CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

City Council Chambers, 4:00 P. M. Wednesday, Nov. 9th, 1966

59-450

Council met in regular session. Present on roll call 5: Cvitanich, Finnigan, Herrmann, Johnson, Murtland and Price. Absent 3: Bott, Haley and Mayor Tollefson, Mr. Bott arriving at 4:15 P. M.

In the absence of Mayor Tollefson, Deputy Mayor Herrmann presided.

Mrs. Price moved that the minutes of the meeting of Oct. 25, 1966 be approved as submitted. Seconded by Mr. Cvitanich. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

HEARINGS & APPEALS:

a. This is the date for hearing for the annexation of the area on 96th and Pacific Avenue, submitted by Park 'N Shop Land Co.

Mr. Finnigan noted that three members of the review board voted not to approve the annexation petition.

Mr. Rowlands stated, this had no bearing whatsoever, as the petitioner owns sufficient percentage of the property.

Mrs. Price moved that the Council concur in the recommendation of the Planning Commission and that an ordinance be drafted approving this annexation. Seconded by Mr. Murtland. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

b. This is the date set over for hearing on the appeal filed by Roger L. Hanson on the denial of the request for rezoning of property located between East 50th and 57th Sts. between East Q and East S Streets from an "R-4" and "R-3" to an "R-4-L" District.

Mr. Bott coming in at 4:15 P. M.

Mr. Herron, attorney refresenting Mr. Hanson, stated that he had been informed that Mr. Bott, Mr. Murtland and Mrs. Price had inspected the site last week.

Mrs. Price stated she had viewed the property and it is considerably below grade level, and it would not be economically feasible to build even a two or three unit apartment as it would require too much filling in of the area. She noted the 56th and Portland Ave. area that is now being developed is quite a distance from the property in question, and it would be sometime before the intervening property is developed.

Mr. Herron explained they felt it would still be economically feasible to build an apartment house on the northern block which adjoins East 56th St., even if the Council decided to delete the portion south of the alley.

City Council Minutes - Page 2 - Nov. 9, 1966

Mr. Murtland felt the lower portion of the property should be deleted. Mr. Murtland then moved to overrule the Planning Commission's recommendation and delete that area south of the alley and approve the portion on East 56th and the alley between 56th and 57th St. between East Q and East S Streets from an "R-3" to an "R-4-L" District. Seconded by Mrs. Price. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Ч

PETITIONS:

Petition submitted by Johnnie Babnick for the rezoning of property $\approx t$ the S. E. corner of So. 56th and J Sts., from an "R-2" to an "R-3" District.

Referred to the Planning Commission.

RESOLUTIONS:

Resolution No. 18932

Appropriating the sum of \$3500 or so much as may be accessary from the 1958 Storm Drain Construction Fund for the purpose of constructing a relief line for a storm drain system located in the vicinity of So. 8th and L Streets.

Mr. Murtland moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mrs. Price.

The Resolution was passed unanimously by voice vote. Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Haley and Mayor Tollefson.

Resolution No. 18933

Awarding contract to E. J. Rody & Sons on its bid of \$437,344.32 incl. tax for Improv. 3120-P-2, Unit A and Improv. 4793, Unit A for the north end sanitary Interceptor Sewer.

Mrs. Price moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Murtland.

Mr. Rowlands explained this bid was below the engineer's estimate, however, the staff felt it was an excellent bid compared to the number of bids that were submitted.

Mr. Schuster, Public Works Director, explained that this bid was for the first section of the Ruston Way Interceptor Sewer. It will be the first step for the removal of all sewage from the Commencement Bay area. The northerly section of this project will probably be advertised about four to six months from now. It is anticipated that about the first of the year the City will be calling for bids for the treatment plant. It is anticipated that the interceptor and the plant will be completed by the end of the summer of 1968.

The Resolution was passed unanimously by voice vote. Ayes 7; Nays 0; Absent 2, Haley and Mayor Tollefson.

Resolution No. 18934

Accepting a sealed bid for the purchase of real property situated within the Center St. Urban Renewal Project No. Wash. R-1.

City Council Minutes - Page 3 - Nov. 9, 1966

Mrs. Price moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Murtland.

Mr. Richmond, Urban Renewal Director, explained that Mr. Fred Hertzberg, Jr. wishes to construct a building on this property as soon as possible as his present business location is being dislocated because of the State Highway.

The Resolution was passed unanimously by voice vote. Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Haley, Mayor Tollefson and Cvitanich (temporarily).

Resolution No. 18935

Accepting a sealed bid for the purchase of real property situated within the Center St. Urban Renewal Project No. Wash. R-1 located at the northeast corner of So. 30th and M Streets.

Mrs. Price moved that the resolution be adopted. Seconded by Mr. Murtland.

The Resolution was passed unanimously by voice vote. Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Haley, Mayor Tollefson and Cvitanich (temporarily).

FINAL READING OF ORDINANCES:

Ordinance No. 18121 (postponed from the meeting of Oct. 11, 1966)

Amending Chapter 12.06 of the official code of the City by repealing and re-enacting a new section 12.06.190 relative to Electric Energy & Regulations and Rates.

Mr. Finnigan stated that he understood the Utility Board is reviewing this particular program and they have asked for another two week's postponement. Therefore, Mr. Finnigan moved that Ordinance No. 18121 be postponed until Tuesday, Nov. 22, 1966 at 4:00 P. M. Seconded by Mrs. Price. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

Ordinance No. 18165

Changing Tacoma Ave. between Division Ave. and So. 96th St. to be designated as Tacoma Avenue South.

Roll call was taken on the ordinance, resulting as follows:

Ayes 6; Nays 0; Absent 3, Haley, Mayor Tollefson, Cvitanich (temporarily). The Ordinance was declared passed by the Chairman.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

The Director of Public Works presents the assessment roll for the cost of L I D 4771 for paving on So. Washington from So. 12th to 15th; No. 9th from Huson to Mullen and No. 10th from Stevens to Verde Street.

Mr. Finnigan moved that Monday, Dec. 12, 1966 at 4:00 P. M. be set as the date for hearing on the assessment roll for L I D 4771. Seconded by Mrs. Price. Voice vote taken. Motion carried.

City Council Minutes - Page 4 - Nov. 9, 1966

ITEMS FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK:

- a. City Planning Dept. report for the month of October 1966.
- b. Retirement Systems report for the month of October 1966.
- c. Report from the Utility Div. & Dept. of Public Works for the period covering Jan. 1, 1965 to Dec. 31, 1965 from the Scale Auditor.

and the second second

COMMENTS:

Mr. Rowlands submitted a booklet on the Tacoma Street Needs for 1967 through 1975 to the Council which had been prepared by the Dept. of Public Works. He noted that the needs have not changed even though the voters turned down Initiative No. 226. He felt that the beautification program will certainly suffer as what is to be used for matching money. He added, the L I D program needs attention next year in the neighborhood of about \$150 to \$160 thousand dollars and this has to come from somewhere before next year.

He said discussions will be held with the Council on all of these programs as well as the situation on the Transit tax. The Air Pollution program is moving along and reports will be coming in soon for the Council's perusal.

Mr. Rowlands said he hoped that all was not lost, as Governor Evans has indicated that almost every newly elected Legislator has indicated that they were in support of some type of financial relief for the cities. The problems of the cities have been dramatized State wide and the cities do need relief but, perhaps, the citizenry wished it to be obtained another way.

Mr. Finnigan said this is not only a local problem, but a rational problem,

Mrs. Price asked in the event a Transit tax was imposed, how much would it bring in over a period of one year.

Mr. Rowlands stated they felt it would be in the neighborhood of \$500,000. However, he added, this will not be enough to balance the budget and other alternatives will have to be found.

Mr. Cvitanich stated that he felt that the Council has not agreed on a \$1.00 limit for the Transit tax, so it should not be mentioned as \$1.00 tax.

ホホホホホ

Mr. Bott commended the City employees and the various Unions who helped in promoting Initiative No. 226.

59-387

There being no further business to come before the Council, upon motion duly seconded and passed, the meeting adjourned at 5:00 P. M.

Council