasonably sure that he couldn't possibly do the duties required of him on a part time basis. Also in answer to Mr. Anderson's statement there, I believe that if a man is going to run for the office of Mayor to be elected by the people that he should know what salary he is going to receive before he runs for office.

Mr. Porter - Mr. Mayor, I think even more important is that the people should know what salary he is going to get before they elect him. If you leave it up to the Council, it could very easily happen that a favorable vote of five might make his salary \$20,000 a year.

Mayor Hanson - I certainly agree that the people should have an opportunity to see what they are voting on, of course we can't ignore the provision in the State Law which would give the Council authority to change it, even in spite of what was passed here, but at least we would have the vote which would give the people an opportunity to decide, at least express themselves, since there seemed to be some indication that there was a desire to vote on the question of whether or not a full time Mayor is acceptable, of course \$4,800 is certainly not on that basis, \$7,200 certainly could fit.

Mrs. Goeing - Well, Mr. Mayor, I don't know why it would

be that Tacoma has so much more for its Mayor to do than all of these other cities in this population range. The very highest of all, I believe, according to this list is \$6,000, Phoenix, and then it drops to \$5,000, Cambridge, Mass. and then to \$4,800 for Peoria, Ill. and Tacoma. The others are all below that.

Mr. Porter - Pardon me, Mrs. Goering the second page --

Mrs. Goering - This is only 75,000 - 100,000, I was speaking of cities in our population range.

Mr. Easterday - Waco, Texas pays \$8,500.

Mrs. Goering - That is not in our population range.

Mr. Easterday - It is less.

Mrs. Goering - They have no Manager though. It does occur to me though that perhaps the very best way to defeat this whole thing is to put a high salary.

Mr. Perdue - I think we all realize that there are no administrative responsibilities connected with this job.

Mrs. Price made a statement regarding her intention that a proper job couldn't be done on a lower figure. However, I note that our previous two Mayors, Mr. Anderson and Mr. Tollefson did a very creditable job on $\frac{1}{2}$ the salary that is now being paid. The salary was doubled just before Mr. Hanson took office, and he is the first one to benefit by that increase in salary. I think it is foolish and a waste of the people's money to read into the job, things that are not in the job, that don't have to be done or need to be done and for which the Mayor would be paid and still would not be doing, for the benefit of the City.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, I have a comment I would like to make. I voted against a raise in salary of \$400. I don't think that setting the salary for a position like the Mayor's is exactly the same as a position of somebody who is hired as an employee, but at least in setting a salary in a position in any kind of business one should give some consideration to how much of a hiring problem one has with the salary which exists with that position. I was of the impression that when the salary was \$200 a month the City of Tacoma was not having any hiring problem. I think it is well to illustrate here that a couple of months ago the city was not having any hiring problem at \$400 a month. I don't anticipate that the situation is going to be too different 4 and 6 years from now.

Mayor Hanson - Well, rather than go any further on that, I think it is clear the public has indicated their desire to vote on it and as Mrs. Goering said for all we know, they may vote against it, we don't know, but at least I think they should have an opportunity. Now the question is the amendment to the amendment for \$7,200.00. Roll call Ayes 4; Nays 5, Perdue, Anderson, Bratrud, Goering, Humiston.

Mayor Hanson - The motion failed, and now the vote on the amendment which is \$6,000. Roll call: Ayes 6; Nays 3, Goering, Humiston, Perdue. Motion carried. Now the motion for \$4,800 a year has been amended to \$6,000 a year. Now for the vote on the motion, as amended. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0. Motion carried.

Dr. Humiston - Mayor, I don't have any further ideas or problems here. Maybe the City Attorney would like to submit his opinion.

Mr. McCormick - Well, I assume that at a direct election they can vote on it.

Dr. Humiston - That was the original motion.

Mrs. Goering - Mr. Mayor, there is still one problem that hasn't been voted on and that is whether a Councilman may run for Mayor without giving up their position as Councilman.

Mayor Hanson - Will you put that in the form of a motion?

Mrs. Goering - No, I don't care to.

Mr. Bratrud - I don't think that any Councilman should be allowed to run for Mayor without vacating his office. I would move that that be included.

Mrs. Price - I'll second the motion.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved and seconded to remove the disqualification as a result of running for the office of Mayor of a person who is a member of Council.

Mrs. Goering - Can that be done under the State Law that you cannot hold more than one office, and the Charter provides that you are ineligible.

Mr. Carlom - In this last State Legislature an opinion of John O'Connell, he said that they had to reimburse the State for that amount of money, now what we are doing here is probably there may be a couple of councilmen that are running for this office of Mayor, and what they are doing now is setting the future wages, now you see, you could set it up to anything and then when you run for the office, that's the salary you'll have. Raise your own wages in that way. I think I find it here in the Charter where it says, you know--

Mayor Hanson - I believe there is an intervening force here that would be very important, and that would be the vote of the public. This is merely giving you an opportunity to vote. Roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 2, Goering, Perdue, Carried.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, I would like to ask the Attorney just how much you will have to do, have you get enough information to put this together now?

Mr. McCormick - I think so, there is only one thing. Instead of two year elections for Mayor, then you are elected for a four-year term as Councilman, you run for four years and sacrifice your two years as Councilman.

Mayor Hanson - That would be a matter of every two years electing four Councilmen and Mayor.

Mr. Easterday - Mr. Mayor I would like to have that where you elect 4 Councilmen, is there anything in the Resolution that provides for the numbering of the position of Councilmen. I think we might just as well go along with it, with the other.

Mayor Hanson - On the same question.

Mr. Easterday - Well, here's the thought Mr. Mayor, presuming that the people turn one down, they may have to turn the other down, that's true but whether or not we would elect 4 Councilmen or 5 next year in 1960, depends upon whether or not we elect a Mayor.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, I'll second Mr. Easterday's motion and I think that if this is put in as a separate amendment to the Charter and there is a provision in it which is

AUG 11 1958

passed directing the Council, if it passes, to set up arbitrary way of numbering the positions, then if the Mayor's proposal should fail, and this should pass, then you would have 9 people to number instead of 8. It would be a pretty slick trick for the Attorney to write it up, not knowing whether there was going to be 8 or 9 positions.

Mr. McCormick - I think you may run up against a legal proposition. I think it should be kept separate.

Mayor Hanson - With reference to numbering the positions I see no complications arising from the Resolutions. I suppose they would like to have the Attorney look it over as far as a suggestion for presenting it.

Dr. Humiston - I don't remember, Mr. Mayor, but how is the initial numbering to be accomplished.

Mayor Hanson - Well, this is the extent of it. Instead of these other sections dealing with Mayor and Council, it's in the election section of the Charter. Section 5.4 "that all Councilmanic elections, the position to be filled will be designated by consecutive numbers commencing with one and the position so designated shall be dealt with as separate offices for all election purposes." It would be, if there were 5 you would go up to five and if there were 4 you would go up to 4 and each one would be a separate office.

Dr. Humiston - If I may say so, I think there is a step missing there. After you once get the thing going then it operates automatically.

Mayor Hanson - It's conceivable that Judge Richmond might want to run against some other Judge, there is no requirements according to the statutes, now there has been a policy, possibly a rule set down by the election supervisor, but I see no complications in assigning a particular number to a particular Councilman.

Dr. Humiston - Alright, let me raise this question. It seems to me there is a necessity. Let's say that 2 years from now I elected to run again. Now what position am I running for? It should be that Number 1 is picked out alphabetically, or out of a hat, etc., it would be the position which I now hold.

Mayor Hanson - I think that would work itself out if the first year they would probably be set up alphabetically, 1, 2, 3, 4, but then if you wanted position 2 that would come up 2 years hence, and you would run against position 2, but there is no necessity to putting it in the Charter. That result was accomplished by State Law and I see no reason why it couldn't be accomplished in our Charter.

Dr. Humiston - Well, let's at least ask the Attorney when he checked the thing to be sure that we could shift into this without difficulties considering the incumbent positions.

Mr. Easterday - I think that would take care of itself in this manner. Let's presume that in our particular case, 5 Council members saw fit to run. Presuming that John Anderson was first, he would file for position No. 1. We would come down and ask Mrs. Melton what position John Anderson filed for, and you would put Dr. Humiston down, Mr. Perdue No. 3 and Mrs. Goering No. 4.

Mrs. Goering - Now wait a minute, what if I wanted to run against John.

Mr. Easterday - That would be your privilege.

Mrs. Goering - Then I wouldn't be No. 4 I'd be No. 1.

Dr. Humiston - Let's leave that up to the City Attorney as to whether it would be disirable or not to give the responsibility to the Councilmen and City Clerk to predetermine what numbers would be given.

Mr. Perdue - Mr. Mayor, Might I ask you this question in this number business, what the advantages are?

Mr. Anderson - Mr. Mayor, personally I thought it might be a good thing. Supposing that somebody had done an outstanding job on the Council and he had a number, why somebody might overlook running against him, why of course that would make a flock of people running against me. But nevertheless I thought it might be a good thing, but the more I look into it and study it, I'm not sure that I'm in favor of it. However, there are some good points in favor.

Mr. Porter - Mr. Mayor, as I see it, it would eliminate the business of running as a pack and it would also possibly eliminate the necessity of spending so much money in the campaign. It would also preclude the opportunity that you would run and perhaps hoping that you would defeat someone and perhaps defeat someone else you didn't want to.

Mrs. Goering - It would mean that the majority of the votes would mean nothing in such a case. Position No. 1 could get far more votes than any other position and he still wouldn't be elected because he would be running second in No. 1 and the top man in No. 2 would be elected.

Mayor Hanson - It's conceivable alright because in Position No. 1, possibly, people would not be particularly interested in moving that particular incumbent, where in No. 2, maybe everyone would turn out. Just because the looser got more votes than the winner in No. 1 wouldn't indicate anything more than an interest in the race for that particular position. The main importance for that amendment as I see it is pinpointing or spotlighting of the interest of the public on a particular race and requiring a particular person, an incumbent to run on his own record and not on the record of the others who happen to be running with him. He could then be questioned on particular actions, and his particular attitudes and his particular policy by anyone who chose to run against him. Now, because he would be filing against that particular person and could make a campaign and an issue out of those things, whereas now when there are 4 positions open and 20 people running you pick out one or two. Now it did happen in this last campaign. But that was an unusual situation and one that I hope does not become necessary in the future. The matter of picking out a particular person and challenging him on his record I think is vitally important and when it's lost in this big area of running as one of the top people, the danger is that if you are elected you might defeat someone you would want to serve with, whereas part of the matter of our elective system is the matter of running on a record and challenging a person to his office.

AUG 11 1953

It was found to be more desirable in the election of judges and I see the same reason for having it in the election of Councilmen.

Mr. Easterday - Well, 50 years ago for the first time in the history of the State of Washington the Judges were elected on a nonpartisan basis and the candidates in Pierce County were almost a roster of the Bar Association. Then the 8 highest ran it off the same way as happened last spring. The Legislature of the State of Washington appointed a Lawyer and he went in as Judge and he ran for election most of his life and never was opposed.

Mrs. Goering - Certainly judging from the last election, if we could divide the resistance and keep people from running in a pack and spending a lot of money to get elected I would be in favor of it, but I don't see how this would prevent that. I don't see why the people who would want to run in packs could not again have a slate and have one candidate for each of the vacant positions and spend a lot of money to get them elected.

Mayor Hanson - Well certainly it would be possible but it would not be necessary as it was in the past.

Dr. Humiston - We are hoping that we would keep the last campaign out of today's discussion, but I'm just a little tired of this repeated statement that it is necessary and a lot of money being spent and necessary to run in a pack. I think that is part of the last campaign. That's over with, the votes have been counted and new people are in office. Let's talk about the desirability of the City whether this is a good amendment or not, personally I think it is. I'm going to vote for it here and vote for it at election time.

Mrs. Goering - Now, this is the amendment to the Mayor?

Mayor Hanson - No, this is a separate proposition altogether.

Mrs. Goering - Well, we haven't voted on the other one yet.

Mr. Bratrud - Didn't we vote on the Mayor's business? I thought that was all cleared up.

Mr. Dunayski - According to our Charter, the Mayor has the appointment of all unclassified employees in the City.

Mr. Bratrud - Mr. Mayor, that is entirely out of order, we are talking about another position.

Mr. Dunayski - I just wanted to bring this up, if we elect a Mayor by the vote of the people will that same authority be vested in a Mayor elected by the people?

Mayor Hanson - That authority has never been vested in the Mayor under this system, its the Manager and it would not change.

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor, I'll call for a question.

Mayor Hanson - The question has been called for.

Mr. Porter - I ask that the question be explained.

Mrs. Melton - That the Attorney bring in a Resolution to provide for the numbering of positions for the Councilmen.

Roll call: Ayes 7; Nays 2. Goering, Perdue. Motion carried.

Mayor Hanson - Will those be ready for next week?

Mr. Carlbon - Mr. Anderson just made a statement a little while ago that the Council can raise the Mayor's salary at any time. Now as I understand it the laws of the City are in this Charter and in it's code and if you look in article 2, the Legislative Branch, and you read Section 2.4, I'll read it for you it says "Biennially, at the first meeting ---

Mayor Hanson - Mr. Carlbon this is a legal question, you can ask our City Attorney.

Mr. Carlbon - Now, you know what I'm leading up to - the Mayor shall receive a salary of \$100.00 a month which shall be in addition to his compensation as a Councilman. That is in the Charter, and then it says that each Councilman shall be paid the sum of \$25. for each days attendance at each Council meeting but not exceed \$1,200 a year. Now that totals up to \$2,400. Now a couple of months ago, Council here, went to work and raised the salary of the Mayor to \$4,800. Now that should have required a Charter Amendment because that is right in the Charter. Is it a legal matter that is a legal matter and you may

Mayor Hanson - As I said before that is a legal matter and you may have that explained by our City Attorney. I'm sure he would be very happy to. It was the matter of State Legislation that was passed, and State Legislation overrides the Charter provision. I think they should call another Freeholders' Meeting and straighten this Charter out. We will proceed with the next order of amendment concerning the Civil Service. We will have to move to set the matters over, Now we will take up the regular order of business. Unless I hear any motion to the contrary, we will continue in the same manner with reference to ---

Dr. Humiston - Mr. Mayor I move that we ask the City Attorney to draw up an amendment to provide the three members of the Board be elected for a staggered six year term. Seconded by Mr. Porter.

Mayor Hanson - It has been moved and seconded for the provision that the newly elected Civil Service Board members serve a 6 year term. Any discussion?

Mr. Perdue - I move that the duties, authority and responsibilities of the Board remain as it now is and that there be no salary connected with the provision.

Mayor Hanson - This motion is not pertaining to the present motion. The question before us, is whether or not, the matter of election of the three Civil Service Board members for a staggered 6 year term.

Mr. Perdue - I'll make the motion later.

Mr. Porter - Mr. Mayor, speaking on the question, in this matter I would prefer to vote it's entirety on the Resolution requested by Mrs. Price No. 15440, I believe as it is amended with one exception, one amendment I would like to see made regarding the money, the compensation that I would vote on the entire Resolution requested by Mrs. Price as it is presented now. I would prefer to do that and I am sure it would save time taking it up in that order. So, I guess I'll have to move against the present motion to try to accomplish that.

Mrs. Price - Mr. Mayor, I wonder if it would be possible to appoint a committee to study this Resolution and let the Committee bring back any recommendations for changes in the Resolution after a week's time.

Mayor Hanson - Well then, are you moving for referral to a Committee?

Mrs. Price - Yes, I would like to do that.

Mr. Porter - I'll second that motion.

Dr. Humiston - Well Mr. Mayor, I would like to comment on that. I think that whatever kind of a Resolution was put together would depend altogether on who was on the Committee. Actually there are really three separate questions here, that's all. Number 1, is to whether this proposition for electing the Civil Service Board rather than having them appointed as they are now should be submitted to the people as a Charter change. Secondly, that if there is such a question to be put as to whether the duties of the Civil Service Board should be changed from what they are now, and in the third place as to whether the members of the Civil Service Board should be given any compensation. If anyone has any ideas that I have not named in the three issues I would like to know what the others are and if the problem is that simple I see no reason why we shouldn't find out right here today what the Council thinks about these three questions. If there are other questions, but I don't think there are other questions.

Mayor Hanson - Well, the motion has been made and seconded that the matter be referred to a Committee. Is there any other discussion?

Mrs. Price - I would like to say that if it were referred to a committee there would certainly be no reason why the Council would not still have an opportunity to make changes after it would be presented to the Council again and so the word of the Committee would not be final regardless of who was serving on it.

Mr. Porter - I would like to suggest that the City Attorney or a member of the staff, designated by him, meet with the Committee so that perhaps one Committee meeting would straighten out the entire matter, to simplify what is definitely decided.

Mr. Bratrud - Are there other questions on that thing, on the other Resolutions that came in beside the ones that Dr. Humiston mentioned?

Mr. Porter - There are five pages, and while I've studied it until 5:00 this morning, I'm still not certain, I wouldn't want to make snap judgment on that.

Mayor Hanson - Well, I might say that there is the question of whether or not the Board when selected have an administrator, a paid administrator to administer the Civil Service Rules, and the there is the question of what sort of standards should be set forth if they are given the rule making powers, what sort of balance there should be on that power, whether it should be a matter of bringing the Civil Service Rules in for approval or whether it should be a power to enact Civil Service Rules in accordance with certain standards, standards subject to veto of the Council. There are quite a number of questions involved in setting up an amendment.

Resolution No. 15440:

By Price:

247
345

Submitting to the qualified voters of the City of Tacoma a proposition to amend Sections 6.11, 6.12, 6.13 and 6.14 of the City Charter providing for the election of a Civil Service Board and investing said Board with Civil Service rule-making power. (Salary of said Board not to exceed \$720.00 per year). It was moved by Mr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Easterday to postpone Resolution No. 15440 until after the study session, Monday August 18th. Motion carried. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15441:

By Easterday:

Submitting to the qualified voters of the City of Tacoma a proposition to amend Section 2.1 of the Charter to provide for election of Councilmen by numbered positions.

305

Lost on roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 0; Nays 9; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15442:

By Hanson:

Submitting to the qualified voters of the City of Tacoma a proposition to amend Sections 2.1 of the Charter to provide for direct election of Mayor for four-year term and fixing his compensation therefor.

Lost on roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 0; Nays 9; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15443:

By Hanson:

Submitting to the qualified voters of the City of Tacoma a proposition to amend Section 5.4 of the Charter to provide for the election of Councilmen for four-year terms to numbered positions.

308

Lost on roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 0; Nays 9; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15445:

By Anderson:

Submitting to the qualified voters of the City of Tacoma a proposition to amend Section 6.11 of the City Charter, providing for the election of Civil Service Commissioners. (Said Board shall serve without compensation). It was moved by Dr.

346

AUG 11 1958

Humiston, seconded by Mrs. Price to postpone for one week (August 18th). Motion carried: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15456:

By Anderson:

Pledging full cooperation, effort and support to the World's Fair Commission the Department of Commerce and Economic Development, and all other bodies and persons concerned in the promotion and holding of a World's Fair in the City of Seattle on the site selected by the Department of Commerce and Economic Development, and rescinding Resolution No. 15382. It was moved by Mr. Anderson, seconded by Dr. Humiston that this Resolution be postponed for two weeks pending the outcome of a Court Case. Motion carried unanimously. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15457:

By Easterday:

Authorizing an agreement between the Public Works Department and the Department of Public Utilities for the construction and installation of betterments and improvements to the existing street lighting system of the City of Tacoma. (1958 Street Lighting Bond Program).

Mayor Hanson said that since the Utility Board has not examined this Resolution, he thought it was a matter they should study in the event there would be any recommendation they might want to make.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to postpone the Resolution until a report is received from the Utility Board. Motion seconded by Mr. Porter and carried on roll call.

Resolution No. 15458:

By Humiston:

Adopting policy of the City of Tacoma with reference to participation in Local Improvement Districts formed for the installation of residential street lighting.

Dr. Humiston asked what the cost has been in the past for the installation of one modern light on a wood pole.

Myron Calkins, Acting Director of Public Works, said that one installation on a wood pole averages about \$400.00.

Mr. Rowlands explained the schedule calls for the property owners to pay approximately 40% of the cost.

The cost to the property owners will be 30% per front foot for these lights, he added. The recent street lighting bond issue will pay another 40%, with 20% to come from the City's general fund, he said. If property owners wish to have lights along their streets, they can have lights placed on existing utility poles with overhead wiring for \$1.00 per front foot or lights on ornamental poles with underground wiring for \$2.20 per front foot, he said.

Mr. Calkins advised the L I D's to be initiated will be for modern lighting at each residential street intersection, with the lights also to be installed in the middle of double blocks, with the same participation. He said the L I D areas to be initiated will be determined by the location of street lighting circuits fed by City Light substations. He advised that Council will be asked to initiate street lighting L I D's for various large sections of the City.

Mr. Porter said that the effective date of the Resolution has been left blank and he thought it should be inserted.

Mr. Rowlands said he saw no reason why it couldn't be made effective as of August 11th.

Dr. Humiston moved to fill in the date in the Resolution by placing August 11th on Page 2. Motion seconded by Mr. Porter and carried. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Adopted on roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15459:

By Hanson:

Confirming appointment of Marshall F. Riconosciuto as the Planning Commission's representative on the Board of Adjustment, to fill the unexpired term ending September 30, 1958.

Adopted on Roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15460:

By Anderson:

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to transfer \$9,000.00 from the Cumulative Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay and M and O., to the General Fund Capital Outlay Classification of the Civil Defense Department, for the purchase of certain radio equipment for Civil Defense.

AUG 11 1958

Mr. Rowlands said that additional equipment will be needed both for the Police Department and also the Public Works Department. We are equipping some of our cars in Public Works, as we do save a lot of time by being able to contact these people who are out on the jobs. We are eligible for 50% of the cost for Civil Defense equipment from the Federal Government, 25% from the State but we can't guarantee it, and 25% from the City. In the past two years the Police Department has been in a period of transition. The FCC has directed to go in another band, therefore we can't use the sets that we already have, he added.

Adopted on Roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15461:

By Bratrud:

Authorizing the proper officers to transfer \$9,150.00 from the Cumulative Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay and M and O., to the General Fund, Capital Outlay of the Fire Department, Fire-Police Radio Division for purchase of radio materials for the County-City Building.

Adopted on roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15462:

By Perdue:

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to transfer \$1,600.00 from the Cumulative Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay, M and O., to the General Fund for Non-departmental street light extension budget for installation of a concrete pole with a mercury vapor light at the War Memorial Park (Narrows Bridge).

Mr. Rowlands said this Resolution should be amended by substituting the word Ornamental for concrete on lines 7 and 14.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston that the word Ornamental be substituted for concrete, on lines 7 and 14 of the Resolution. Seconded by Mr. Anderson. Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0. Motion carried.

Adopted on roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15463:

By Goering:

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to transfer \$1,130.00 from the "B" Account of the Equipment Rental Fund to the General Fund, Capital Outlay Classification of the Department of Public Works (Traffic Engineering Division) for the purchase of one special truck body for the Traffic Engineering Division of the Public Works Department.

Mr. Rowlands said that in this particular case the Auditors want the last paragraph of the Resolution, which states "Be it further resolved that the proper officers of the City of Tacoma be and they are hereby authorized and directed to transfer the sums herein appropriated to the General Fund, Capital Outlay Classification, of the Department of Public Works (Traffic Engineering Division), and to thereafter expend said sums from the Capital Outlay Classification, as set forth above, for the purposes herein mentioned," deleted. In some Resolutions of this type they want it included and others they don't, this is one case they say it is superfluous.

It was moved by Mr. Bratrud that the last paragraph of the Resolution be deleted. Seconded by Dr. Humiston - Motion carried: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Adopted on roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Resolution No. 15464:

By Bratrud:

Authorizing the proper officers of the City to transfer \$2,400.00 from the Cumulative Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay and M and O to the General Fund, M and O., Classification of the Fire Department for the repair of the Fire Boat.

Mr. Rowlands said that in the 1959 Budget Chief Fisk will try to come up with some recommendations of the possibility of getting a boat which will be more economical to operate. Until that time, he said, this is the only boat we have and these repairs must be made.

Adopted on roll call August 11, 1958
Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

FIRST READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 16167:

Vacating East F Street from East 64th Street to East 65th Street. Bismarck Street from East E Street to East F Street, except that portion of Bismarck Street west of a line 50 feet west of the west line of East F Street. Read by title and placed in order of final reading.

314
349

10
AUG 11 1958

Ordinance No. 16168:

Amending Chapter 11.34 of the Official Code relating to Traffic - adding a new section - Yield Right of Way Intersections designated. Read by title.

Mr. Rowlands said that the Traffic Engineer would like to make an addition to this Resolution: South 4th Street and Pacific Avenue were omitted, and would like to have the amendment made including these streets.

It was moved by Dr. Humiston to amend the Ordinance by adding South 4th Street and Pacific Avenue. Motion seconded by Mr. Bratrud and carried on roll call. The Ordinance was then placed in order of final reading.

Ordinance No. 16169:

Amending Sec. 11.20.010 of the Official Code relating to Traffic - Designation of one-way streets. Read by title.

Mr. Rowlands said he would like to pass a report out to Council regarding one-way streets for the Central Business District. He said if it is agreeable with Council, they would like to discuss this report with them at a study session on August 25.

This was agreeable with Council.

The Ordinance was then placed in order of final reading.

FINAL READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 16164:

Amending Chapter 1.24 of the Official Code relating to personnel by adding Section 1.24.735 - Appointments to vacancies resulting from regular employees on leave of absence without pay for one year, and amending Section 1.24.920 - Seniority. Read by title.

Mr. Rowlands said that this ordinance would give some seniority to individuals who occupy positions for one year while someone was on a leave of absence. When departments consolidate, the individual that had seniority, irrespective of which department he was in previously, would still have seniority in his future jobs, he added.

Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Ordinance No. 16165:

Amending Section 6.68.220 of the Official Code regarding licenses and taxes- Business and Occupation Tax on Wholesale Functions. Read by title and passed.

Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

Ordinance No. 16166:

Amending Chapter 12.05 of the Official Code regarding Electric Energy- Regulations and Rates. Read by title and passed.

Roll call: Ayes 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

This is the date fixed by Council for hearing on the petition of Mr. Frank Puz for vacation of the north and south 10' of North 47th Street between Mullen and Gove Streets, except the alley entrances. A

The notices were posted as required by law and the fee of \$100.00 has been paid. Mr. Buehler advised that a remonstrance was filed with the City Clerk from property owners. They are not abutting this vacation, he added, but live in the block. The people who object to this vacation are people who use this alley because of the access to their homes, and they feel if 10' is requested at this time, they will ask for more in the future. This vacation petition will eliminate street right-of-way not necessary to the City's present or future needs, he said. By making this land available for private development, an additional building site on the corner of North 48th and Gove Streets will be provided. This vacation will also help solve a property dispute between owners of Lots 1-3, Block 9, West Tacoma Addition. The Planning Commission has heard this petition with the objection, and they still recommend that the vacation be made. 257
248

Mr. Anderson moved that the proper ordinance be submitted granting this vacation. Seconded by Mr. Easterday, and carried.

Report from Myron D. Calkins, Acting Director of Public Works, regarding the disposition of City Property on moving to the County-City Building. X

Mr. Rowlands asked that this report be postponed for one or two weeks, as there was more information to come.

It was moved by Mr. Anderson to postpone this report for two weeks, seconded by Dr. Humiston. Motion carried.

Report from Myron D. Calkins, Acting Director of Public Works, regarding conditions on Highline Road. X

Mr. Rowlands said that work will be done as far as the painting and the signs will be installed. There are studies being made now by the State Highway Department, he added.

NEW BUSINESS:

MC-215 - Hearings by the Civil Service Board. y

AUG 11 1958

MC-216 - Duties of the Mayor and selection of Councilmen.

MC-217 - Selection of Civil service Boards.

ITEMS RECEIVED FOR FILING IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK:

- a. Tacoma Employees Retirement System - report of purchases of investments during July, 1958.
- b. Record of cases in Tacoma Municipal Court during the month of July, 1958.
- c. Annual Report - Traffic Engineering, Department of Public Works.

Mayor Hanson said he would like to report the matter of the Airport. He said that Representative Thor C. Tollefson has agreed to arrange for a meeting with representatives of the City and certain high officials involved in the problem, so that they can set forth their position with the objective of impressing upon them the importance of having an airport, and the hope of creating an airport and working certain matters out. He said this meeting will be held Friday August 15th in Washington D. C.

Mr. Anderson moved that Mayor Hanson and Mr. Rowlands be sent to Washington D. C. to represent Tacoma at this meeting.

Mrs. Goering said she felt it is highly improper for the City to go further in this matter and try to overrule those people who are held responsible with the safety and welfare of the community.

Dr. Humiston advised the subcommittee was simply a recommending body, that the final authority for determining safety is the CAA in Washington D. C.

Roll was called on authorizing Mayor Hanson and Mr. Rowlands to attend the meeting in Washington D. C., resulting as follows: Ayes 8; Nays 1, Goering, Absent 0.

58
95
6
Mayor Hanson said he had received a letter from the Naches Pass Tunnel Highway Association, inviting the Council to attend the honoring of State Highway Director, William Bugge, on August 23rd at 1:00 P.M. at the King County Recreation Parkground. There will be a discussion on the Naches Pass Tunnel project, he added.

Mr. Keith B. Lile, Chairman from Tacoma of the proposed Mid-City World Fair Site Re-location submitted a report to the Council on the conclusions of the Mid-City World Fair Committee. He said his committee has re-appraised its position and still sees insurmountable objections to the Seattle site. He said the committee feels that the parking problem and lack of a pre fair staging area and post-fair plans for the facilities constitute serious objections to the site. He said the committee has requested a further session with Seattle fair officials within

the next two weeks and will report to the Council on the results of the meeting. Mayor hanson thnked Mr. Lile for his time and effort in submitting the report.

Mr. Porter said: "I would like to go on record, particularly because of phone calls I have received today, there was a notice in the paper regarding the Resolution 15456 acted on today, that I am strictly 100% in accordance with the Committee. I feel exactly as I did one month ago. Since that meeting with Mr. Dingwall and Mr. Faber and others, I have examined everything they gave us and have found nothing to show that the problems that were there, and still are there, can be solved by any Resolution; parking; transportation and the staging area. I will welcome any information that anybody can give me that will prove that I'm wrong because if I'm wrong I certainly want to find it out. I don't wish to defeat the World's Fair, I just wish to help improve it."


Mr. Henry K. Carlbom submitted a letter acknowledging receipt of the letter of the Chief Assistant City Attorney, Mr. Robert Hamilton and his opinions concerning the defaulted local improvement district bonds of District No. 1243, which he has. He requested in his letter that the City, through its elected and appointed officers collect the assessments that are outstanding on the property which has not been collected by the City or foreclosed by the County.

Mayor Hanson advised that if Mr. Carlbom had any more to say on his L I D bonds, he would have to resolve it in Court, he has harrassed the Council for some time concerning this matter and it is a judicial matter that must be determined in Court.

There being no further business or comments from the audience, the meeting adjourned at 6:50 p.m.



 President of the City Council

Attest: 

 City Clerk

